

Methodology for WV's Waiver Transition Plan Application Second Version

Introduction	3
Phase I	3
<i>Regulatory Review</i>	3
<i>Ensuring Waiver Compliance with the Federal Rule</i>	7
<i>Existing Compliance with the Federal Rule</i>	11
Phase II	13
<i>Provider Assessment Survey</i>	13
<i>Individuals and Family Members Survey</i>	13
<i>Setting Review Procedure</i>	13
<i>Heightened Scrutiny Overview</i>	14
<i>Transition of Members Overview</i>	15
<i>Monitoring of Ongoing Compliance</i>	16
<i>Summary</i>	16
Appendix A: Recommendations from the HCBS Regulatory Review	18
<i>Introduction</i>	18
<i>Regulatory Review Methodology and Source Documents</i>	18
<i>Results and Recommendations</i>	20
<i>Conclusion</i>	27
Appendix B: Crosswalk for the Systemic Assessment of Existing Code and Regulations Relevant to the West Virginia HCBS State Transition Plan	28
Appendix C: Public Notice For First Transition Plan (please note these hyperlinks no longer work)	39
Appendix D: Supplemental Form used at Public Forum	41
Appendix E: Distribution Lists used for Public Notice Dissemination for First Version	43
Appendix F: Public Comments Received for First Version	56
Appendix G: Statewide Proposed Transition Plan	88
<i>Assessment</i>	89

<i>Remedial Actions</i>	90
<i>Public Input, Stakeholder Engagement and Oversight</i>	94
Appendix H: Cover Letter for Provider Surveys (ADW, TBIW, I/DD)	95
Appendix I: Provider Survey Questions for ADW/TBIW	97
Appendix J: Provider Survey Questions for I/DD	101
Appendix K: Cover Letter for Individuals and Family Members Survey (ADW, TBIW, I/DD)	108
Appendix L: Individuals and Family Members Survey Questions for ADW/TBIW	109
Appendix M: Individuals and Family Members Survey Questions for I/DD	113
Appendix N: Protocol for Review of West Virginia Home and Community Settings	118
<i>Protocol: Attachment 1 HCBS Non-Residential Site Review</i>	125
<i>Protocol: Attachment 2 HCBS Residential Site Review</i>	138
<i>Protocol: Attachment 3 HCBS Site Review/Records</i>	156
<i>Protocol: Attachment 4 HCBS Setting Assessment Visit and Evaluation</i>	164
<i>Protocol: Attachment 5 HCBS Setting Assessment Plan of Compliance</i>	166

Introduction

In January 2014, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services promulgated a final federal rule (CMS-2249-F and CMS 2296-F) to ensure that individuals receiving long term services and supports (LTSS) through home and community based services (HCBS) programs under 1915(c) and 1915(i) have full access to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal finances and receive services in the community to the same degree as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

West Virginia underwent the process of developing a transition plan pursuant to 42 CFR 441.301(c)(6) that contains the actions the state will take to bring all West Virginia waivers into compliance with requirements set forth in 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4-5). West Virginia has three HCBS waivers: Aged and Disabled Waiver (ADW), Individuals with Intellectual and/or Developmental Disabilities Waiver (IDDW) and Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver (TBIW). West Virginia is working with the various providers, participants, guardians, and other stakeholders engaged in HCBS to implement the proposed transition plan. This document summarizes the steps West Virginia's Bureau for Medical Services (BMS) undertook to develop the transition plans as well as planned activities related to compliance.

Phase I

Regulatory Review

This review has been conducted in two sections. To begin the transition plan development process, BMS conducted a review of the HCBS services provided by the current West Virginia waivers impacted by the new rule (**Exhibit 1**) as well as the waivers' supporting documentation (operation manuals, authorizing legislation, waiver applications, etc.). The state used CMS guidance documents, particularly "[Summary of Regulatory Requirements for Home and Community Based Settings](#)" to guide the analysis. The West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (WVDHHR) Recommendations from the HCBS Regulatory Review were first published on the BMS Website 2/5/15 (**Appendix A**). To complete the process, a Crosswalk for the Systemic Assessment for the West Virginia HCBS State Transition Plan was also developed in 1/31/16. (**Appendix B**).

Services provided by licensed entities were identified for all three waivers. The ADW and the TBIW do not offer services at licensed sites. All services are in home or in the community. **Exhibit 1** lists the services provided by all three waivers and identifies services that may be provided in licensed behavioral health sites. Of the services listed only the IDDW services of Facility Based Day Habilitation and Pre-Vocational services must be provided in a Licensed Behavioral Health Center. While some other services as noted may be provided in a licensed site, this is not mandatory according to the IDDW Manual. All licensed settings where services are provided will be assessed for compliance with the HCBS federal requirements.

Exhibit 1

HCBS Waiver	Service/Setting Type	Service may be provided in licensed Behavioral Health Center	Original Approval Date	Effective Date	Expiration Date of Waiver
Aged and Disabled Waiver Program	• Case Management • Personal Assistant Services • Transportation		07/01/1985	07/01/2015	6/30/2020
Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities Waiver Program	• Case Management/ Service Coordination • Behavior Support Professional • Facility Based Day Habilitation* • Person - Centered Support • Crisis Services • Supported Employment • Electronic Monitoring Surveillance System and On-Site Response • Skilled Nursing - Nursing Services by a Licensed Practical Nurse • Skilled Nursing	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	07/01/1985	07/01/2015	6/30/2020

	– Nursing Services by a Registered Nurse • Pre-vocational Services • Job Development • Transportation • Out of Home Respite	Yes			
Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver Program	• Case Management • Personal Attendant Services • Transportation		12/23/2011	7/1/2015	6/30/2020

During this review process, BMS conducted interviews of key West Virginia staff conducting waiver implementation to identify strengths and areas for potential growth for the state for inclusion within the report and transition plan.

Public/Stakeholder Input

To promote transparency and encourage stakeholder buy-in and input, West Virginia BMS solicited public/stakeholder input through two main channels: website and a public forum for the first version. BMS will provide an additional 30 day comment period prior for this second version of the plan before the final submission of the plan to CMS utilizing these two formats as well.

Website

From the period of November 26 to December 26, 2014, West Virginians were invited to comment on the first version of the proposed statewide and waiver-specific transition plans drafted by BMS. A new webpage was linked from the HCBS home page of the BMS website and was developed for the posting the public notice (**Appendix C**). In addition to the current waivers and proposed transition plans, individuals could also access materials related to background information/documents on the new rule, multiple contact information channels to provide comment (email, phone and mailing address) on the public notice webpage. Upon posting the public notice to the website, BMS widely circulated the link and an invitation to comment to multiple ListServ and contacts (**Appendix E**). ListServ participants were requested to print the public notice and post it in a visible accessible site as well. Agency staff were also requested to share the notice and information with members they served. It should be noted that the announcement also included a phone number enabling members and interested parties to call and obtain a hard copy of the transition draft.

BMS will again conduct a second 30 day public comment from June 13, 2016 through July 13, 2016. Following the website format used in 2014 as noted above, the State Transition Plan, Second Iteration, will be submitted to CMS.

Public forum

On December 12, 2014, BMS hosted a public forum to invite the general public to comment on the proposed transition plans. Meeting minutes were captured for the purpose of documenting public comment and has been included in full the list of comments received (**Appendix F**). Due to the public and open nature of the forum, BMS was unable to predict the level of attendee turnout. In the event that the forum would result in a very large turnout of stakeholders, BMS offered a supplemental comment form (**Appendix D**) to collect additional comments/feedback from attendees who may not have an opportunity to speak during the meeting. The meeting was advertised via many ListServ and contacts (**Appendix E**) as soon as the venue was secured. All background/informational materials posted to the BMS website were also offered as hard copies at the public forum.

On June 22, 2016, BMS will host a second public forum at the Bureau of Senior Services from 9 am to 12 pm to invite the general public to comment further on the second iteration of the Statewide Transition Plan. The format of this meeting will replicate the Public forum conducted in 2014, including documentation of public comments. A supplemental comment form (**Appendix D**) will also be used, modified with corrected dates.

Summary of Public Comments

During the Public Comment period of November – December 2014, several comments from the general public, including from family members, providers and advocacy organizations, were submitted via email. In addition, feedback was provided during the public forum. The feedback received informed BMS that additional details around provider capacity, provider training was needed in the plan. In addition, considerations were submitted for BMS regarding communication and information dissemination to the public. If the comment received was not addressed in the transition plan, BMS plans to incorporate the feedback in future related activities. The list of public comments received as well as how BMS has addressed comments is provided in **Appendix F, Section 1**.

For the second iteration of the State Transition Plan, an additional 30 day Public Comment period from June 13, 2016 to July 13, 2016, will again be pursued. Again, additional comments from the general public will be received via email and the public forum. BMS plans to incorporate the feedback in future related activities. **Appendix F, section 2**, will list these comments and the BMS response to each.

Ensuring Waiver Compliance with the Federal Rule

In addition to identifying assessment activities and opportunities to solicit ongoing stakeholder input, BMS identified opportunities for remedial actions to bring the ADW, TBIW and IDWW in compliance with the final rule. The remedial actions proposed in the first iteration of the statewide transition plan (**Appendix G**) include but are not limited to activities under the following compliance areas: Provider Remediation (including residential and Non-residential); Outreach and Education; Quality; and Policies and Procedures. When an action item will be ongoing, the end date is so noted.

Provider Remediation:

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Provider Remediation - Residential	Incorporate the outcomes of the assessment of settings within existing licensure and certification processes to identify existing settings as well as potential new settings in development that may not meet the requirements of the rule.	1/2/16	9/30/16	Bureau for Medical Services with assistance from individual Waiver Quality Councils
IDWW	Provider Remediation- Non-Residential	Develop strategies for moving away from more congregate employment to naturally occurring learning environments and access to community activities and events. Build upon the supported employment model by including more person-centered and inclusionary supports including access to a variety of settings for participants to interact with non-disabled individuals (other than those individuals who are providing services to the participant) to the same extent that individuals employed in comparable positions would interact.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDWW QIA, ASO and WV Employment First through WV Developmental Disabilities Council
IDWW	Provider Remediation	Using lessons learned from the state's MFP program, develop a process for helping individuals to transition to new settings as appropriate.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDWW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDWW	Provider Remediation	Building upon the MFP program, develop a housing strategic plan to address the potential need for transition to new housing as well as prepare the LTSS system for future need.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDWW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDWW	Provider Remediation	- Work with the stakeholder group to - Identify challenges and potential solutions to support provider changes that may be	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDWW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV

		necessary. b) Develop a toolkit for provider use that includes housing resources and person-centered planning strategies.			MFP
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Require provider owned or controlled residences to ensure residents rights are protected by legally binding agreements (lease or other).	7/1/15	7/1/18	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop a template transition plan approval process which requires the provider to submit progress reports on the implementation of the specific setting identified.	7/1/15	04/03/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop a template letter indicating the need for the provider to develop a transition plan for EACH setting. Include guidance and a template transition plan that requires action steps and timelines for compliance.	7/1/15	04/03/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop a plan to manage non-compliance with the transition plans submitted by providers (e.g. disenrollment, sanctions). Include a decision flow and timeline within the management plan. Connect the plan with the quality improvement system. Assist providers in either becoming compliant or being terminated as a provider of HCBS because they are unable to become compliant.	7/1/15	04/03/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop template leases, written agreements or addendums to support providers in documenting protections and appeals comparable to those provided under West Virginia landlord tenant law. Ensure that written language describes the required environment to comply such as locked doors and use of common areas.	7/1/15	1/30/17	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Provider Remediation	Strengthen enrollment and re-enrollment procedures to identify settings that may have indicators of non-compliance and require more thorough review.	10/20/14	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services and the appropriate Waiver's Administrative Services Organization (ASO)

Outreach and Education:

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide training to licensure/certification staff, individuals and family members on new settings requirements.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services and the appropriate Waiver's Administrative Services Organization (ASO)
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Conduct a webinar series to highlight the settings requirements (residential, non-residential including principles of person-centered planning). Post webinar archives on BMS website.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide strategic technical assistance by issuing fact sheets, FAQ's and responding to questions related to the implementation of the transition plan (action steps, timelines, and available technical assistance).	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide training to enrollment staff to heighten scrutiny of new providers/facilities.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver ASO and Office of Health Facility and Licensure (OHFLAC), if applicable
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Develop and include ongoing provider training on rights, protections, person-centered thinking, and community inclusion.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide training to managers of quality improvement systems for all 3 waivers on new settings outcomes measures.	7/1/15	5/30/17	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Update applicable Member Handbooks to strengthen person centered HCBS requirements.	7/1/15	12/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO

Quality:

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
-------------------	-----------------	-------------	------------	----------	--------------------

ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Quality	- Quality Measures - Develop or revise on-site monitoring tools to meet compliance (e.g. opportunities for “informed” choice, choice of roommate and setting, freedom from coercion). - Include outcomes measures on settings within the current 1915c waiver quality improvement system. - Build community character indicators within the 6 CMS Quality Assurances reviewed through the provider self-review process.	7/1/15	12/30/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Quality	Expand upon the QIA council to include responsibility to monitor data associated with meeting transition plan action items and outcomes data. Establish a baseline of outcomes data and measure throughout transition plan implementation.	7/1/15	12/30/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Quality	Crosswalk quality assurance tools against settings characteristics and person-centered planning requirements to identify areas of potential enhancement to the quality improvement system.	7/1/15	9/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO

Policies and Procedures:

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
IDDW	Policies and Procedures	Modify regulations to ensure community characteristics are reflected across IDDW waiver services with particular attention on ISS, group homes and specialized family care homes as well as facility based day habilitation.	7/1/15	5/1/18	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO

The second iteration of the State Transition Plan, **Appendix G**, includes all the action items of the first plan and adds additional items as well. This second iteration will be submitted to CMS within four weeks following the close of the comment period on July 13, 2016.

Existing Compliance with the Federal Rule

A regulatory analysis (**Appendix B**) of existing West Virginia Rules, Regulations and Policies was completed. Compliance with the Federal Rule was also assessed. Appendix B contains remedial actions necessary based on these analyses. During the regulatory analysis, BMS also identified settings or services that did not require transition.

During the regulatory analysis, BMS identified settings or services that did not require transition. This section provides details on those settings and services and is organized by sections under the regulatory requirements for home and community based settings:

- CMS Descriptions for Institutional Settings and Qualities and Guidance on Settings that May Isolate Individuals
- Provider Controlled Setting Elements to Assess per New Federal Requirements
- Plan of Care Requirements for Modifications or Restrictions of a Participant's Rights; and
- Conflict of Interest Standards.

CMS Descriptions for Institutional Settings and Qualities and Guidance on Settings that May Isolate Individuals

- The Out-of-Home Respite: Agency service clearly specifies that it is not available in medical hospitals, nursing homes, psychiatric hospitals or rehabilitative facilities located either within or outside of a medical hospital which is in full support of the characteristics outlined in rule.
- Services offered in both the ADW and TBIW are offered only in non-institutional settings compliant with the regulation.
- Family Person-centered Support and Participant Directed Goods and Services do not take place in settings that are owned or leased by the provider. These were not included in the I/DD Waiver listing in Exhibit 1.
- Services in the Aged and Disabled and TBI waivers are not delivered at a setting owned, leased or operated by the provider. These services are delivered in the individual's private home or in the community.
- Electronic Monitoring/surveillance systems and on-site response services are covered in the IDDW section of the Bureau for Medical Services manual (513.13) December 1, 2015. This section was included to remediate a finding of potential non-compliance in the November 14, 2014 Regulatory Review (**Appendix A**) which found that these services may be delivered in settings that may or may not comply with the regulations. The December 2015 manual corrected the sites where this service may be provided, to assure compliance with HCBS.

Provider Controlled Setting Elements to Assess per New Federal Requirements

- The state code for the IDDW provider's licensed behavioral health residential sites provides clear guidance surrounding bedroom size, furnishings and quality and goes beyond what is typical for similar regulation found in other states.
- The state code for the IDDW also requires licensed behavioral health centers (included licensed residential settings) to be accessible and compliant with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- Supported Employment Services within the IDDW "are services that enable individuals to engage in paid, competitive employment, in integrated community settings. The services are for individuals who have barriers to obtaining employment due to the nature and complexity of their disabilities. The services are designed to assist individuals for whom competitive employment at or above the minimum wage is unlikely without such support and services and need ongoing support based upon the member's level of need." This service is fully compliant with community integration standards outlined in the requirements.

Plan of Care Requirements for Modifications or Restrictions of a Participant's Rights

- The IDDW system has a broad and very easy to understand member handbook that can be used to build upon West Virginia person-centered practices.
- The IDDW manual provides a broad list of rights granted to waiver participants. These address more general, program-wide protections rather than rights associated with or pertaining to any particular service.
- The TBIW manual provides a broad list of rights granted to waiver participants. These address more general, program-wide protections rather than rights associated with or pertaining to any particular service. Additionally, Chapter 512 of the Provider Manual indicates that goals and objectives are "focused on providing services that are person-centered, that promote choice, independence, participant-direction, respect, and dignity and community integration."
- For all three waiver programs, the role of the Human Rights Committee (HRC) provides a firm foundation to the overall protection of basic rights and any restrictions needed to ensure health and welfare.
- For IDDW, the Service Coordination service supports the requirements of the HCBS rule in principle. The definition specifies that along with the member, service coordination is "a life-long, person-centered, goal-oriented process for coordinating the supports (both natural and paid), range of services, instruction and assistance needed by persons with developmental disabilities...designed to ensure accessibility, accountability and continuity of support and services... also ensures that the maximum potential and productivity of a member is utilized in making meaningful choices with regard to their life and their inclusion in the community".

Conflict of Interest Standards

- The ADW, IDWW and TBIW programs include guidance that prevents entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development from steering the provision of direct care waiver services to the agency that is responsible for service plan development.
- The current language for the TBIW, IDWW and ADW programs meet the requirements of CMS.

Phase II

Provider Assessment Survey

As part of this transition plan development process, all providers were required to complete a web-based provider assessment survey (**Appendices I and J**). The cover letter sent to providers soliciting the completion is found in **Appendix H**. The purpose of the survey was to identify potential sites or settings that risk being noncompliant with the final rule. The survey was circulated from 4/1/2015 to 8/19/2015. All providers finally responded to the survey although two required a second notice to ensure completion. As surveys were completed, BMS reviewed the submitted information and conducted/will conduct initial on-site assessments/visits for all sites or settings. The anticipated timeframe for site visits is 10/1/2015 to 10/1/2016.

Information acquired as the result of this survey is used as a part of the site/setting review procedure. (**Appendix N**). Actual site visits have revealed that some providers misidentified or failed to complete surveys on actual sites. When this is discovered, the data base for sites is updated. How the agency responded to the survey is not altered.

A procedure for on-site assessments, site/setting visits and compliance assurance was developed. (**Appendix N**).

Individuals and Family Members Survey

In addition to surveying providers of waiver services, BMS also surveyed individuals receiving waiver services and their family members by sending a cover letter (**Appendix K**) and surveys (**Appendices L for ADW and TBIW and M for IDWW**). The survey for individuals in receipt of waiver services and their families was primarily conducted through a handout survey (with follow-up reminders). To develop the survey, BMS solicited input from state agency partners overseeing waiver service implementation. The survey collection was closed 12/31/15. All members for all three waivers were contacted by mail. A total of 1,251 members responded (474 IDWW and 777 TBIW/ADW) for a response rate of approximately 13 %. General information acquired as the result of this survey was used as a part of the site/setting review selection procedure. (**Appendix N, Section 2**).

Setting Review Procedure

The Protocol for review of settings is included in **Appendix N**. It includes the following sections:

- A. Purpose of the Protocol
- B. Member and Provider Data Analysis

- C. Validation Process for Provider Responses and Key Indicators
- D. Setting/Site Visit Assessments
- E. Plan of Compliance Requirements and Development
- F. Review of Assessment Results and Follow-up
- G. Heightened Scrutiny if Necessary
- H. Transition of Members to Integrated Settings
- I. Monitoring for All Settings
- J. Ongoing Reports for All Settings

Each distinct setting/address receives a separate review and report. Providers may receive multiple reports if they own or lease more than one setting. In addition, when a provider has multiple settings a policy/procedure review is conducted for the whole agency. This eliminates the redundancy of policy/procedure reviews for each setting. **Appendix N** includes the assessment instruments and forms to be used for each type of review.

Heightened Scrutiny Overview

As the state reviews each distinct setting/address, settings will be sorted into one of five categories, or 'buckets'. These include:

- 1) The setting meets the HCBS characteristics and is compliant.
- 2) The setting does not currently meet HCBS characteristics but intends to become compliant with remediation.
- 3) The setting cannot meet the HCBS characteristics, through choice or lack of mandatory features, such as location.
- 4) The setting is presumptively institutional and is determined incompatible with HCBS.
- 5) Settings that are Intermediate Care Facilities for Individual with Intellectual Disabilities (ICFs/IID), Institutions for Mental Disease (IMD), Nursing Facility (NF) or Hospitals do not provide HCBS, and are not subject to transition.

The State of West Virginia will work with Settings in Category 2 to monitor their plans to come into compliance. Repeat Annual Monitoring of settings that fall in Category 1 and 2 will assure continued compliance.

Settings that are deemed, during the review process, to be in Category 3 or 4 are presumptively non-HCBS settings and will be submitted to CMS for a heightened scrutiny review at the time this determination is made. Evidence compiled by the state will accompany this submission. This evidence will include review documents, stakeholder interviews and comments and other evidence as necessary.

Settings that are in Category 5 are not included in the State Transition Plan.

Appendix N provides an overview of this process from the provider perspective, and addresses times when the provider concurs with the setting review that the site is not HCBS compliant.

Transition of Members Overview

Should a review determine that a setting does not meet the characteristics necessary for HCBS, the provider setting will be dis-enrolled from the Medicaid program. Notification to the provider will be by certified mail as well as electronically. The provider is responsible for notification of members, with all correspondence or contacts copied to the Bureau for Medical Services.

While the transitions of members to other providers or settings will begin as soon as the provider is notified, the provider will have 60 calendar days from the date of the notification to assist individuals to transition to other services and/or settings that do comply with the Rule. Individuals may remain at the setting, but HCBS services may not be billed for that individual. Individual team meetings will be held and the individual and their legal representative (if applicable) will make the final choice of available settings/sites. Provider disenrollment will occur at the end of the 45 days.

Within 30 working days of the date of the notification, the provider will submit to BMS an Agency Transition Plan. This plan will list 1) setting location which is non-compliant; 2) the member(s) by name and Medicaid Number; 3) the service(s) provided to each listed member; 4) the date for the Critical Juncture transition meeting for each listed member; 5) The result of the meeting including setting/location of services that do comply with the rule; 6) The date of the change of provider/setting. The provider will submit updates to the Agency's Transition plan weekly to BMS, completing items 4-6 as these events occur. This plan update will be provided to BMS until all transitions are complete.

BMS shall be copied on all correspondence with members and/or families.

The provider will hold a general informational meeting for all members, legal representatives and other interested parties. BMS will attend this meeting to answer any questions. Members will also be encouraged to call BMS should they have any questions.

Should an individual member request assistance beyond that given by the provider, BMS will assist the member in the timely transition to another provider and/or setting. In isolated instances, BMS may extend the 60 day transition period for an individual members to assure that there is no interruption of services to the individual member.

This procedure would also apply to a provider which concurs with the setting review that the site is not HCBS compliant.

Monitoring of Ongoing Compliance

Upon completion of the initial setting reviews, the quality assessment review tool questions (**Appendix N, Section 11, Attachments 1, 2 and 3**) will be compared with the setting characteristics and the Person Centered Planning components to identify areas of the system in need of remediation. Using statistical analysis of both independent and dependent variables, and seeking a $p < .05$ level of significance, areas of Integration, Person Centered Services, Privacy and Choice will be compared and contrasted among the types of settings reviewed. Sub-areas of analysis to be queried will be determined based on the recommendations of the Quality Improvement Advisory Council. The results of these analyses will give the stakeholders information on the areas and topics for retraining, increased monitoring and trends. These analyses will be ongoing, and completed at least annually.

Following the initial round of setting reviews, subsequent setting reviews and follow up reviews will be completed by the ASO, using the review tool found in **Appendix N, Attachment 6**. This tool incorporates the tools from Appendix N into the ASO monitoring tool. Analysis of the resulting data will be compiled annually and provided to the Quality Improvement Advisory Council.

Summary

A reviewer is conducting site visits for each IDDW agency that owns or leases settings where IDDW services are provided. The reviewer visits 100% of the licensed Facility-Based Day Habilitation/Pre-Vocational sites, all 4 bed or greater residential sites and a sample of the 3 bed or less residential sites. The sample size of the 3 bed or less residential sites is determined by how the provider answers the survey. The reviewer administers either the residential or the non-residential protocol depending upon what type of site is being reviewed.


When a site review is completed, the IDDW agency receives a separate report stating if the sites are in compliance with this rule or to what degree they are not in compliance. Each site detailing why the setting is not in compliance with this Rule. The IDDW agency is required to submit a plan of compliance for each site not in compliance within two weeks detailing their plan to come into compliance. BMS reviews each plan and either accepts it or returns it to the agency for further remediation. The flow chart below (**Exhibit 2**) exemplifies this process. When a plan of compliance is accepted, the agency will receive stating such and will be told to expect a return visit to review the agency's compliance at a future date unannounced.

If an IDDW provider fails to submit a plan of compliance and is not actively working toward completing a plan of compliance within an approved time frame, then BMS will meet with the agency to discuss how the members being served will be transitioned to other providers well before March 19, 2019.

Exhibit 2

West Virginia HCBS Provider Assessment Flow Chart

Exhibit 2



Appendix A: Recommendations from the HCBS Regulatory Review

11/24/14

A complete copy of this report with appendices may be found at:

<http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/Programs/Documents/WV%20Regulatory%20Review%20Report%20Final%20%2811-25-14%29.pdf>

Introduction

In January 2014, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services promulgated a final federal rule (CMS-2249-F and CMS 2296-F) to ensure that individuals receiving long term services and supports (LTSS) through home and community based services (HCBS) programs under 1915(c) and 1915(i) have full access to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal finances and receive services in the community to the same degree as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

West Virginia contracted with The Lewin Group to guide development of a transition plan pursuant to 42 CFR 441.301(c)(6) that contains the actions the state will take to bring all West Virginia waivers into compliance with requirements set forth in 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4-5). West Virginia intends to work with the various providers, participants, guardians, and other stakeholders engaged in HCBS to implement this proposed transition plan ([Appendix A](#)).

This report documents one component of the methodology and approach used to develop the transition plan, to conduct a regulatory review of the HCBS system. This report covers the methodology and the findings from the regulatory review process.

Regulatory Review Methodology and Source Documents

The development of a matrix of West Virginia waivers and supporting documentation provided a systematic method to assess areas of compliance and non-compliance with the new rule. The Lewin Group developed the matrix through a series of steps.

Step 1: Framing of Key Elements to Assess Compliance and Non-Compliance

Lewin completed a comprehensive review of the new federal regulations and all supporting guidance released by CMS as contained in the Settings Requirements Compliance Toolkit¹. Based on this review, the "[Summary of Regulatory Requirements for Home and Community Based Settings](#)" guided our analysis.

¹ <http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Long-Term-Services-and-Supports/Home-and-Community-Based-Services/Home-and-Community-Based-Services.html>

Step 2: Comprehensive Inventory of Waiver Services and Provider Types Across All Populations

We conducted a basic review of waiver applications and amendments for all three of West Virginia's waivers (see [Appendix B](#)) and created an inventory of relevant services and provider types for inclusion in the analysis. The three waivers and proposed services/settings types to include in our analysis are listed in the table below.

HCBS Waiver	Services/Setting Type	Original Approval Date	Effective Date	Expiration Date
Aged and Disabled Waiver Program (ADW)	- Case Management - Personal Assistance/Homemaker Service	07/01/1985	07/01/2010	06/30/2015
Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities Waiver (IDDW)	- Facility Based Day Habilitation - Participant -Centered Support - Respite - Service Coordination - Supported Employment - Electronic Monitoring/Surveillance System and On-Site Response - Skilled Nursing - Nursing Services by a Licensed Practical Nurse	07/01/1985	07/01/2010	06/30/2015
Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver Services (TBIW)	- Case Management - Personal Attendant Services	12/23/2011	02/01/2012	01/31/2015

Step 3: Creation of a Qualitative Data Set

Using the inventory, Lewin created a comprehensive qualitative data set that captured all relevant language from waiver applications, state regulatory documents, surveys and checklists on compliance and quality, and provider trainings. The data was cleaned for consistency and accuracy. The Lewin Group conducted a review across waivers globally, as well as settings/services that may be impacted by the rule across the categories listed in the table below.

Types of source documents	Relevant categories by source
- Waiver applications - Authorizing Legislation - State Rules and Operations - Provider training and manuals - Member handbooks - Setting-specific survey and certification review criteria	- Definitions of services and settings - Certification and licensing (as applicable) - Participant rights - Participant choice of provider - Care planning processes including conflict of interest provisions - Enrollment procedures - Environmental standards - Restrictive interventions - Staff training - Support coordination/case management (Others as appropriate)

Step 4: Analysis of Source Language Against Federal Regulatory Requirements

Using the "[Summary of Regulatory Requirements for Home and Community Based Settings](#)" as a guide, Lewin then synthesized the qualitative data for each setting and compiled areas of compliance and non-compliance. Settings that may potentially isolate individuals and support coordination activities considered in potential violation of the

new federal rules are included within the list of recommendations for potential change. In addition to the data set, Lewin drew upon interviews of key West Virginia staff, as well as years of Lewin experience in the LTSS field, to identify strengths and areas for potential growth for the state for inclusion within the report and transition plan.

Results and Recommendations

The Lewin Group presents recommendations across all waivers (Aged and Disabled Waiver Program, Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities and Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver Programs included in the review and when specific to a particular waiver, references are made. The information is organized by sections under the regulatory requirements for home and community based settings:

- CMS Descriptions for Institutional Settings and Qualities and Guidance on Settings that May Isolate Individuals
- Provider Controlled Setting Elements to Assess per New Federal Requirements
- Plan of Care Requirements for Modifications or Restrictions of a Participant's Rights; and
- Conflict of Interest Standards.

CMS Descriptions for Institutional Settings and Qualities and Guidance on Settings that May Isolate Individuals

Lewin reviewed the waiver source documents against CMS guidance and descriptions for institutional settings and qualities. Settings under this category are not home and community-based and include: a nursing facility; an institution for mental diseases; an intermediate care facility for individuals with intellectual disabilities; a hospital; or any other locations that have qualities of an institutional setting, as determined by the Secretary. Those settings that are presumed to have qualities of an institution include:

- Any setting that is located in a building that is also a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment,
- Any setting that is located in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution, or
- Any other setting that has the effect of isolating individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS from the broader community of individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

CMS also provided guidance on settings that may isolate individuals and Lewin applied this guidance during our review of the source documents. Settings with the following two characteristics may, but will not necessarily, meet CMS criteria for having the effect of isolating individuals: the setting is designed specifically for people with disabilities, and often even for people with a certain type of disability; and the individuals in the setting are primarily or exclusively people with disabilities and on-site staff provides many services to them. Settings that may isolate individuals receiving HCBS from the broader community may have any of the following characteristics:

- The setting is designed to provide people with disabilities multiple types of services and activities on-site, including housing, day services, medical, behavioral and therapeutic services, and/or social and recreational activities.
- People in the setting have limited, if any, interaction with the broader community.
- Settings that use/authorize interventions/restrictions that are used in institutional settings or are deemed unacceptable in Medicaid institutional settings (e.g. seclusion).

Lewin's findings from the analysis are provided below.

POSITIVE FINDINGS/AREAS OF COMPLIANCE

- The following IDDW services are compliant with, or not subject to, the regulation as it relates to settings within the IDDW: Service Coordination and Patient-Centered Support. Additionally, the Respite: Agency service clearly specifies that it is not available in medical hospitals, nursing homes, psychiatric hospitals or rehabilitative facilities located either within or outside of a medical hospital which is in full support of the characteristics outlined in rule.

RECOMMENDATIONS / AREAS OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE

The following settings with the IDDW are meant to deliver and/or support community integration to waiver participants, although one or more items found in the language for each may need to be revised and updated to specifically comply with the CMS regulations.

- **Facility Based Day Habilitation-** The facility-based nature of the service implies that participating individuals are isolated from the community. Additionally, the documents reviewed do not show that this service provides for meaningful community integration.
- **Supported employment-** The documents reviewed say the supported employment must be offered in “an integrated community work setting,” however there is no specification as to what the state does and does not classify as such a setting. Specific clarifying language surrounding this may be helpful.
- **Skilled Nursing (Nursing Services by a Licensed Practical Nurse)** – In addition to private homes, this service is allowable in: licensed group home, any ISS (Intensively Supported Setting), a licensed day program facility, and/or crisis sites. While the service and its related document do not appear to isolate the individual, the setting in which the service takes place may not comply with the regulations.
- **Electronic Monitoring/Surveillance System and On-Site Response-** This service is allowable in: licensed group home, any ISS, a licensed day program facility, and/or crisis sites. While the service and its related document do not appear to isolate the individual, the setting in which the service takes place may not comply with the regulations.

Services offered in both the ADW and TBIW appear to be offered in non-institutional settings compliant with the regulation.

The exact setting(s) of services across the three waivers cannot be fully known without a provider survey. Lewin recommends that the state use results from the upcoming provider survey to determine compliance with the regulation.

Provider Controlled Setting Elements to Assess per New Federal Requirements

Under the new HCBS rule, particular elements of provider controlled settings will be assessed. Lewin reviewed the state’s source documents and applied the CMS guidance on provider controlled settings. This guidance includes that the participant receiving services shall have the following rights and freedoms:

- Settings that are integrated within the community
- A choice in where to live with as much independence as possible
- Exercise informed choice
- A setting that ensures the one’s rights and protections; and
- A setting that optimizes personal autonomy.

Lewin's findings from the analysis are provided below.

POSITIVE FINDINGS/AREAS OF COMPLIANCE

- The state code for the IDDW provides clear guidance surrounding bedroom size, furnishings and quality and goes beyond what is typical for similar regulation found in other states.
- The state code for the IDDW also requires licensed behavioral health centers to be accessible and compliant with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- Supported Employment Services within the IDDW “are services that enable individuals to engage in paid, competitive employment, in integrated community settings. The services are for individuals who have barriers to obtaining employment due to the nature and complexity of their disabilities. The services are designed to assist individuals for whom competitive employment at or above the minimum wage is unlikely without such support and services and need ongoing support based upon the member’s level of need.” This service is fully compliant with community integration standards outlined in the requirements.

RECOMMENDATIONS / AREAS OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE

- For the IDDW, the behavioral health center regulation makes no reference to a limit on the number of beds in any given location. This could mean that subject settings could have more bedrooms than allowed by the CMS regulations and thus be considered as institutional settings. To amend this, the state could implement a cap on bedrooms per location in the regulations.
- Chapter 513 of the Provider Manual defines an ISS as a “residential home setting that is not licensed by the Office of Health Facility and Licensure with one to 3 adults living in the home. The member’s name is either on the lease or the member pays rent. No biological, adoptive or other family members reside in the home setting with the member.” To comply fully with characteristics outlined for provider controlled settings, it may be beneficial to modify the definition of ISS to require a lease or written agreement with tenant/landlord protection to document protections that address eviction processes and appeals similar to those provided under West Virginia Tenancy law.
- Given that the Utilization Management Contractor (UMC) is responsible for provider education, it may be beneficial to request that the ASO include the characteristics of community as well as steps to reach compliance within training content.
- The state code for the IDDW nor any other document reviewed mentions that participants living in licensed behavioral health centers have access to the following elements required in the HCBS regulation:
 - Entrance doors lockable by the individual, with only appropriate staff having keys to doors- not addressed in waiver documents. The state may need to add language addressing keys and locks to the behavioral health center regulation.
 - Roommate choice- not addressed in waiver documents. The state may need to add language addressing roommate choice to the behavioral health center regulation.
 - Freedom to furnish or decorate sleeping or living units-pg. 18 of the latest behavioral licensure regulation states: “6.6.e. Furnishings shall be homelike and personalized.” It may benefit the state to add language giving discretion on furnishing to the participant.
 - Access to visitors- The regulation calls for 24/7 access to visitors. The state may need to add language addressing visitors to the behavioral health center regulation.
 - Access to food- The regulation calls for 24/7 access to food. The behavioral licensure regulation says 6.6.n. Food services, when provided, shall: 6.6.n.1. Meet or exceed national nutritional standards; 6.6.n.2. Be planned with regularly documented assistance of a dietitian; and 6.6.n.3. Provide well-balanced meals and snacks (pg. 19). It does not guarantee around the clock access to food.

- Control over schedules and activities- The service definition of facility-based day habilitation does not appear to grant participants control over schedules and activities (e.g. “carry out assigned duties”, “attendance to work activity”). The other service offerings do not appear to be relevant to this section of the regulation.

Plan of Care Requirements for Modifications or Restrictions of a Participant’s Rights

Under the new federal regulations, CMS provides guidance on plan of care requirements for modifications or restrictions of an individual’s rights. For Lewin’s analysis of the source documents, we applied the CMS guidance to our review. The guidance notes if a right or freedom is modified or restricted, the following requirements must be documented in the person-centered service plan:

- A specific assessed need which requires a modification or restriction of a specific right or freedom.
- Positive interventions and supports used prior to any modifications to the person-centered service plan.
- Less intrusive methods of meeting the need that were tried but did not work.
- A clear description of the modification or restriction that is directly proportionate to the specific assessed need.
- Regular collection and review of data to measure ongoing effectiveness of restricted right.
- Established time limits for periodic reviews to determine if the modification is still necessary or can be terminated.
- Informed consent of the individual.
- An assurance that interventions and supports will cause no harm to the individual.

Any modification or restriction of the participant’s rights and freedoms must be supported by a specific assessed need and be approved by the participant or a legally authorized representative, who has the authority to restrict the specific right.

Lewin’s findings from the analysis are provided below.

POSITIVE FINDINGS/AREAS OF COMPLIANCE

- The ADW has participant-directed goods and services that align with CMS HCBS guidelines and address person centered requirements.
- The IDDW system has a broad and very easy to understand member handbook that can be used to build upon West Virginia person-centered practices.
- The IDDW manual provides a broad list of rights granted to waiver participants. These address more general, program-wide protections rather than rights associated with or pertaining to any particular service.
- The TBIW manual provides a broad list of rights granted to waiver participants. These address more general, program-wide protections rather than rights associated with or pertaining to any particular service. Additionally, Chapter 512 of the Provider Manual indicates that goals and objectives are “focused on providing services that are person-centered, that promote choice, independence, participant-direction, respect, and dignity and community integration.”
- For all three waiver programs, the role of the Human Rights Committee (HRC) appears to provide a firm foundation to the overall protection of basic rights and any restrictions needed to ensure health and welfare.

- The Service Coordination service supports the requirements of the HCBS rule in principle given that the definition specifies that along with the member, service coordination is “a life-long, person-centered, goal-oriented process for coordinating the supports (both natural and paid), range of services, instruction and assistance needed by persons with developmental disabilities...designed to ensure accessibility, accountability and continuity of support and services.... also ensures that the maximum potential and productivity of a member is utilized in making meaningful choices with regard to their life and their inclusion in the community”.

RECOMMENDATIONS / AREAS OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE

- Consider shifting from “member” and “participant” over to “person”. “Person (or people) who receives services” or “person who uses services” is most acceptable. These kinds of language changes will move West Virginia toward person-centered thinking and full person-centered planning. Additionally, consider changing “direct care worker” to “direct support professional”. There is significant advocacy and structured processes nationally to professionalize the direct service workforce.
- Throughout each waiver person-centered planning policy and practices, consider changing the word “goal” to “outcomes” or adding the word “outcomes” to the description. The HCBS rule uses the language of “goals and outcomes”. To further advance the culture change toward person-centered thinking, training providers on the meaning of “outcomes” will prove critical.
- For all three waivers, consider shifting from a starting point on “needs” (which focuses on what is “wrong” or seen as “problematic”) to what matters TO the person – wishes, desires and interests; then move onto needs. Additionally, consider changing language that requires attendance of key staff to requiring contributions even if key staff are unable to be present or not present at the request of the individual.
- The ADW program indicates that “the primary purpose of the meeting is to evaluate health and safety. All identified concerns with member health and safety must be addressed and reported using the IMS, and as appropriate, referred to Adult Protective Services”. Recommend that the language be revised to ensure that the meeting ensures that services and supports continue to meet the person’s needs AND review any concerns for health or safety. Further, recommend expanding the description of concerns to include risk in levels such as the health and safety concern is worrisome to the team but generally okay with the person; very worrisome and requires some kind of response plan that everyone can agree upon, etc.
- For the IDWW, interdisciplinary teams (IDTs) are historically different than a person-centered planning team and routinely come from a medical model approach, not a person-centered and person-directed approach. The current IDT process does not fully meet the HCBS regulations on person-centered planning. For example, the HCBS rule requires that the planning process is clear that the person can request an update and revision at any time, the plan must reflect risk factors and measures in place to minimize them, and the plan must address when a member does not want a “required” IDT participant. To more fully address the requirement that person-centered planning “includes strategies for solving conflict or disagreement within the process”, West Virginia could add language in policy and operations such as;
Service coordinators must work with the person who receives services and their legal/non-legal representatives and/or family members to choose a time and location that is convenient to them. Service coordination agencies must support service coordinators to facilitate and/or participate in person centered planning meetings that are not held during the traditional working hours of 8 am to 5 pm, Monday through Friday. The person who receives services and/or their legal/non-legal representative may indicate they do not wish to “attend” their person centered planning meeting in person; and/or they may also indicate that they do not wish for someone else to attend in person. As the person in charge of the meeting process, it is the decision of the person who uses

services regarding who actually attends the planning meeting. Should the person request that one of the “required” team members not be in attendance, the Service Coordinator is required to:

- 1) Find out from the person receiving services why they have requested the individual not attend; and see if any mutually agreeable resolution regarding their attendance can be reached;*
- 2) If a mutually agreeable resolution cannot be reached in time for the person centered planning meeting, the Service Coordinator is required to gather information ahead of time so that the individual being requested to not attend can still contribute necessary information. 3) Document as part of the planning process who the person did not wish to have in attendance and why; what steps were taken to resolve any existing conflict and what steps will be taken going forward to address the situation.*

- Cultural considerations should also be included in all three waiver person-centered planning processes. For example, West Virginia could add to policy the following:
The entire planning meeting process must take into consideration the culture of the person receiving services and their legal/non-legal representatives. Cultural considerations could include: Accessibility for people with disabilities and others with limited English proficiency, Time and location of meeting, Methods by which others are invited to the meeting, Clothing worn to the meeting, Language used during the meeting, Refreshments served during the meeting, Process for the meeting and Roles of each person in the meeting.
- Based on the reviewed documents, West Virginia’s ADW lacks surveys and/or quality documents that address the rights of individuals. Updating the ADW Participant Experience Survey is one potential way to address this area of non-compliance.
- The participant rights language within the IDDW may not provide depth as required by the HCBS regulation. Specifically, there is no language that includes the rights of participants within each service to ensure full community integration across the waiver. For example, Chapter 513 specifies the member’s right to have a choice of provider, address dissatisfaction, and to be free from abuse, neglect and financial exploitation. They also have a right to choose who attends their IDT meeting, but the “outcomes-oriented” right to receive services in a community integrated setting, to visit and choose setting options, to control personal resources and furnish and decorate living space, to name a few, is not evident and therefore not likely consistently applied across provider controlled settings.
- The IDDW Member handbook specifies that regardless of Service Delivery Model, members are assigned a Service Coordinator. Chapter 513 of the provider manual implies that the member can choose the service coordinator. Some clarity in the Member handbook may be helpful.
- The provided quality and review tools are similarly broad for the IDDW and only collect high-level data surrounding participant rights. With these tools, there is no way to fully and adequately measure whether participants are able to meaningfully act upon their rights. The state may need to update their participant rights section of the provider manual to reflect this, as well as update the IPP components to ensure rights are adequately conveyed and implemented.
- Based on the reviewed documents, West Virginia’s TBIW lacks comprehensive quality and/or review tools that address the rights of individuals. A participant and/or provider survey(s) is one potential way to address this area of non-compliance. A crosswalk between the provider review tool and person-centered planning requirements outlined in rule may be beneficial to identifying areas to strengthen. Similarly, working with UMC to modify, as appropriate, the self-review tool to collect outcomes associated with rights may prove useful to providing an overall picture of the quality of services.
- While the Human Rights Committee role is critical to ensuring protection, it may benefit West Virginia to strengthen provider training and quality provisions to clearly specify the characteristics outlined within the HCBS rule for inclusion in a person-centered plan (e.g. clearly articulating the assessed need which

requires a modification or restriction, the interventions used prior to the modification or restriction, a clear description of the modification or restriction as proportionate with the need, and periodic review and collection of data to monitor).

- Consider updating the member handbooks for the ADW and TBIW programs to match new CMS person centered requirements.

Conflict of Interest Standards

Under the new HCBS rule, the conflict of interest standards apply to all individuals and entities, public or private. Lewin reviewed the West Virginia source documents applying the CMS guidance that at a minimum, the agents must not be any of the following:

- Related by blood or marriage to the individual, or to any paid caregiver of the individual.
- Financially responsible for the individual.
- Empowered to make financial or health-related decisions on behalf of the individual.
- Have a financial relationship, compensation, and ownership or investment interest² in any entity that is paid to provide care for the individual.

Conflict of interest standards must be defined in a manner that ensures the independence of individual and agency agents who conduct (whether as a service or an administrative activity) the independent evaluation of eligibility for State plan HCBS, who are responsible for the independent assessment of need for HCBS, or who are responsible for the development of the service plan.

Lewin's findings from the analysis are provided below.

POSITIVE FINDINGS/AREAS OF COMPLIANCE

- The ADW and TBIW program includes guidance that prevents entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development from providing other direct waiver services to the participant.

RECOMMENDATIONS / AREAS OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE

- The IDWW manual does not appear to include language that explicitly prohibits conflict of interest and/or provides guidance on “firewalls” and other conflict mitigation techniques for providers offering both case management and direct services. To comply with CMS regulation, the state may wish to adopt language found in the TBIW and/or ADW programs to include conflict of interest guidance for IDWW providers.
- Chapter 501 of the Provider Manual indicates that an agency may provide both Case Management (CM) and Personal Assistance/Homemaker Services for members of the ADW program. There are requirements around the need for the provider to have a separate certification and provider number and separate staffing. Additionally, it is stated that “Conflicts of interest and self-referral are prohibited.” The provider must have written policies and procedures that protect the rights of members to request a transfer to a different agency, address dissatisfaction, and maintain confidentiality to name a few. The ADW program monitors conflict of interest by monitoring providers initially and on an ongoing basis in the Continuing Certification process. There is separation of agency types: Case Management and PA/Homemaker. Case Management agencies are certified and monitored separately and PA/Homemaker agencies are monitored separately. The ADW Monitoring tool could be strengthened to monitor conflict


² As defined in § 411.354 found at <https://www.kirschenbaumesq.com/article/pdf/001838-42-cfr-411354-financial-relationship-compensation-and-ownership-or-investment-interest.pdf>

of interest more closely. The CM Monitoring tool does not appear to include a review of conflict of interest. The same may be true for the TBIW as well given that the TBIW does allow case management and direct services as long as similar provisions are in place.

The current language for the TBIW and ADW programs appear to meet the requirements of CMS but could be strengthened, while there is no indication of conflict of interest prevention or mitigation in any IDDW document. The state should consider amending the provider manual and other appropriate policies and/or guidelines to strengthen conflict of interest standards.

Conclusion

The passage of the final HCBS rule adds value to the regulatory nature of HCBS by establishing characteristics of residential and non-residential settings and further promoting opportunities for individuals to have access to the benefits of community living available to all U.S. citizens. The changes to the HCBS regulation essentially establish an outcomes-oriented foundation to Medicaid funded HCBS and further solidifies the individual as the center of the system in a position of choice and control. The new rule supports and builds upon the longstanding CMS vision to “create a sustainable, person-driven long-term support system in which people with disabilities and chronic conditions have choice, control and access to a full array of quality services that assure optimal outcomes, such as independence, health and quality of life”. West Virginia can use the new rule as another tool in the toolbox (along with other federal opportunities such as the Administration for Community Living No Wrong Door Planning Grants and existing Money Follows the Person Demonstrations) to meet state desired goals for Medicaid HCBS. A model home and community based system is driven by a state’s vision and infrastructure and developed through strong stakeholder involvement. The final HCBS rule has direct impact on person-centered planning, housing and employment and associated state infrastructure. This regulatory review provides a foundation to changes that will strengthen the home and community based service delivery system. Blended with the provider survey process, a component of the state’s environmental scan, West Virginia will have a solid plan to transform the delivery system to fully include all individuals regardless of need, within their communities in a meaningful way.



Appendix B: Crosswalk for the Systemic Assessment of Existing Code and Regulations Relevant to the West Virginia HCBS State Transition Plan

The following West Virginia Code, Rules, Regulations and Policies were reviewed in the completion of this document:

- Chapter 501(Aged and Disabled Waiver), Chapter 512 (Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver) and Chapter 513 (Individuals with Developmental Disabilities Waiver) Bureau for Medical Services Medicaid Manuals.
- Code of State Rules 64 CSR 11, Behavioral Health Centers.
- Code of State Rules 64 CSR 74, Behavioral Health Consumer Rights. This includes the rights of "...individuals with mental illness, developmental disabilities or substance abuse." (Section 2.4)
- Code of State Rules 73 CSR 3, West Virginia State Plan for Aging. This includes individual rights.
- DHHR Room and Board Policy for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities

Note: - 64 CSR 74 does not have an enforcement section.

- Chapter 501(Aged and Disabled Waiver) and Chapter 512 (Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver) do not have provisions for services to be provided in provider owned or leased settings.

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
The setting is integrated in, and supports full access of, individual receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community...to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	The Bureau for Medical Services waiver manuals for ADW, TBIW and IDWW require person-centered plans. In addition, IDWW providers are licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Rules, but integration is not specifically required to the same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals have access to the greater community to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	1/1/2019
		Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rules to include that integration for persons receiving HCBS is required to the same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	9/30/2018
		Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts	6/1/2016

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
		for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that are integrated.	
The setting is integrated in, and ... [includes] opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings ... to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	The Bureau for Medical Services waiver manual for the IDDW requires person-centered plans to include and support opportunities for competitive community employment and that individuals with developmental disabilities are presumed capable of community employment. In addition, IDDW providers are licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Rules, but integration, and employment opportunities are not specifically required to the same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals have access to opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer employment and work in competitive integrated settings. Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rules to include that integration and opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings for persons receiving HCBS is required to the same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	1/1/2019 6/1/2016 9/30/2018
The setting...includes opportunities to engage in community life to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	IDDW providers are licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Code, but opportunities to engage in community life is not specifically required to the	Revise the service definition of Personal Attendant Services in the policy manual for the TBIW and Personal Assistance/Homemaker for the ADW to include	3/1/2018

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
	same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	language that supports the use of this service to promote individuals' integration in and access to the greater community. Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals have access to opportunities to engage in community life the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer individuals access to opportunities to engage in community life the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rules to include that integration and opportunities to engage in community life for persons receiving HCBS are required to the same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	1/1/2019 6/1/2016 9/30/2018
The setting includes opportunities to control personal resources to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	The Bureau for Medical Services waiver manuals for AD, TBI and IDD waivers require person-centered plans. IDDW Waiver providers are	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS	1/1/2019

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
	licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Rules, but opportunities to control personal resources are not specifically required to the same degree of access to the community as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS (64CSR11.5.4.e-h). The Room and Board Policy for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities, March, 1, 2015 requires that individuals have the opportunity to manage their own finances.	are provided and requires that individuals have access to opportunities to control personal resources to the same degree as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer individuals access to opportunities to control personal resources to the same degree of as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	6/1/2019
The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options including non-disability specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting. The setting options are identified and documented in the person-centered service plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, and, for residential settings, resources available for room and board.	IDDW providers are licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Rules, and the individual's needs and preferences are an integral part of the treatment plan (64CSR11.7.3.a) Setting options are not required to be documented in the person-centered service plan/treatment plan. Resources and a budget, including residential provisions are included in the Treatment plans.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals have the choice of setting(s) and select a setting from among options including non-disability specific settings, including residential settings, based on resources available. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that individuals have chosen, including residential settings, and that the options are documented. Modify 64CSR11 of the	1/1/2019 6/1/2016

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
		State Rules to include that setting options discussed are included in the individual treatment plan.	9/30/2018
An individual's essential personal rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint are protected.	Annual review of the rights of individuals is required for all providers of HCBS and is provided to all individuals receiving HCBS. Review and assurance of rights of individuals is ensured in §64CSR11-8 of the state code.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals' rights of privacy, dignity, respect, freedom from coercion and freedom from restraint are protected. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings where individuals' rights of privacy, dignity, respect, freedom from coercion and freedom from restraint are protected.	1/1/2019 6/1/2016
The setting optimizes, but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices. This includes, but not limited to, daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact. Individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them, is facilitated.	The Bureau for Medical Services waiver manuals for AD, TBI and IDD waivers require person-centered plans IDDW providers are licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Rules, but individual choice is not specifically addressed in the Rule. Individuals have the right to treatment and services that support his/her liberty (64CSR11.8.1.a.1).	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals' autonomy and choice are supported. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings where individuals' autonomy and choice are supported.	1/1/2019 6/1/2016

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
In provider owned or leased residential settings, the unit or dwelling is a specific physical place that can be owned, rented, or occupied under a legally enforceable agreement by the individual receiving services, and the individual has, at a minimum, the same responsibilities and protections from eviction that tenants have under the landlord/tenant law of the State, county, city, or other designated entity. For settings in which landlord tenant laws do not apply, the State must ensure that a lease, residency agreement or other form of written agreement will be in place for each HCBS participant, and that that the document provides protections that address eviction processes and appeals comparable to those provided under the jurisdiction's landlord tenant law.	ADW and TBIW do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings. 27CSR6 of the WV State Rules does not require that persons with disabilities have the same responsibilities and protections as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided and requires that individuals in residential settings owned or leased by the provider have the same rights and responsibilities as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. This includes leases or residency agreements including protections like those in the landlord tenant law.	1/1/2019
	Neither the IDWW manual nor the State Rule governing licensed residential settings for persons with disabilities specify that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have a lease or legally enforceable agreement protecting his/her rights.	Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings where individuals in residential settings have individual leases when these settings are owned or leased by the provider.	6/1/2016
		Modify 27CSR6 of the State Rule governing landlord/tenant relationships to include that persons with disabilities must have a lease which addresses individual rights and protections.	1/1/2019
In provider owned or leased residential settings, each individual has privacy in their sleeping/living unit: Units have entrance doors lockable by the individual, with only appropriate staff having keys to doors, including bath and bedroom	ADW and TBIW Waivers do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings. Neither the IDWW waiver manual nor the State Rule governing licensed residential settings for	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS are provided including the requirements specific to provider owned or leased	1/1/2019

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
keys.	persons with disabilities specify that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have privacy, including lockable sleeping/bathroom units.	settings, and requires that individuals have privacy in their sleeping/living units, including lockable bathrooms and bedrooms. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer individuals have privacy in their sleeping/living units, including lockable bathrooms and bedrooms when those units are owned or leased by the provider. Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rules to include that individuals have privacy in their sleeping/living units, including lockable bathrooms and bedrooms when those units are licensed.	6/1/2016 9/30/2018
In provider owned or leased residential settings: Individuals sharing units have a choice of roommates in that setting.	ADW and TBIW do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings. Neither the IDWW manual nor the State Rules governing licensed residential settings for persons with disabilities specify that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have choice of roommates.	Implement new HCBS Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided, and requires including the requirements specific to provider owned or leased settings. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer individuals their choice of roommates when those units are owned or	1/1/2019 6/1/2016

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
		leased by the provider. Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rules to include that individuals have their choice of roommates in licensed residential settings.	9/30/2018
In provider owned or leased residential settings: Individuals have the freedom to furnish and decorate their sleeping or living units within the lease or other agreement.	AD and TBI Waivers do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings. Neither the IDWW manual nor the State Rules governing licensed residential settings for persons with disabilities specify that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have the freedom to furnish and decorate sleeping and living units subject to the limitations of the lease.	Implement new HCBS Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided, including that that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have the freedom to furnish and decorate sleeping and living units subject to the limitations of the lease.	1/1/2019
		Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have the freedom to furnish and decorate sleeping and living units subject to the limitations of the lease.	6/1/2016
		Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rule to include that individuals have the freedom to furnish and decorate sleeping and living units subject to the limitations of the lease when those units are licensed.	9/30/2018

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
In provider owned or leased residential settings, individuals have the freedom and support to control their own schedules and activities, and have access to food at any time.	ADW and TBIW do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings.	Implement new HCBS Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided, including the requirements specific to provider owned or leased settings.	1/1/2019
	Neither the IDWW manual nor the State Rule governing licensed residential settings for persons with disabilities specify that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must have access to food at any time.	Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct residential setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer individuals have the freedom to control their activities, schedules and access to food. When those settings are owned or leased by the provider.	6/1/2016
	Both the IDWW and the State Rule governing licensed residential settings for persons with disabilities specify that individuals participate in their individual treatment plan/service plan, which includes schedules and activities.	Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rule to include that individuals have access to food at any time in their residential sleeping/living units, when those units are licensed.	9/30/2018
In provider owned or operated residential settings, individuals are able to have visitors of their choosing at any time.	ADW and TBIW do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings.	Implement new HCBS Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided, including the requirements specific to provider owned or operated settings. This includes that individuals have visitors of their choosing at any time.	1/1/2019
	Neither the IDWW manual nor the State Rule governing licensed residential settings for persons with disabilities specify that individuals residing in provider owned or leased settings must be able to have visitors at any time.	Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts	6/1/2016

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
		for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that offer individuals the right to have visitors of their choosing at any time when those settings are owned or leased by the provider. Modify 64CSR11 of the State Rules to include that individuals have the right to have visitors of their choosing at any time when the individual resides in a setting owned or leased by the provider.	9/30/2018
In Provider owned or operated settings, the setting is physically accessible to individuals.	The Bureau for Medical Services waiver manuals for AD, TBI and IDD waivers require person-centered plans to address physical accessibility. However, Aged and Disabled and TBIW Waivers do not provide services in provider owned or leased settings. IDDW Waiver providers are licensed as Behavioral Health Centers, under 64CSR11 of the State Code, and physical accessibility is required under 64CSR11.6.1.g which requires compliance with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.	Implement new HCBS and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided, including the requirements specific to provider owned or operated settings. Implement the HCBS setting evaluation tool designed to conduct setting reviews of providers of HCBS, including prompts for ensuring HCBS are provided in settings that are accessible to the individual.	1/1/2019 6/1/2016
Locations that have qualities of institutional settings, shall not provide HCBS. Any setting that is located in a building that is also a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment, or in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution.	There are no state rules or standards that address this issue.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided and recognizes the individual's opportunity to choose among services/settings that address assessed needs in the least restrictive	1/1/2019

Federal Regulation	Areas of Compliance in State Standards	Remediation Required	Projected Completion Date
		manner, promote autonomy and full access to the community, and minimize dependency on paid supports.	
Home and community-based settings do not include the following: a nursing facility; institution for mental diseases; an intermediate care facility for individuals with intellectual disabilities; a hospital.	64CSR11 of the State Rule for Behavioral Health Centers specifies in Section 3.7 that the listed entities may not be defined as Behavioral Health Centers.	Implement new Home and Community-Based Services Administration rule that describes the characteristics required of all settings in which HCBS is provided and recognizes the individual's opportunity to choose among services/settings that address assessed needs in the least restrictive manner, promote autonomy and full access to the community, and minimize dependency on paid supports.	1/1/2019

Appendix C: Public Notice For First Transition Plan (please note these hyperlinks no longer work)

Information about the Public Notice and comment time period were published to the WVDHHR, Bureau for Medical Services Public Notice page at <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>

The URL for the public notice was: <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/HCBSSTP/Pages/default.aspx>. The public notice language is below.

Home and Community Based Services Information About New Rule

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) recently released new regulations and guidance on the delivery of home and community-based services (HCBS) offered through Medicaid waiver programs. Through this new rule, CMS intends to ensure that individuals receiving HCBS through Medicaid waivers have full access to integrated, community living including receiving services in the most integrated setting possible. To increase understanding of the rule for individuals receiving services, family members and providers, the West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services will post information and relevant materials on this webpage.

To fully implement the new rule from CMS, West Virginia must submit a transition plan for the each Medicaid waiver offering HCBS to ensure compliance of the new rule. The Bureau for Medical Services is soliciting comments on the draft Transition Plans until **December 26, 2014**. There is one transition plan for each waiver. The transition plans will be combined into one Statewide Transition Plan. Comments from the public will be used to complete the final Statewide Transition Plan to submit to CMS.

Please email WVWaiverTransitions@wv.gov to submit comments and indicate to which waiver(s) your comments pertain or mail comments to:

Bureau for Medical Services
ATTN: WV HCBS Waiver Transition Plan
(Indicate the waiver(s) to which the comments pertain)
350 Capitol Street, Room 251
Charleston, WV 25301

Links to the draft Statewide Transition Plan, waiver-specific transition plans and other supporting documents for review are provided in the links below.

Draft Transition Plans

[Public Notice](#)
[Statewide Transition Plan](#)
[ADW Transition Plan](#)
[I/DD Waiver Transition Plan](#)
[TBIW Waiver Transition Plan](#)

Current waivers

Aged and Disability Waiver (<http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/ADW/Pages/default.aspx>)

Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities Waiver

(<http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/IDDW/Pages/default.aspx>)

Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver

(<http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/TBIWWS/Pages/TBIWWS.aspx>)

General Background Information

[CMS Fact sheets on Home and Community Based Services](#)

[HCBS Advocacy \(Information for advocates about new HCBS rules\)](#)

If you have any questions or comments, please email the West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services at WVWaiverTransitions@wv.gov or call 304-356-4892

Appendix D: Supplemental Form used at Public Forum

Form for Additional Comments (Front)

Thank you for attending today's meeting! The West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services will take your feedback from today and incorporate it into the final plan. If you have additional comments, please complete this form and mail to:

Bureau for Medical Services
ATTN: WV Transition Plan
350 Capitol Street, Room 251
Charleston, WV 25301

Comments on Statewide Transition Plan:

Comments on Aged and Disability Waiver Transition Plan:

Form for Additional Comments (Back)

Comments on Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver Transition Plan:

Comments on Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities Waiver Transition Plan:

Other Comments:

Appendix E: Distribution Lists used for Public Notice Dissemination for First Version

An announcement about the public notice was sent to the following distribution lists:

- All IDWW Providers
- All TBIW providers
- IDWW Quality Improvement Advisory Council
- IDWW Specialized Family Care / West Virginia UCEDD
- WV Development Disabilities Council
- Olmstead Council stakeholders
- West Virginia Advocates (TBIW and ADW) (email and posted on their Facebook)

A copy of the announcement is below:

Please send the following announcement to all IDWW and TBIW providers, the quality councils and any other interested stakeholders today. Also, I need you to keep a copy of whoever you send the announcement to and send to me for verification that these groups were notified. Thanks.

On behalf of the Bureau for Medical Services, APS Healthcare is notifying you the WV Statewide Waiver Transition Plan has been posted for public comment until December 26.

2014. You may comment on the entire plan which includes all three Waivers {ADW, IDWW and TBIW} or you may comment on the individual waivers.

You have four ways to make a comment and the particulars of how to do this are in the posted Transition Plan:

1. *Respond by email*
2. *Respond in writing*
3. *Call the Bureau for Medical Services*

Attend the Public Hearing on Dec. 15, 2014 from 1-3 pm at the Bureau of Senior Services in Charleston, WV.

*Please go to this website to view the public notice by clicking the blue PUBLIC NOTICE letters:
<http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>*

Please post this in a visible site at your agency and please ask your workers to share this with the members they serve. All members will have an opportunity to complete a survey within this next year.

Thank you!

If you have questions regarding this email, please contact [name] at [phone number].

Copies of the emails and distribution lists are below (email distribution lists to advocates and individual stakeholders are not included).

- IDW/TBIW/ADW Provider Lists are below
 - List 1
 - 'bhite@afrnwv.com'; 'jguire@achcinc.org'; 'rkiley@achcinc.org';
'gperkins@achcinc.org'; 'jmessenger@achcinc.org'; 'lstruble@achcinc.org';
Adkins,Mary; Boris,Karen; Britton, Jennifer; Bruer, Kevin; Craig,Sharla;Combs,
Tabitha; Deutsch,Melissa; Eva, Jennifer;Forbes, Melissa;Hayes,
Catherine;Hudnall, Kenneth;Jamnick, Rebecca; McGurty, Lori; Miles-Schwartz,
Kristi; Miller,Samuel;Oscanyan,Nora; Recknagel,Barbara; Ruppert, Joshua;
Shamblin,Tami; Snyder, Helen; Schell, Kay; Thomas, Jeremy; Wilson,Lisa;
Workman, Erica; Powell, Amber;Lowe, Michael;Holliday, Nicole;
'champaignlori@yahoo.com';'ddkelly@archc.com';'stutlerhollie@yahoo.com';'c
ptc1408@yahoo.com'; 'bridgetshreve@yahoo.com';
'armsteadtrish@yahoo.com'; 'jbailey@archthreeivers.org';
'sfile@archthreeivers.org'; 'arc3@archthreeivers.org';
'kdingess@archthreeivers.org';'phillips@autismgroup.org';'torrey.baker@autism
group.org'; 'apatnaik@autismgroup.org';'mike@autismwv.org';
'tom@autismwv.org';'barbara@autismwv.org'; 'jmoss@autismwv.org';
'mjohnson@autismwv.org'; 'jadkins@autismwv.org';
'amber.belknap@gmail.com'; 'angiemccomas@hotmail.com';
'samwmbhswv@gmail.com'; 'Cynthia.E.Beane@wv.gov';
'mary.g.mcquain@wv.gov'; 'patricia.s.nisbet@wv.gov';'taniua.r.hardy@wv.gov';
'kcollins@mulberrystreetmanagement.com'; 'rdesmond@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';
'jhillman@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';
'wallen@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';
'cturrentine@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'rmcelrath@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'dparrucci@mulberrystreetmanagement.com'; 'ksmith@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';
'pgoheen@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';'nbrolin@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';
'willperkins@childhswv.org'; 'stuck@childhswv.org';
'jwindon@childhswv.org'; 'thickman@childhswv.org';
'chris.messenger@csiww.com'; 'greg.messenger@csiww.com';
'jmcbrc93@yahoo.com'; 'randalcsml.com'; 'donnaturner-csm@outlook.com';
'hayleighsmom6@hotmail.com'; 'k.hagedorn@dailycompanionsinc.com';
'l.fox@dailycompanionsinc.com'; 'dci@dailycompanionsinc.com';
'b.ball@dailycompanionsinc.com';'e.bricker@dailycompanionsinc.com';'dcandw@frontier.com';
'kellison@datwv.com'; 'srtomblin@gmail.com';
'cwatson@datwv.com'; 'rconner@eastridgehs.org';
'pmacon@eastridgehs.org'; 'rhite@eastridgehs.org'; 'kcuster@eastridgehs.org';
'kblake@fmrs.org'; 'dcoulter@fmrs.org'; 'jhamrick@fmrs.org'; 'tking@fmrs.org';

'mmays@fmrs.org'; 'mredman@fmrs.org'; 'ssimpson@fmrs.org';
 'globalaccessllc@gmail.com'; 'erichyett@hotmail.com'; 'burice@mix.wvu.edu';
 'kevin.ed@frontiernet.net'; 'rbosley_hcssc@yahoo.com'; 'blondede202@hotmail.com';
 'amandahcsw@comcast.net'; 'jnshcsw@comcast.net';
 'mbhagg@comcast.net'; 'jryanh.c.s.w@gmail.com'; 'btm41383@gmail.com';
 'dclark@healthwaysinc.com'; 'rgable@healthwaysinc.com';
 'dszeligo@healthwaysinc.com'; 'kprice@healthwaysinc.com';
 'kwhitehouse@healthwaysinc.com'; 'tstemple@healthwaysinc.com';
 'tlewis@healthwaysinc.com'; 'jklobucar@healthwaysinc.com'; 'hcil@suddenlinkmail.com';
 'jackiepurkey@yahoo.com'; 'vburdette@int-res-inc.com';
 'esmith@mountainheartwv.org'; 'lmorgan@int-res-inc.com';
 'rvance@int-res-inc.com'; 'cstafford@int-res-inc.com'; 'iri@int-res-inc.com';
 'jstanley@int-res-inc.com'; 'jccoajcdirector@frontier.com';
 'jsassi@jcdcworks.com'; 'cgreening@jcdcworks.com'; 'rcurtis@jcdcworks.com';
 'scorso@jobsquadinnc.org'; 'bhellwig@jobsquadinnc.org';
 'cwilson@jobsquadinc.org'; 'tkline@jobsquadinc.org';
 'djenkins@jobsquadinc.org'; 'lanab8504@yahoo.com'; 'tbryant@lmamh.org';
 'mlayne@lmamh.org'; 'dcooke@lmamh.org'; 'jlakes@mainstreamservices.org';
 'deana_prince@yahoo.com'; 'dprince@mainstreamservices.org'; 'rprince@mainstreamservices.org';
 'deb.mitchell@midvalleyhealth.com';
 'lbishop@northwoodhealth.com'; 'mark.games@corp.northwoodhealth.com';
 'tferrell@northwoodhealth.com'; 'dmitchel@northwoodhealth.com';
 'enolan@northwoodhealth.com'; 'mgust@northwoodhealth.com';
 'emodar@northwoodhealth.com'; 'angela.richards@molinahealthcare.com';
 'bgoldstein@nhrsi.org'; 'mhopkins@nhrsi.org'; 'james.a.cooper@wv.gov';
 'jarbuckle@suddenlinkmail.com'; 'stalbott@suddenlinkmail.com';
 'ahinkle@suddenlinkmail.com'; 'ghemelt@paceenterprises.org';
 'jstrakal@paceenterprises.org'; 'tgasior@paceenterprises.org';
 'droberts@paceenterprises.org'; 'bstrakal@paceenterprises.org'; 'gmorris@paceenterprises.org';
 'ssnyder@paceenterprises.org'; 'sstephan@paiswv.com';
 'drice@paiswv.com'; 'mwilson@paiswv.com'; 'lwhite@paiswv.com';
 'barboursville@paiswv.com'; 'beckley@paiswv.com'; 'lewisburg@paiswv.com';
 'dgreenwald@paiswv.com'; 'nparkersburg@paiswv.com'; 'princeton@paiswv.com';
 'ripley@paiswv.com'; 'rworkman@pcasolutions.com';
 'klinton@pcasolutions.com'; 'shudson@pcasolutions.com';
 'agosnell@potomaccenter.com'; 'rharshbarger@potomaccenter.com';
 'kholmstetter@potomaccenter.com'; 'bnelson@potomaccenter.com';
 'skaizer@potomaccenter.com'; 'tbeeman@potomaccenter.com';
 'ckitzmiller@hotmail.com'; 'sharone@phgmail.net';
 'brandonj@phgmail.net'; 'jerrenas@potomachighlandsguild.com';
 'rkhill@pcgus.com'; 'sburchfiel@pcgus.com'; 'dhorvath@pcgus.com';
 'tangel@pcgus.com'; 'barbara.hamrick@prestera.org';

'joyel.finley@prestera.org'; 'donna.rollins@thementornetwork.com';
'angie.merriitt@thementornetwork.com'; 'ann.iams@thementornetwork.com';
'serina.hendricks@thementornetwork.com';
'jane.ketcham@thementornetwork.com'; 'cynthia.dickerson@thementornetwork.com';
'tamika.jones@thementornetwork.com';
'stephanie.kulin@thementornetwork.com';
'heather.moran@thementornetwork.com';
'nicole.hardman@thementornetwork.com';
'barbara.schramm@thementornetwork.com';
'amanda.weber@thementornetwork.com'; 'kim.george@thementornetwork.com';
'rosemary.wilshire@thementornetwork.com'; 'michael.schwartz@thementornetwork.com';
'amanda.hagler@thementornetwork.com';
'billy.crum@thementornetwork.com'; 'cheryl.herdman@thementornetwork.com';
'tiffany.allen@thementornetwork.com';
'ashley.kearns@thementornetwork.com';
'rachel.salkovick@thementornetwork.com'; 'jason.corrick@thementornetwork.com';
'jo.rouse@thementornetwork.com';
'amanda.totten@thementornetwork.com';
'scott.baughman@thementornetwork.com';
'brenda.page@thementornetwork.com'; 'holly.bond@thementornetwork.com';
'maranda.mathews@thementornetwork.com';
'carie.dawson@thementornetwork.com';
'ross.mason@thementornetwork.com'; 'karen.eddy@thementornetwork.com';
'lyndon.koskey@thementornetwork.com';
'jason.lynch@thementornetwork.com'; 'billie.dillaman@thementornetwork.com';
'ed.edwards@thementornetwork.com';
'robin.fox@thementornetwork.com';
'david.kuckuck@thementornetwork.com'; 'candice.merkle@thementornetwork.com';
'david.miller@thementornetwork.com';
'jason.corrick@thementornetwork.com';
'jessica.hartley@thementornetwork.com';
'jordan.elliott@thementornetwork.com'; 'jason.jones@thementornetwork.com';
'cmassie@rescare.com'; 'bstump@rescare.com'; 'triggins@rescare.com';
'jshrewsbury@rescare.com'; 'dana.bess@rescare.com';
'whalstead@rescare.com'; 'swhite@rescare.com'; 'jacanfield@rescare.com';
'zcuozzo@rescare.com'; 'rsigley@rescare.com'; 'scheng@rescare.com';
'lbeezel@rescare.com'; 'sschumacher@rescare.com';
'jsalesky@rescare.com'; 'nkesner@rescare.com'; 'bkesner@rescare.com';
'mlandis@rescare.com'; 'michelle.barnhart@rescare.com';
'vblanks@rescare.com'; 'cmwatson@rescare.com'; 'ccoburn@rescare.com';
'jrwilson@rescare.com'; 'jhubbard@rescare.com'; 'cshaw@rescare.com';
'rgoodson@rescare.com'; 'mgraver@rescare.com'; 'donna.farley@rescare.com';

'tice@rescare.com'; 'adcollins@rescare.com'; 'mwiseman@rescare.com';
 'dposey@rescare.com'; 'ssnyder@rescare.com'; 'tiffany.kiess@rescare.com';
 'mare.wilson@rescare.com'; 'monica.watson@rescare.com'; 'jmeeks@
 rescare.com'; 'jessica.white@rescare.com'; 'criggins@rescare.com';
 'kmccclung@rescare.com'; 'rlshrewsbury@rescare.com'; 'anhill@rescare.com';
 'carolfarmer@rescare.com'; 'ljohnes@rescare.com'; 'jamilam@rescare.com';
 'gdelligatti@rescare.com'; 'jlough@rns-watch.org'; 'jholden@rns-watch.org';
 'kwhetzel@rns-watch.org'; 'lseabright@rns-watch.org'; 'lbragg@shsinc.org';
 'dchapman@shsinc.org'; 'mshires@shsinc.org'; 'guyhens@shsinc.org';
 'rwyre@shsinc.org'; 'duanebragg@hotmail.com'; 'jrho_22@yahoo.com';
 'jilld772000@yahoo.com'; 'melissa@wvbrighthorizons.com';
 'remington26205@yahoo.com'; 'jennbenn33@gmail.com';
 'jimmie@solutionspbs.com'; 'malena@solutionspbs.com';
 'solutions@solutionspbs.com'; 'michaellewis@shcmhc.com';
 'judyakers@shcmhc.com'; 'rhondahebb@shcmhc.com'; 'susiebell@shc
 mhc.com'; 'bblackburn@starlightbhs.com'; 'cristy.hamilton@yahoo.com';
 'asearls@starlightbhs.com'; 'cthompson@starlightbhs.com'; 'rcassell@starl
 ightbhs.com'; 'kebtroop99@gmail.com'; 'lkd@sscwv.com'; 'ple@sscwv.net';
 'lkb@sscwv.com'; 'avm@sscwv.com';
 'imj@sscwv.com'; 'hlb@sscwv.com'; 'dmb@sscwv.net';
 'jwstevenson@stevensonwv.com'; 'mcrocicchia@stevensonwv.com';
 'cmurrhee@stevensonwv.com'; 'clewis@stevensonwv.com'; 'stonebrooksharp@
 yahoo.com'; 'stonebrookrorex@yahoo.com';
 'apennell@swresources.com'; 'adsycamorerrun@gmail.com';
 'tcsycamorerrun@gmail.com'; 'donnaswick@gmail.com'; 'melissaroderick27@yah
 oo.com'; 'lisalynbell@aol.com'; 'dbarickman@uscwv.org'; 'sharris@uscwv.org';
 'kreed@uscwv.org'; 'skniceley@uscwv.org'; 'cjohnston@uscwv.org';
 'rwilliams@uscwv.org'; 'jkniceley@uscwv.org'; 'nbritt@uscwv.org';
 'chris@upwv.org'; 'jcoombs@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'cperone@valleyhealthcare.o
 rg'; 'tkelley@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'melshiaty@valleyhealthcare.org';
 'jcarter@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'arobins@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'csnyder@val
 leyhealthcare.org'; 'sestes@valleyhealthcare.org';
 'pgore@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'sphillips@westbrookhealth.com';
 'sreaser@westbrookhealth.com'; 'jmarion@westbrookhealth.com';
 'joann@westbrookhealth.com'; 'cmarks@westbrookhealth.com'; 'samantha
 .a.robinson@wv.gov'; 'chausch@wvadvocates.org';
 'mark@wvbehavioralhealth.org'; 'mcollins@wvemstsn.net';
 'linda.s.higgs@wv.gov'; 'teresa.l.mccourt@wv.gov'; 'essmith@hughes.com';
 'gkfreeman@yahoo.com'; 'JRH0_22@yahoo.com'; 'nooch@comcast.net';
 'roger.s.whaley@wv.gov'; 'beth.j.morrison@wv.gov'; 'lesa.dye@rescare.com'

○ List 2

- 'bhite@afmwv .com'; 'jgui re@achcinc.org'; 'rkiley@achci nc.org';
'gperkins@achcinc.org'; 'jmessenger@achci nc.org'; 'lstruble@achcinc.org';
Adkins, Mary; Boris, Karen; Britton, Jennifer; Bruer, Kevin; Craig, Sharla; Combs,
Tabitha; Deutsch, Melissa; Eva, Jennifer; Forbes, Melissa; Hayes, Catherine;
Hudnall, Ken; Jamnick, Rebecca; McGurty, Lori; Miles- Schwartz, Kristi;
Miller, Samuel; Oscanyan, Nora; Recknagel, Barbara; Ruppert, Joshua; Shamblin,
Tami; Snyder, Helen; Schell, Kay; Thomas, Jeremy; Wilson, Lisa; Workman, Erica;
Powell, Amber; Lowe, Michael; Holliday, Nicole; 'champaignlori@yahoo.com';
'ddkelly@arc- hc.com'; 'stutlerholli@yahoo .com'; 'cptc1408@yahoo .com';
'bridgetshreve@yahoo .com'; 'armsteadtrish@yahoo.com' ;
'jbailey@archtreerivers.org'; 'sfile@archtreerivers.org'; 'arc3@archtreerivers
.org'; 'kdingess@archtreerivers .org'; 'phillips@autismgroup.org';
'torrey.baker@autismgroup.org'; 'apatnaik@autismgroup.org';
'mike@autismwv.org'; 'tom@autismwv .org'; 'barbara@autismwv.org';
'jmoss@autismwv.org'; 'mjohnson@autismwv .org'; 'jadkins@autismwv.org';
'amber.belknap@gmail.com'; 'angiemccomas@hotmail.com';
'samwmbhswv@gmail.com'; Beane, Cynthia E; McQuain, Mary G; Nisbet,
Patricia S; Hardy, Taniua R; 'kcollins@mulberrystreetmanagement.co m';
'rdesmond@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'jhillman@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'wallen@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'cturrentine@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'rmcelrath@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'dparrucci@mulberrystreetmanagement.com' ;
'ksmith@mulberrystreetmanagemen t.com'; 'pgoheen@mul
berrystreetmanagement.com' ; 'nbrolin@mulberrystreetmanagement.com';
'willperkins@childhswv.org'; 'stuck@childhswv.org' ; 'jwindon@childhswv.org';
'thickman@childhswv.org'; 'chris.messenger@csiww .com'; 'greg.messenger@cs
iww.com'; 'jmcbr93@yahoo .com' ; 'randalcsn@hotmail.com'; 'donnaturner-
csm@outlook .com'; 'hayleighsmom6@hotmail.com';
'k.hagedorn@dailycompanionsinc.com'; 'l.fox@dailycompanionsinc.com' ;
'dci@dailycompanionsinc .com'; 'b.ball@dailycompanionsinc.com';
'e.bricker@dailycompanionsinc.com';
'dcandw@frontier.com'; 'kellison@datwv.com'; 'srtomblin@gmail.com';
'cwatson@datwv .com'; 'rconner@eastridgehs .org';
'pmacon@eastridgehs.org'; 'rhite@eastridgehs .org'; 'kcuster@eastridgehs
.org'; 'kblake@fmrs.org'; 'dcoulter@fmrs.org'; 'jhamrick@fmrs.org' ;
'tking@fmrs.org'; 'mmays@fmrs.org'; 'mredman@fmrs
.org'; 'ssimpson@fmrs.org'; 'globalaccessllc@gmail .com';
'erichyett@hotmail.com'; 'burice@mix .wvu .edu'; 'kevin.ed@frontiernet.net' ;
'rbosley_hcssc@yahoo.com'; 'blondee202@hotmail.com' ;
'amandahcsw@comcast.net' ; 'jnshcsw@comcast.ne t'; 'mbhagg@comcast.net' ;

'jryanh.c.s.w@gmail.com' ; 'btm41383@gmail.com';
 'dclark@healthwaysinc.com'; 'rgable@healthways inc.com';
 'dszeligo@healthwaysinc.com'; 'kprice@healthwaysinc.com';
 'kwhitehouse@healthwaysinc.com';
 'tstemple@healthwaysinc.com'; 'tlewis@healthwaysi nc.com';
 'jklobucar@healthwaysinc.com'; 'hcil@suddenlinkmail.com';
 'jackiepurkey@yahoo .com'; 'vburdette@int-res-inc.com';
 'esmith@mountainheartwv.org'; 'lmorgan@int-res-inc.com'; 'rvance@int-res-
 inc.com'; 'cstafford@int-res-inc.com'; 'iri@int-res- inc.com'; 'jstanley@int-res-
 inc.com'; 'jccoajcdirector@frontier.com'; 'jsassi @jcdcworks .com';
 'cgreening@jcdcworks.com'; 'rcurtis@jcdcworks .com'; 'scorso@jobsquadi
 nc.org'; 'bhellwig@jobsquadi nc.org'; 'cwilson@jobsquadinc.org';
 'tkline@jobsquad inc.org'; 'djenkins@jobsquadinc.org';
 'lanab8504@yahoo.com'; 'tbryant@lmamh.org'; 'mlayne@lmamh.org';
 'dcooke@lmamh.org'; 'jlakes@mainstreamservices.org' ;
 'deana_prince@yahoo.com'; 'dprince@mainstreamservices.org';
 'rprince@mainstreamservices.org'; 'deb.mitchell@midvalleyhealth.com';
 'lbishop@northwoodhealth.com'; 'mark.games@corp.northwoodhealth.com';
 'tferrell@northwoodhealth.com';
 'dmitchel@northwoodhealth.com'; 'enolan@northwoodhealth.com';
 'mgust@northwoodhealth.com'; 'emodar@northwoodheal th.com';
 'angela.richards@molinahealthcare.com'; 'bgoldstein@nhrsi.org';
 'mhopkins@nhrsi.org'; Cooper, James A; 'jarbuckle@suddenlinkmail.com';
 'stalbott@suddenlinkmail.com'; 'ahinkle@suddenlinkmail.com';
 'ghemelt@paceenterpri ses.org'; 'jstrakal@paceenterprises .org'; 'tgasior@
 paceenterprises .org'; 'droberts@paceenterpr ises.org';
 'bstrakal@paceenterprises .org'; 'gmorris@paceenterpri ses.org';
 'ssnyder@paceenterprises.org'; 'sstephan@paiswv.com'; 'drice@paiswv.com';
 'mwilson@paiswv .com'; 'lwhite@paiswv.com'; 'barboursville@pa iswv.com';
 'beckley@paiswv.com'; 'lewisburg@paiswv.com'; 'dgreenwald@paiswv.com';
 'nparkersburg@paiswv.com'; 'princeton@paiswv.com'; 'ripley@paiswv.com';
 'rworkman@pcasolutions.com'; 'klinton@pcasolutions.com';
 'shudson@pcasolutions.com'; 'agosnell@potomaccenter .com';
 'rharshbarger@potomaccenter .com'; 'khelmstetter@potomaccenter .com';
 'bnelson@potomaccenter .com'; 'skaiser@potomaccenter .com';
 'tbeeman@potomaccenter .com'; 'ckitzmiller@hotmail.com';
 'sharone@phgmail.net' ; 'brandonj@phgmail.net';
 'jerrenas@potomachighlandsguild.com'; 'rkill@pcgus.com';
 'sburchfiel@pcgus.com'; 'dhorvath@pcgus .com'; 'tangel@pcgus
 .com'; 'barbara.hamrick@prestera .org'; 'joyel.finley@prestera
 .org'; 'donna.rollins@thementornetwork .com';
 'angie.merritt@thementornetwork .com'; 'ann.iams@thementornetwork .com';

'serina.hendricks@thementornetwork.com' ;
 'jane.ketcham@thementornetwork .com';
 'cynthia.dickerson@thementornetwork .com';
 'tamika.jones@thementornetwork .com'; 'stephanie.kulin@thementornetwork .com';
 'heather.moran@thementornetwork .com';
 'nicole.hardman@thementornetwork .com'; 'barbara.schramm@thementornetwork.com';
 'amanda.weber@thementornetwork .com';
 'kim.george@thementornetwork .com';
 'rosemary.wilshire@thementornetwork .com';
 'michael.schwartz@thementornetwork .com';
 'amanda.hagler@thementornetwork .com'; 'billy.crum@thementornetwork.com';
 'cheryl.herdman@thementornetwork.com'; 'tiffany.allen@thementornetwork .com';
 'ashley.kearns@thementornetwork.com';
 'rachel.salkovick@thementornetwork .com'; 'jason.corrick@thementornetwork .com';
 'jo.rouse@thementornetwork .com';
 'amanda.totten@thementornetwork .com';
 'scott.baughman@thementornetwork .com'; 'brenda.page@thementornetwork .com';
 'holly.bond@thementornetwork .com';
 'maranda.mathews@thementornetwork .com';
 'carie.dawson@thementornetwork .com';
 'ross.mason@thementornetwork.com'; 'karen.eddy@thementornetwork .com';
 'lyndon.koskey@thementornetwork.com'; 'jason.lynch@thementornetwork.com';
 'billie.dillaman@thementornetwork .com';
 'ed.edwards@thementornetwork .com'; 'robin.fox@thementornetwork .com';
 'david.kuckuck@thementornetwork .com';
 'candice.merkle@thementornetwork .com'; 'david.miller@thementornetwork .com';
 'jason.corrick@thementornetwork .com';
 'jessica.hartley@thementornetwork .com';
 'jordan.elliott@thementornetwork.com'; 'jason.jones@thementornetwork .com';
 'cmassie@rescare .com'; 'bstump@rescare .com'; 'triggins@rescare.com';
 'jshrewsbury@rescare .com'; 'dana.bess@rescare .com'; 'whalstead@rescare .com';
 'swhite@rescare .com'; 'jacanfield@rescare.com'; 'zcuozzo@rescare .com';
 'rsigley@rescare .com'; 'scheng@rescare.com'; 'lbeezel@rescare.com';
 'sschumacher@rescare .com'; 'jsalesky@rescare .com'; 'nkesner@rescare.com';
 'bkesner@rescare .com'; 'mlandis@rescare.com';
 'michelle.barnhart@rescare.com'; 'vblanks@rescare.com'; 'cmwatson@rescare .com';
 'ccoburn@rescare .com'; 'jrwilson@rescare.com'; 'jhubbard@rescare .com';
 'cshaw@rescare .com'; 'rgoodson@rescare.com'; 'mgraver@rescare .com';
 'donna.farley@rescare.com'; 'tice@rescare.com';
 'adcollins@rescare.com'; 'mwiseman@rescare.com'; 'dposey@rescare .com';
 'ssnyder@rescare .com'; 'tiffany.kiess@rescare .com'; 'marc.wilson@rescare .com';
 'monica.watson@rescare .com'; 'jmeeks@rescare .com'; 'jess

ica.white@rescare .com'; 'criggins@rescare.com'; 'kmcclung@rescare .com';
 'rlshrewsbury@rescare.com'; 'anhill@rescare.com'; 'carolfarmer@rescare
 .com'; 'ljones@rescare .com'; 'jmilam@rescare.com'; 'gdelligatti@rescare.com';
 'jlough@rns-watch.org'; 'jholden@rns-watch .org'; 'kwhetzel@rns- watch.org';
 'lseabright@rns-watch .org'; 'lbragg@shsinc.org'; 'dchapman@shsinc.org';
 'mshires@shsinc.org'; 'guyhens@shsinc.org'; 'rwyre@shsinc.org';
 'duanebragg@hotmail.com'; 'jrho_22@yahoo .com'; 'jilld772000@yahoo .com';
 'melissa@wvbrighthorizons.com'; 'remington26205@yahoo .com';
 'jennbenn33@gmail .com'; 'jimmie@solutionspbs.com';
 'malena@solutionspbs.com'; 'solutions@solutionspbs.com';
 'michaellewis@shcmhc .com'; 'judyakers@shcmhc.com'; 'rhondahebb@shcmhc
 .com'; 'susiebell@shcmhc.com'; 'bblackburn@starlightbhs.com';
 'cristy.hamilton@yahoo .com'; 'asearls@starlightbhs.com'; 'cthompson@star
 lightbhs.com'; 'rcassell@starlightbhs .com'; 'kebtroop99@gmail .com';
 'lkd@sscwv.com'; 'ple@sscwv.net'; 'lkb@sscwv.com'; 'avm@sscwv.com';
 'imj@sscwv.com'; 'hlb@sscwv.com'; 'dmb@sscwv .net';
 'jwstevenson@stevensonwv .com'; 'mcrocicchia@stevensonwv.com';
 'cmurree@stevensonwv .com'; 'clewis@stevensonwv .com';
 'stonebrooksharp@yahoo .com'; 'stonebrookrorex@yahoo.com';
 'apennell@swresources.com'; 'adsycamorerun@gmail .com';
 'tcsycamorerun@gmail .com'; 'donnaswick@gmail.com'; 'melissaroder
 ick27@yahoo.com'; 'lisalynbell@aol.com'; 'dbarickman@uscwv .org';
 'sharris@uscwv.org'; 'kreed@uscwv .org'; 'skniceley@uscwv.org';
 'cjohnston@uscwv .org'; 'rwilliams@uscwv .org'; 'jkniceley@uscwv .org';
 'nbritt@uscwv .org'; 'chris@upwv.org'; 'jcoombs@valleyhealthcare.org';
 'cperone@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'tkelley@valleyhealthcare .org';
 'melshiaty@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'jcarter@valleyhealthcare.org';
 'arobins@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'csnyder@valleyhealthcare.org'; 'sestes@va
 lleyhealthcare.org'; 'pgore@valleyhealthcare .org'; 'sphillips@westbrook
 health.com'; 'sreaser@westbrookhealth.com'; 'jmarion@westbro
 okhealth.com'; 'joann@westbrookhealth.com';
 'cmarks@westbrookhealth.com'; Robinson, Samantha A;
 'chausch@wvadvocates .org'; 'mark@wvbehavioralhealth.org';
 'mcollins@wvemstsn.net'; Higgs, Linda S; Mccourt, Teresa L; 'essmith@hughes
 .com'; 'gkfreeman@yahoo .com'; 'JR_HO_22@yahoo .com';
 'nooch1@comcast.net'; Whaley, Roger S; Morrison, Beth J; 'lesa.dye@rescare
 .com'

○ List 3

- agoebel@apshealthcare .com; bhite@afmwv.com; jguire@achcinc .org;
 rkiley@achcinc.org; gperkins@achcinc.org; jmessenger@achcinc .org;
 lstruble@achcinc.org; madkins@apshealthcare .com;
 kboris@apshealthcare.com; JWBritton@apshealthcare.com;

kbruer@apshealthcare.com; scraig@apshealthcare .com; TCombs@apshealthcare.com; mdeutsch@apshealthcare .com; jeva@apshealthcare .com; MChrist@apshealthcare.com; cahayes@apshealthcare.com; Hudnall, Ken; RAJamnick@apshealthcare.com ; Imcgurty@apshealthcare .com; kmiles-schwartz@apshealthcare .com; srmiller@apshealthcare .com; NDillard@apshealthcare.com; Recknagel, Barbara; jruppert@apshealthcare.com; tlshamblin@apshealthcare.com; HCSnyder@apshealthcare .com; kschell@apshealthcare .com; jethomas@apshealthcare .com; LWilson@apshealthcare.com; EWorkman@apshealthcare.com ; apowell@apshealthcare .com; mlowe@apshealthcare .com; nholliday@apshealthcare.com; champaignlori@yahoo.com; ddkelly@arc-hc.com ; stutlerhollic@yahoo .com; cptc1408@yahoo.com; bridgetshreve@yahoo .com; armsteadtrish@yahoo .com; jbailey@arcthreeivers .org; sfile@arcthreeivers .org; arc3@arcthreeivers.org ; kdingess@arcthreeivers.org; phillips@autismgroup .org; torrey.baker@autismgroup.org; apatnaik@autismgroup .org; mike@autismwv.org; tom@autismwv.org; barbara@autismwv .org; jmoss@autismwv.org; mjohnson@autismwv.org; jenkins@autismwv.org; amber.belknap@gmail.com; angiemccomas@hotmail.com; samwmbhswv@gmail.com; Beane, Cynthia E; McQuain, Mary G; Nisbet, Patricia S; Hardy, Taniua R; kcollins@mulberrystreetmanagement.com ; rdesmond@mulberrystreetmanagement.com ; jhillman@mulberrystreetmanagement.com ; wallen@mulberrystreetmanagement.com ; cturrentine@mulberrystreetmanagement.com; rmcelrath@mulberrystreetmanagement.com ; dparrucci@mulberrystreetmanagement.com ; ksmith@mulberrystreetmanagement.com; pgoheen@mulberrystreetmanagement.com; nbrolin@mulberrystreetmanagement.com; willperkins@childhswv.org; stuck@childhswv.org; jwindon@childhswv.org; thickman@childhswv .org; chris.messenger@csiww.com; greg .messenger@csiww .com; jmcbr93@yahoo .com; randalcsn@hotmail.com; donnaturner-csm@outlook.com; hayleighsmom6@hotmail.com; k.hagedorn@dailycompanionsinc.com; l.fox@dailycompanionsinc .com; dci@dailycompanionsinc.com; b.ball@dailycompanionsinc .com; e.bricker@dailycompanionsinc.com; dcandw@frontier.com; kellison@datwv .com; srtomblin@gmail.com; cwatson@datwv .com; rconner@eastridgehs.org; pmacon@eastridgehs.org; rhite@eastridgehs.org; kcuster@eastridgehs.org; kblake@fmrs.org; dcoulter@fmrs.org; jhamrick@fmrs.org; tking@fmrs .org; mmays@fmrs.org; mredman@fmrs .org; ssimpson@fmrs.org; globalaccessllc@gmail.com; erichyett@hotmail.com ; burice@mix.wvu.edu; kevin.ed@frontiernet.net ; rbosley_hcssc@yahoo.com; blondee202@hotmail.com; amandahcsw@comcast.net ; jnshcsw@comcast.net;

mbhagg@comcast.net;jryanh .c.s.w@gmail.com; btm41383@gmail.com;
 dclark@healthwaysinc.com; rgable@healthwaysinc.com; dszeligo@healthwaysi
 nc.com; kprice@healthwaysinc.com; kwhitehouse@healthwaysinc.com;
 tstemple@healthwaysinc.com; tlewis@healthwaysinc.com;
 jklobucar@healthwaysinc.com ; hcil@suddenlinkmail.com; jackiepurkey@yahoo
 .com; vburdette@i nt-res-inc.com;esmith@mountainheartwv.org;
 lmorgan@int-res-inc.com; rvance@int-res-inc.com; cstafford@int-res-inc.com;
 iri@int-res-inc.com;jstanley@int-res- inc.com;jccoajcdi rector@frontier.com;
 jsassi@jcdcworks.com ; cgreening@jcdcworks.com; rcurtis@jcdcworks .com;
 scorso@jobsquadi nc.org; bhellwig@jobsquadinc.org; cwilson@jobsquadi
 nc.org; tkline@jobsq uadinc.org; djenkins@jobsquadinc.org;
 lanab8504@yahoo.com; tbryant@lmamh.org; mlayne@ lmamh.org;
 dcooke@lmamh.org; jlakes@mainstreamserv ices.org;
 deana_prince@yahoo.com; dprince@mainstreamservices.org;
 rprince@mainstreamservices.org; deb.mitchell@midvalleyhealth.com;
 lbishop@northwoodheal th.com; mark.games@corp .northwoodhealth.com;
 tferrell@northwoodhealth .com; dmitchel@northwoodhea lth.com;
 enolan@northwoodhea lth.com; mgust@northwoodheal th.com;
 emodar@northwoodhealth.com;angela.richards@molinahealthcare .com;
 bgoldstein@nhrsi.org; mhopkins@nhrsi.org; Cooper, James A; jarb
 uckle@suddenlinkmail.com; stalbott@suddenlinkmail.com;
 ahinkle@suddenlinkmail.com; ghemelt@paceenterpr ises.org;jstrakal@
 paceenterprises.org; tgasior@paceenterprises.org;
 droberts@paceenterprises.org ; bstrakal@paceenterpri
 ses.org;gmorris@paceenterprises .org; ssnyder@paceenterprises .org;
 sstephan@paiswv .com; drice@paiswv.com; mwilson@paiswv.com ;
 lwhite@paiswv .com; barboursville@paiswv.com; beckley@paiswv.com;
 lewisburg@paiswv .com;
 dgreenwald@paiswv.com;nparkersburg@paiswv.com;princeton@paiswv.com;
 ripley@paiswv .com; rworkman@pcaso lutions.com; klington@pcasolutions.com;
 shudson@pcasolutions.com; agosnell@potomaccenter.com;
 rharshbarger@potomaccenter .com; khelmstetter@potomaccenter .com;
 bnelson@potomaccenter.com ; skaiser@potomaccenter.com ;
 tbeeman@potomaccenter .com; ckitzmiller@hotmail.com; sharone@phgmai
 l.net; brandonj@phgmail.net ;jerrenas@ potomachighlandsgu ild.com;
 rkhill@pcgus.com; sburchfiel@pcgus.com; dhorvath@pcgus .com;
 tangel@pcgus.com; barbara.hamrick@pretera .org;
 joyel.finley@pretera.org;donna .rollins@thementornetwork
 .com;angie.merritt@thementornetwork.com ; ann.iams@thementornetwork
 .com; serina.hendricks@thementornetwork.com;
 jane.ketcham@thementornetwork .com;
 cynthia.dickerson@thementornetwork.com ; tamika.jones@thementornetwork

.com; stephanie.kulin@thementornetwork .com;
 heather.moran@thementornetwork .com;
 nicole.hardman@thementornetwork .com;
 barbara.schramm@thementornetwork .com;
 amanda.weber@thementornetwork .com; kim.george@thementornetwork
 .com; rosemary.wilshire@thementornetwork .com;
 michael.schwartz@thementornetwork .com;
 amanda.hagler@thementornetwork.com ; billy.crum@thementornetwork .com;
 cheryl.herdman@thementornetwork .com;tiffany.allen@thementornetwork
 .com; ashley.kearns@thementornetwork .com;
 rachel.salkovick@thementornetwork .com;jason.corrick@thementornetwork
 .com; jo .rouse@thementornetwork .com; amanda.totten@thementornetwork
 .com; scott.baughman@thementornetwork .com;
 brenda.page@thementornetwork .com; holly.bond@thementornetwork.com ;
 maranda. mathews@thementornetwork .com;
 carie.dawson@thementornetwork .com; ross.mason@thementornetwork
 .com; karen.eddy@thementornetwork.com ;
 lyndon.koskey@thementornetwork.com ; jason .lynch@thementornetwork
 .com; billie.dillaman@thementornetwork .com;
 ed.edwards@thementornetwork .com; robin.fox@thementornetwork.com;
 david.kuckuck@thementornetwork .com; candice.merkle@thementornetwork
 .com; david.miller@thementornetwork.com;jessica.
 hartley@thementornetwork .com; jo rdan.elliott@thementornetwork
 .com;jason .jones@thementornetwork .com; cmassie@rescare.com;
 bstump@rescare.com ; triggins@rescare.com;jshrewsbury@rescare .com;
 dana.bess@rescare .com; whalstead@rescare.com ; swhite@rescare
 .com;jacanfield@rescare.com; zcuozzo@rescare.com ; rsigley@rescare .com;
 scheng@rescare.com; lbeezel@rescare.com; sschumacher@rescare .com;
 jsalesky@rescare.com ; nkesner@rescare.com;bkesner@rescare .com;
 mlandis@rescare .com; michelle.barnhart@rescare .com;
 vblanks@rescare.com; cmwatson@rescare .com; ccoburn@rescare.com;
 jrwilson@rescare .com; jhubbard@rescare .com; cshaw@rescare .com;
 rgoodson@rescare .com; mgraver@rescare .com; donna.farley@rescare.com;
 tice@rescare.com; adcollins@rescare.com; mwiseman@rescare.com ;
 dposey@rescare.com; ssnyder@rescare .com; tiffany.kiess@rescare.com;
 marc.wilson@rescare .com; monica.watson@rescare .com;jmeeks@resca
 re.com; jessica.white@rescare .com; criggins@rescare.com;
 kmclung@rescare.com; rlshrewsbury@rescare.com ; anhill@rescare .com;
 carolfarmer@rescare .com; ljones@re scare.com;jmi lam@rescare.com;
 gdelligatti@rescare.com; jlough@rns-watch.org ; jholden@rns -watch .org;
 kwhetzel@rns- watch.org; lseabright@rns -watch .org; lbragg@shsinc.org;
 dchapman@shsinc.org; mshires@shsinc.org; guyhens@shsinc.org;

rwyre@shsinc.org; duanebragg@hotmail.com; jrho_22@yahoo.com;jilld772000@yahoo.com; melissa@wvbrighthorizons.com; remington26205@yahoo.com; jennbenn33@gmail.com;jimmie@solutionspbs.com; malena@solutionspbs.com; solutions@solutionspbs.com ; michaellewis@shcmhc.com ; judyakers@shcmhc.com; rhondahebb@shcmhc.com; susiebell@shcmhc.com; bblackburn@starlightbhs.com; cristy.hamilton@yahoo.com ; asearls@starlightbhs.com; cthompson@starlightbhs.com; rcassell@starlightbhs.com; kebtroop99@gmail.com;lkd@sscwv.com; ple@sscwv.net; lkb@sscwv.com; avm@sscwv.com; imj@sscwv.com ; hlb@sscwv.com; dmb@sscwv.net;jwstevenson@stevensonwv.com; mcrocicchia@stevensonwv.com; cmurrhee@stevensonwv.com; clewis@stevensonwv.com; stonebrooksharp@yahoo.com; stonebrookrorex@yahoo.com; apennell@swresources.com; adsycamorerun@gmail.com; tcsycamorerun@gmail.com; donnaswick@gmail.com; melissaroderick27@yahoo.com; lisalynbell@aol.com; dbarickman@uscwv.org; sharris@uscwv.org; creed@uscwv.org; skniceley@uscwv.org; cjohnston@uscwv.org; rwilliams@uscwv.org;jkniceley@uscwv.org; nbritt@uscwv.org; chris@upwv.org; Coombs, James; Perone, Cheryl; tkelley@valleyhealthcare.org; Elshiaty, Matthew; jcarter@valleyhealthcare.org; arobins@valleyhealthcare.org; Estes, Sarah; Gore, Phyllis; sphillips@westbrookhealth.com; sreaser@westbrookhealth.com; jmarion@westbrookhealth.com; joann@westbrookhealth.com;cmarks@westbrookhealth.com; Robinson, Samantha A; chausch@wvadvocates.org; mark@wvbehavioralhealth.org; mcollins@wvemstsn.net; Higgs, Linda S; Mccourt, Teresa L; essmith@hughes.com; gkfreeman@yahoo.com; JRHO_22@yahoo.com; nooch1@comcast.net ; Whaley, Roger S; Morrison, Beth J; lesa.dye@rescare.com

Appendix F: Public Comments Received for First Version

Below is the table of comments on transition plans received during the period of 11/26/14 – 12/26/14.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
1	11/24/2014	Email	(Not indicated)	The draft plan states “Develop strategies for moving away from more congregate employment to naturally occurring learning environments and access to community activities and events”. We use supported employment as much as possible in our small, rural community. However, opportunities are sparse. Our facility has various departments which include both people with and without diagnosed disabilities. We have customers in and out of our building every day for the purpose of purchasing goods/services and using our UPS site. So I guess our question is, “What is the magic equation that determines if we are integrated or not?”; “What percentage of non-disabled, non-support staff, workers do we need to have before we are considered integrated?” Also, “Where do our DRS clients fall into play here? Are they included in the ‘disability’ count even if they are not being paid a commensurate wage?” If all of our Waiver members must access the community via supported employment, many of them will not be able to retain employment at our facility. Our Waiver employees look forward to attending our facility where they can work, socialize with their coworkers, and earn a paycheck. They most assuredly look forward to their work much more than most people who do not have	This comment and the questions raised in it will be taken under consideration and possibly addressed in future transition plans and/or information offered through Action Item 5 of the Remedial Actions section.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				diagnosed disabilities, making it a shame to jeopardize it.	
1	11/24/2014	Email	(Not indicated)	The only adjustment we can think of to get our Waiver employees out into the community more is to introduce volunteerism billed under facility based day habilitation training. Many businesses who are not interested in using our supported employment services may welcome volunteerism. However, this would not be an acceptable alternative for those Waiver employees with a higher level of social inappropriateness (sexual, behavioral, or otherwise) or those whose mobility prevents them from easily accessing the community. Not to mention those Waiver employees who do not desire to work in the community. Some type of signed waiver from the guardian stating their desire to remain at the facility would appear to be a good solution to this. Our purpose is to provide those with disabilities competitive employment in the community, but when this is not available or feasible, we need an alternative. Right now, our alternative is having the remaining employees work for a fair commensurate wage inside the facility completing various tasks in various departments with people who have various levels of functioning.	This comment and the points raised in it will be taken under consideration and possibly addressed in future transition plans and/or information offered through Action Item 5 of the Remedial Actions section.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
2	12/12/2014	Email	(Not indicated)	My comments are more general. From what I read - I still don't see where coverage is given to children with Autism, no matter what the parents' income is. That is what I want to see. My son has been rejected 3 times for Medicaid because we make "too much ". We are unable to get him therapy outside of school because we just can't afford it. Our private insurance up till now has only allowed 20 therapy sessions per year, and a \$25 copay for each one. Our new insurance will cover as many as needed but that is after deductible is met and then a 20% coinsurance. Also - I would like to see more phone lines available for people to call with questions. Every time over the course of a week when I obtained to call to see if there was some other way I could get coverage for my son - the line was busy. Didn't matter what time of day - or if I redialed 10 times in a row. It is a shame that my son is being punished for his parents being married. If I was a single mom this wouldn't even be an issue, and that is just sad. PLEASE!!! Open up the Medicaid coverage to all children with autism, no matter the parents' income.	This comment falls outside of the scope of the Transition Plan
3	12/16/2014	Email	(Not indicated)	We are heading in the right direction with self -direction. Agency cannot keep staff and I do not trust staff with my non- verbal child. I do not understand the necessity of Case Management when we choose PPL. Our children live in least restrictive environment with family, friends,	This comment falls outside of the scope of the Transition Plan

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				and neighbors in own community. Is this not MRDD Waiver is for?. If child is with family We should not be to have case management, TC, BA all through PPL.	
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	The stated timeframe does not appear to be as aggressive as it needs to be to assure State compliance with the Home and Community Based Settings rule.	This Transition Plan is designed as a more high-level overview of the state's plans to comply with the CMS Final Rule. More detailed and specific action items and timelines will be included in future Transition Plans.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	According to the CMS Statewide Transition Plan Toolkit, plans should include specific timeframes for identified actions and deliverables. Most of the time frames for the WV Plan are not specific, but encompass the entire five years.	More specific timeframes and actionable items will be released in future versions of the Transition Plan.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Other states' plans we have reviewed appear to have sequential action steps and timeframes. They also have completion dates well before the required date of compliance. How will compliance be monitored if most actions include an end date of June 30, 2020?	Compliance will be monitored throughout the five year period. Specific timeframes and actionable items surrounding compliance will be released in future versions of the Transition Plan.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	The Council is interested in seeing the results of the review of regulations and other documents reported to have been completed by the [consultant], along with the recommendations for changes to be made. Those documents should be made available to the public.	Lewin's work was under Action Item 1 of the Assessment section of the Transition Plan. Action Item 5 has been added to the Transition Plan to say: 5. "Post findings from the review of Action Item 1 and aggregate survey results to the website"
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	No specificity is given regarding how the surveys for providers and/or individuals and families will be conducted.	Action Items 3 and 4 of the Assessment Section are updated to include survey methods: via web and mail.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Other than surveys, what other methods will the State use to determine settings are or are not in compliance with the new standards?	Specific timeframes and actionable items surrounding compliance will be released in future versions of the Transition Plan. This will include how setting compliance will be determined.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	A survey, combined with actual visits to sites, can determine setting compliance, but how will the internal workings (person-centered planning, the choices an individual is entitled to make about a variety of things, etc.) of a setting be evaluated for compliance?	The state will consider using site visits as a compliance evaluation method. Specific timeframes and actionable items surrounding compliance will be released in future versions of the Transition Plan.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	It is good that a listing of settings with their level of compliance will eventually be available on the Bureau's website.	Thank you for this comment.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Training for licensure/certification staff on new settings requirements is good, as is the strengthening of enrollment/re-enrollment procedures for providers.	Thank you for this comment.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Various means of providing training for providers and enrollment staff is good.	Thank you for this comment.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Of grave concern is the fact that no training is mentioned for individuals/families who use HCBS services. How will they become aware of the changes that will occur, why their services and the locations of their services may be changing, what services will and will not be allowable under Medicaid HCBS, etc.? Who will be responsible for providing them necessary information in an unbiased manner?	Action Item 2 of the Remedial Actions section is updated to include individuals and families as audiences of training. The state will present the information.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Re # 12. It is understandable that particular attention would need to be paid to regulations governing group homes to ensure community characteristics are reflected. The issues concerning day habilitation and related settings should be address in a separate action item. It seems self-evident that facility-based day habilitation settings will not meet the new rule requirement.	CMS published guidance addressing non-residential settings under the HCBS Final Rule following the publication of the Transition Plan. Future versions of the Transition Plan will incorporate this guidance and a new action item(s) will be added to reflect the guidance.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	How will monitoring for transition to compliance be carried out, and by whom? This will certainly be a large task. Will the DHHR/BMS be hiring additional staff whose responsibilities are solely to address this component of the Plan?	Specific timeframes and actionable items surrounding compliance will be released in future versions of the Transition Plan. This will include how setting compliance will be staffed.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Since the Bureau's Money Follows the Person initiative (MFP) does not specifically serve people with intellectual and other developmental disabilities, what "lessons learned" will be used regarding people served through the IDDW Waiver? If this transition plan intends to build upon the MFP initiative, is the initiative being expanded to serve populations not previously included?	The state will consider including I/DD as a population served by MFP. In the meantime, MFP on both the national and state levels has important lessons learned and insights to HCBS that will be included in the state's implementation of the Final Rule.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	From the wording in "Remedial Actions" # 18 and other items in the Transition Plan, it appears the "stakeholder group" identified is only providers. Individuals served, and their families, are certainly also stakeholders.	Action Item 18 is designed specifically for provider stakeholders. An additional Action Item is added to be more inclusive: "Convene a cross-disability workgroup to identify solutions for compliance that represents all stakeholders including individuals, families, advocates and providers, among others". This is Action Item 7 of the Stakeholder Engagement section. To further address this, Action Item 4 is added to the Stakeholder Engagement section: Reach out to individuals, families and organizations representing these groups to increase the understanding of the rule and maintain open lines of communication.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	More thought should be given to find ways to solicit public input, as well as to keep stakeholders informed throughout the process. The announcement posted on the Bureau's website does not stand out in any way and is now buried halfway down the list of numerous items. How will people know to look for announcements on the website, and what other methods will be used to inform stakeholders, particularly people who use Waiver services and/or their families? While the internet is one platform to use to solicit input and to keep people informed, there must also be other means.	CMS requires two public comment opportunities. The online public notice and the public meeting held 12/15/14 satisfy the CMS requirement.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	Stakeholder engagement actions are concentrated on provider agencies. There are over 4500 individuals served by the IDDW Waiver alone, along with family members, advocates, people on the waiting list, and others who may have an interest in the program in the future. Any intentions for any stakeholder engagement for these people are missing from this Plan. How does the Bureau intend to involve them in the transition process? How will they be informed of progress made? How will they be involved in training and other opportunities in order to have the information they need to make informed decisions about services?	Action Item 7 of the Stakeholder Engagement section and Action Item 2 of the Remedial Actions section are added/modified to include individuals and families. In future Transition plans, actionable items will be included that target individuals and families.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	There is a concern that providers are currently being permitted to develop and open more service settings that clearly do not and will not meet the requirements of the HCBS rule, even after the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Rule that will not allow Waiver funds to be used in those settings was finalized. What is being done to prevent those settings from being approved by the State?	Action Item 5 of the Remedial Actions section includes FAQs as an outreach avenue. Future FAQs will address these questions.
4	12/18/2014	Email	I/DD	The DD Division does not appear anywhere in this draft Transition Plan. Do they not have a role to play in this process?	The Division of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities does not manage waivers and thus would not be involved in the implementation of the Transition Plan or the HCBS Final Rule.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Overall - [Organization] is highly concerned that BMS is planning to take fourteen (14) months to assess its own system. This is a system that has been in place for decades, with the exception of the TBIW Waiver. BMS has access to the licensure reviews done at a CMS mandated minimum every two years by OHFLAC so they certainly have no difficulty identifying who the providers of services are and what facilities are included under each provider's license to provide services. Similar information exists for the Bureau of Senior Services and the Aged and Disabled Waiver Services and TBIW Waiver services, even though those providers are not all behavioral health providers, but are typically home health agencies instead. Between its ASO contractor, APS Healthcare, (does all three waivers) its Personal Options fiduciary contractor, PPL, (does all three waivers) and its contract with Molina to process billing for the Waiver services BMS has an exhaustive and extensive data base available to them going back years from which it should be able to extract data to identify all of the service providers and facilities for which they issue Medicaid payments. This is of even more grave concern given that in November WVBMS announced to the IDWW Waiver providers that BMS is being mandated to cut \$43,000,000 from the IDWW Waiver budget. These cuts appear to be targeted at direct services to waiver members. If money is of such concern certainly there is none to be	Per CMS requirements, all waiver service providers must be evaluated. The fourteen month timeline has been identified as sufficient and appropriate by the state and will continue to operate over this timeline.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				wasted on duplicative information collecting activities to meet CMS requirements for the new rule. While [Organization] recognizes that assessment of each program/facility is required in reality the only program where an extensive assessment is necessary is for the most part the IDWW Waiver as both other waivers already provide the majority and possible all of their services in people's homes or in integrated community settings. Only IDWW waiver has multiple programs conducted and paid for in segregated settings. So why is it necessary to delay the assessment phase completion by taking a total of fourteen (14) months to do it?	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	The impact of this unnecessarily lengthy assessment phase is that it will deny people using the waiver access to integrated, community based services as required by CMS for a longer period of time than is necessary. This seems unreasonable and should be reconsidered. While we realize this is a labor intensive process to survey each provider/location and evaluate it, the CMS rule states in several places there is an expectation for the states to be effective and efficient in the application of this mandated transition process. [Organization] contends that the Assessment section fails to meet these two CMS expectation	The state believes its stated action items and approach is in compliance with the CMS Final Rule and associated guidance. This comment will be taken under consideration in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	1. General # 2. (Self-assessment Survey) - (1) [Organization] believes the time frame of eight (8) months for this Action Item is excessively long and demonstrates a lack of efficiency as required in the CMS rule. CMS has already provided an on-line assessment tool so there is no need to engage in a lengthy and costly process to develop an assessment tool as stated in the Action Item. It is difficult to envision why it will take eight months to collect provider responses to the self-assessment tools provided to them. Since Action Item 4 is preparing the list of settings it would appear the eight month period in Action Item 2 does not include analysis of data, only collection. It would seem reasonable to expect self-assessments could be distributed, completed and collected back from all providers in sixty days or less.	The survey timeline has been identified as sufficient and appropriate by the state and will continue to operate over this timeline. Action Item 2 is meant to include data analysis. Action item 2 is updated to include "Perform analyses of survey responses."
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Most of this could be done electronically. [Organization] is concerned that the plan does not state that the completion of self-assessments is mandatory for all HCBS service providers for all locations. Data will only be reliable and meet CMS requirements if it includes every service/setting and all providers are mandated to report. .	The survey is available online. All providers are mandated to complete the survey. The state will issue guidance to providers via Action Item 5 of the Remedial Action section.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	General # 3. (1) [Organization] is concerned that this Action Item is too vague. Is it addressing current (and possibly unacceptable) services or proposed new services? Why would resources be spent asking/reporting from consumers on services that do not meet the HCBS rule? What is the purpose of this survey since it is not required by the HCBS rule? Will there be data from every HCBS service recipient? How is this data going to be collected and used? Typically voluntary surveys result in a return rate of 10-30 percent. Research shows those who are either very happy or very unhappy with the subject matter of the survey respond to non-mandatory surveys. This creates a sample far too small and too skewed to be used as reliable data for accurate decision making. Using inaccurate data is more problematic than using no data because if you use bad data for program design and decision making you can pretty much expect to get bad results.	Action Item 3 is designed to identify potentially non-compliant settings through reporting from individuals and families. This comment will be taken under consideration as the state pursues fielding the survey.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(2) Why is this step necessary given current BMS budget constraints, including the requirement from the governor to cut total Medicaid spending by ten (10) percent? The CMS mandated transition plan is by definition a costly process and one not necessarily planned for in the budget prior to release of the rule by CMS. WVBMS has already announced to providers in November that BMS will be cutting forty three (43) million dollars from the current /DD Waiver budget. The I/DD Waiver has a	This comment will be taken under consideration as the state pursues fielding the survey per Action Item 3.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				wait list of eligible consumers' approaching 1,000 individuals, the majority of whom can be expected to wait five (5) years or more before they receive a slot. The A&D Waiver frequently runs a waiting list. Is it prudent and necessary to add this expense to the transition plan when it is not specifically required by CMS?	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(3) Why is a survey necessary to get this information? It should already be available to BMS from their ASOs, contractors and Medicaid payment processing data. This appears to be a duplication of effort, which is contrary to the efficiency intent statements of the CMS rule.	Action Item 3 is designed to identify potentially non-compliant settings through reporting from individuals and families. This data is not otherwise collected and allows individuals and families to identify non-compliant providers.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(4) The time frame does not make sense. It allows two (2) months to develop the survey. It does not mention implementing and analyzing the survey? Is that part of the plan? Why does it take 8 (eight) months to survey provider programs of which there are many fewer and only two (2) months to survey participants of whom there are probably between the three waivers about 30,000 individuals?	Action Item 3 will collect data over a five month period, not 2. More specific action items will be released in addition to Action Item 3 in future Transition Plans.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	General # 4 - (1) [Organization] believes that one of the stated CMS required categories of settings has been omitted from this Action Item; settings that meet the residential and non-residential CMS requirements. Hopefully this is an oversight and WVBMS does anticipate there are existing programs that meet this requirement of the CMS rule.	Action Item 4 is updated to say: 4. Prepare a list of settings that meet the residential and non-residential requirements, those that do not meet the residential and non-residential requirements, may meet the requirements with changes, and settings West Virginia chooses to submit under CMS heightened scrutiny. The list will be distributed to provider

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
					agencies and posted to the website.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(2) [Organization] believes this Action Item does not meet the intent of the CMS rule. It is our interpretation in reviewing multiple sources of information about the CMS HCBS rule that this work was supposed to be done before the transition plan was written and prior to public comment so the transition plan could address the actual transition work that needs to be done rather than offering a theoretical construct of how to get to the point of identifying the facts of what needs to be done.	WV BMS believes this Action Item does meet CMS requirements. This comment will be taken under consideration in future Transition Plans.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(3) Why will it take BMS fourteen months to prepare this list? That is an excessively long period of time and again certainly does not take into consideration CMS' expectation of efficiency and effectiveness in this transition work. It is important to keep in mind these are not new service providers or new services. They have been billing WVBMS HCBS for years for the most part and one would hope BMS would be knowledgeable about the services they have been paying for.	WV BMS believes this Action Item does meet CMS requirements. This comment will be taken under consideration in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Remedial Actions Overall Comments: (1) [Organization] is concerned that WVBMS does not plan to actually begin any remedial actions targeted at providers of client services for sixteen months from the start of the transition plan. We are recommending no more than four to six months for assessment and then commencing immediate action plans for remediation.	WV BMS believes the timelines included in the Remedial Actions section do meet CMS requirements. This comment will be taken under consideration in future Transition Plans. More specific action items and timelines will be included in future Transition Plans.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(2) For at least the IDWW Waiver compliance with the CMS HCBS rule this• is a significant game changer and will require a major overhaul in the service delivery systems it currently exists in order to comply with the new rule. Unfortunately, WVBMS' plan for compliance does not appear to recognize that this is a major opportunity to recreate the IDWW Waiver service delivery system so it can become a truly community based, client centered program. There is a critical stage of this transition into the new rule totally omitted from the action plan. What supports and training are going to be provided to the service providers to help them envision and create new service delivery models? Employment rather than segregated workshops and facility based day activity programs are good examples. In states that have successfully transitioned into integrated, supported and customized employment programs the state government has provided education, training and incentives to behavioral health service providers for development of new service delivery models focused around employment. That	This Transition Plan is designed as a more high-level overview of the state's plans to comply with the CMS Final Rule. More detailed and specific action items and timelines will be included in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				is totally missing from this transition plan. It is extremely short sighted to assume the kind of systemic change required by these new CMS rules, especially for the IDDW Waiver will "just happen" at the service provider end of the equation. This implementation of the new rule will carry a significant price tag for WVBMS. It should be designed in a way that gets more results than the same old segregated services under a new spin off corporation of an existent behavioral health services provider with a new store front location that has the appearance of being integrated into the community. Riding around town with staff all day in a vehicle for community based day habilitation is not integrated community based services either. [Organization] is very concerned that these two alternatives as well as choices being made by providers to totally stop doing day habilitation in the community because it is not effective for their bottom line will be the result of the transition plan as it is currently written. This will have the unintended and unplanned for consequence of waiver members losing services that they current have.	

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(3) We are concerned that despite major changes in service delivery there is a very uncompromising position being taken by BMS that there will not be any changes in rates to accompany the changes in services: This is particularly of concern regarding employment services. Job development and other essential functions in developing competitive and supported employment opportunities for people using HCBS are not basic direct care staff level services. They require an entire additional knowledge/training base. Making these services billable at the same rate as taking clients to Wal-Mart shopping is going to lead to failure of these programs. [Organization] does not believe that the intent of the CMS rule is to substitute riding around in the community all day - for sittings in a segregated day program all day. We believe the intent of the CMS rule is to enhance the quality of life for the individuals using HCBS. However if there is going to be real change in these programs it is going to have to be very deliberately built into the transition plan with clear y delineated expectations for outcomes. That is totally lacking in this transition plan as it is written at this time.	This Transition Plan is designed as a more high-level overview of the state's plans to comply with the CMS Final Rule. More detailed and specific action items and timelines will be included in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(4) We are concerned that there is a heavy emphasis on training licensure/certification agencies, ASOs etc. to identify and act upon non-compliance by providers, but there is very little emphasis in the plan to support direct service providers in developing successful transition plans from their current services to services that will meet the new CMS rule requirements. There is training provided for treatment planning and client centered services and client rights, all of which is necessary and important. However, training on the actual service models/options/opportunities that will replace existing services seems to be nonexistent? It appears all of the responsibility to figure out how to develop, and implement a new system is on the individual providers? [Organization] believes that is a very dangerous and unrealistic approach that can be predicted to have less than successful results down the road. Given all of the various major changes from Department of Labor, especially the Companion Care rule, CMS, ACA requirements to offer health care to employees when providers employ 50 or more workers, WV minimum wage laws etc. that are assailing behavioral health and home health service providers in the immediate future, [Organization] strongly recommends that BMS in conjunction with the appropriate agencies within WVDHHR give serious consideration to entering into a collaborative working relationship with the WV Behavioral Health Providers	This Transition Plan is designed as a more high-level overview of the state's plans to comply with the CMS Final Rule. More detailed and specific action items and timelines will be included in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				Association and service providers, advocates and others who can assist to truly develop a client centered and productive service delivery system using these Medicaid dollars rather than winding up with a fragmented service delivery system based on whatever each provider decides is their best avenue to fiscal survival under the new rules. One of the undesirable outcomes of that approach is that there will be significant inequities in what services are available in what geographic regions of the state, rather than a comprehensive service delivery system that is reasonably seamless across the state and available to all members. There is a real window of opportunity here. It will be a significant mistake not to take advantage of it and create and move forward with a real vision for the HCBS of the future in WV. We are also concerned that these impending changes may force smaller providers out of business because they cannot afford to continue to operate. This would create major problems because it would remove the availability of consumer choice of services and providers in some parts of the state, especially very rural areas where choice is already limited. This would potentially leave current members without services and force parents who are employed to provide services through service provider agencies to consider personal options (self-directed) services, not because this is what they want to do, but because it will be personal options	

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				or no services. While [Organization] appreciates the value of the personal options choice being available to members• we are also very aware this is not the best choice for every individual and it concerns [Organization] greatly that families are already being forced into this choice, not because they are asking for .it, but because of decisions made by providers not to continue employing parents are putting members into a situation when they cannot identify any other choices to continue to receive services.	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Action Items-Remedial Actions Item # 1: (1) The Action Item does not make sense as written. What is it actually saying? To change licensure (and possibly) certification processes [Organization] believes it is necessary to have the legislature change state code for those areas that need to be changed since the licensure regulations are contained in state code. This is not a function that can happen as stated in any permanent way based on BMS incorporating assessment outcome data into the existing processes. This is not a function that	Action Item 5 of the Remedial Actions section includes FAQs as an outreach avenue. Future FAQs will address these process-oriented questions.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				[Organization] believes can be done by any waiver quality council; nor should it be expected that they be involved in this process since their role is advisory and licensure and certification are legal, not advisory requirements.	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	(2) The second part of the statement is that they (licensure? Unclear who the they is) will identify existing settings that do not meet the requirements of the rule. Wasn't that already completed in the assessment phase which ended 12/30/15? Why would licensure or certification processes be doing this when BMS already did it In terms of new providers/programs wouldn't that screening occur at the time of the application process reaches WVBMS requesting CON agreement before it ever gets to licensure initially?	WVBMS will consider this comment in the development of future Transition Plans surrounding Action Item 1.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item # 2: [Organization] finds a five (5) year period for training licensure/certification staff absurd. Why would that under any circumstances take five years?	Action Item 2 will take place over five years. Training will take place on an ongoing basis- not just after five years. Future Transition Plans will include more specific Action Items and timelines on training.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item # 3 : While enrollment and re-enrollment procedures may need to be changed, the CMS rule already contains the requirements for compliance. Why would it take six (6) years to strengthen existing procedures when all the requirements are already known and in writing?	Action Item 3 will take place over five years. Training will take place on an ongoing basis- not just after five years. Future Transition Plans will include more specific Action Items and timelines on enrollment and reenrollment procedures.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item # 4- Webinar series: Plan is missing an important element. Who is the target audience for this webinar? Why will it take five (5) years? What is the purpose? Rules already exist. Is this cost effective and necessary?	Action Item 4 will take place over five years. Webinars will take place on an ongoing basis- not just after five years. Future Transition Plans will include more specific Action Items and timelines on webinars.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item # 6 - train enrollment staff - Isn't this part of # 3? Why would this take 5 years? Again, this is another demonstration of lack of concern about being cost effective, timely and efficient.	Action Item 6 will take place over five years. Training will take place on an ongoing basis- not just after five years. Future Transition Plans will include more specific Action Items and timelines on training. Heightened scrutiny is separate from simple compliance/noncompliance and will be addressed through Action Item 6.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item # 7 - training for providers- Much of this already exists, why would it take 5 years to develop it? It states "include" -include in what? All of this is already required for I/DD waiver providers under the current IDDW Waiver manual?	Action Item 7 will take place over five years. Training will take place on an ongoing basis- not just after five years. Future Transition Plans will include more specific Action Items and timelines on training.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item #8 - These are two very separate groups and very separate activities, but seem to be lumped together as one activity?	Action Item 8 is now Action Items 8 and 9:
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item #9 -quality measures - [Organization] is particularly disturbed by statement a ... We are well aware that in the upcoming IDDW Waiver application WVBMS plans to reduce choices, particularly in the area of choice of roommate and setting which will force numerous members, if it is approved by CMS, to change their living arrangements to continue to receive services. We consider that	Now Action Item 10, this will take place throughout the five year period- not just at the end. WVBMS will consider this comment in the development of future Transition Plans and in the overall implementation of the Final Rule.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				a reduction in quality measures and yet in this plan WVBMS is writing as if they uphold the right to choice in these issues. We object to something being in this plan which WVBMS knows at the time they write the plan they do not intend to carry out if they are permitted to make the changes they have announced they are planning to make. Again why would this process take 5 years?	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item #10- As a permanent member of the IDDW Waiver QA/QI Council [Organization] has concerns with this as it is written. We have no idea what the words "expand upon" the QIA Councils means. While monitoring data makes sense in the advisory role of the councils; we monitor lots of data, how or why would the Councils establish a baseline of outcomes? What are we measuring? This exceeds the advisory capacity of these Councils. Monitoring data is appropriate and within the ascribed role of the Councils, however, being responsible for establishing baselines and measuring implementation is not an appropriate role for the QIA Councils. A different group (ASO?) should be doing this and summarizing that data and presenting it to the Councils.	WVBMS will consider this comment in the development of future Transition Plans surrounding Action Item 11 (previously 10).
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item #13 &. Transition plan approval - [Organization] absolutely disagrees with this time line. It is totally unnecessary to give providers 5 years to develop their transition plan. This is not addressing the actual implementation of transition, but just the development of a plan to do it. Our understanding of the	Providers will not have five years to submit transition plans. Now Action Item 14, the approval process will be an ongoing process. More specific guidance and action items will be included in future Transition Plans and guidance under Action Item

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				CMS requirements is that these transition plans must be fully implemented and in full compliance in five years or less. How can the real work of compliance be completed if BMS gives 5 years for a provider to write the plan to come into compliance?	5 of the Remedial Actions section.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item # 14: (1) Time line makes no sense. Provider assessments according to the written plan will be completed no later than 12/30/15. Then BMS is going to take up to five years to send formal letters to providers notifying them of the need to do a transition plan for specific settings? This certainly does not make sense. It also does not appear to meet the CMS requirements. In reading the CMS rule these things have to be completed at the very latest in five years. How can the CMS time line be met using this plan?	Now Action Item 15, this will be an ongoing process throughout the five year period. Letters will be sent throughout the period- not at the end.
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Item #21- [Organization] is very concerned about the language used in this statement. Why are we transitioning from "congregate employment" to "naturally occurring learning environments ...events"? While [Organization] totally supports community based learning• and productive leisure and other community activities if a person is employed and the facility they are employed in can no longer be a waiver provider because it is a segregated setting that person should be assisted in obtaining new employment integrated in the community, not shifted into community day activities of a leisure nature so behavioral health providers can	This is now Action Item 22. WV BMS appreciates this comment and will take it under consideration as it considers provider transition plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				continue to bill for services. WV has one of the lowest disability employment rates in the country and the highest SSI, SSDI and disability rates in the country. There is an absolute lack of willingness by WVDHHR, WVBHHR and the WV Bureau of Developmental Disabilities to make the types of commitments to employment first initiatives that are occurring in other states. This transition to comply with the CMS CBHS rule is a once in a life time opportunity to shift to a serious effort to support disability employment in WV and BMS can play a pivotal role in making this happen. [Organization] is urging BMS to assure that the action plans you approve for transitioning services from segregated to integrated settings require a strong emphasis on employment and limits payment for day time activities such as riding in the car and going to Wal-Mart all day.	

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Action Items – Public Input, Stakeholder Engagement and Oversight: [Organization] is very concerned about the current state of the relationship between WVBMS and the stakeholder community, especially the service providers. Any time a system embarks on major change such as the changes to the three Medicaid waivers in WV, success is always predicated upon strong collaboration between stakeholders, including members using the services, providers of the service and funders of the service. At the current time the relationship between WVBMS and the behavioral health providers who provide IDDW Waiver services is severely strained at best and frequently antagonistic. There has been a gradual destruction of these relationships over the past five years. [Organization] sees nothing in the plan WVBMS is submitting to CMS that shows any effort to interact with providers in a collaborative and supportive way during this enormous sea of change. We are concerned that these changes will require significant changes for many providers. We support and welcome these changes and have been advocating for them unsuccessfully for many years so we see the new rule as a positive step forward and support WVBMS in implementing the rule. However we are concerned that there are things that need to be in this plan to support providers through the transition that are lacking in the plan. We are	WV BMS appreciates this comment and will take it under consideration as it considers stakeholder engagement efforts and the development of more specific action items and timelines in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				pleased that there are necessary and what appear to be positive additional training and oversight requirements in this plan. However we are very concerned that there is no consideration by WVBMS of the fiscal impact these changes will have on providers, especially the additional administrative and staff training costs of coming into compliance. Since no rate increases are planned, based on announcements made by WVBMS, [Organization] is very concerned about the actual implementation of these changes. The concept of client centered services is not new and has over time, even with training, already been a hard sell in WV with for-profit providers who are focused on their bottom line.	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	Smaller and not for profit providers simply may not be able to absorb the costs of these major transitions. [Organization] strongly suggests that WVBMS consider what it could do to enter into collaboration with stakeholders to make this transition a true success in developing integrated; client centered services rather than a strictly bureaucratic process that further erodes the relationship between behavioral health providers and WVBMS. It will take an invested system to create integrated, client centered services, not just sets of rules.	WV BMS appreciates this comment and will take it under consideration as it considers stakeholder engagement efforts and the development of more specific action items and timelines in future Transition Plans.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	[Organization] is very concerned that this plan was sent out for public comment without it being included with the I/DD Waiver application for the next 5 years of that Waiver. There is a direct relationship between the required CMS HCBS rule requirements and the overall structure of WV's IDDW Waiver Program. However that critical relationship has been lost by putting the CMS Rule plan for compliance out for public comment in a piecemeal manner separate from planned changes in the IDDW Waiver program as BMS has done. It is our understanding that the application document will not be ready for submission to CMS until February. It is not clear to [Organization] if a public comment period for the full application will be offered prior to submission of the application to CMS, or just when approval is obtained and the new IDDW Waiver Manual is completed. We are highly concerned there are going to be significant cuts to services in that plan which may possibly negate Waiver member's right to client centered services and provider choice. Our concerns are based on an announcement in November by WVBMS they are requiring 43 million dollars in cuts to the IDDW Waiver program as it currently exists. The stakeholder community has no idea what those planned cuts are. Much of the stakeholder community that is made up of members receiving IDDW services and their support systems are not even aware these cut are being planned. It is difficult to imagine that cuts of that	This comment falls outside of the scope of the Transition Plan

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				magnitude which are planned to target direct member services will not erode client choice and impact negatively on the concept of client centered services.	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	[Organization] also has a serious concern about what this plan for meeting CMS requirements for the new rule is costing BMS and where that money is coming from to get this done since it was obviously not planned into the FY 2015 BMS budget for the IDWW Waiver. [Organization] raised this question at a public meeting in November and WVBMS did not respond to the questions. We are concerned that a significant amount of money has been spent on a contract with the Lewin Group to create the plan and do the assessments required without any transparency about the cost of and duration of that contract. [Organization] cannot find any information to support that it was advertised by bid which is the usual way such contracts usually are done. [Organization] feels it is very important that all of the additional costs created by CMS' mandate to comply with the new rule be made available to stakeholders as well as the	WV BMS appreciates this comment and will take it under consideration as it considers releasing cost information surrounding the Final Rule implementation. This may be included in information offered under Action Item 5 of the Remedial Actions section.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
				source(s) of funds used to pay those costs. We feel it is also very important that WVBMS be very transparent if any of those costs are being paid for with funds in the WVBMS budget that were originally targeted to be spent for IDDW Waiver member services.	
5	12/18/2014	Email	Statewide	[Organization] does not understand why the transition plan fails to address the CMS requirement to transition to independent service coordination. What is the plan for compliance with this CMS requirement?	This comment falls outside of the scope of the Transition Plan
6	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] asked if we are going to take information from certain groups and [WV BMS] said they would from everybody.	This is addressed in the Transition Plan, Assessment section, action items 2 and 3.
6	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] said [provider] in Morgantown is not on any bus route and is segregated. [WV BMS] said it's in the facility-based day habilitation and there were only three comments regarding these facilities. [WV BMS] stated we would lose some providers over this. BMS will put timelines to providers.	Addressed in Remedial Actions section, Action item 14.
6	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] stated more people should be trained and [Individual] said it was incumbent on all of them to have good information to tell people of the implications of the new State Plan.	Addressed in Remedial Actions section, Action item 2.
6	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] asked why does ADW or TBIW not include employee services; Teresa stated it was not written in the TBIW application and no one brought it up in public forums.	No action needed

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
6	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] stated transportation is necessary for clients to receive employment offers but Susan Given said most people on TBIW were not employed prior to their injuries and were drug users and that the Veterans Administration was not interested in TBIW due to the estate recovery provisions.	No action needed
7	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] stated there are a group of stakeholders missing but [WV BMS] stated they are included on the quality councils. [Individual] stated there were two missing consumers but she will send comment to [WV BMS] about it.	Follow up with [Individual] for comments from consumer, act as appropriate.
7	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[WV BMS] said she is not sure of what they need to transition and [Individual] said she has read other State plans which are more specific.	More detailed and specific action items and timelines will be included in future Transition Plans.
8	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] asked if they would be allowed to watch webinars and [WV BMS] said yes, and that BMS is posting them on the website.	Added to Remedial Action section, action item 4 of transition plan: "Post webinar archives on BMS website."
9	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] asked if the quality improvement plan councils be privy to what Lewin found out and [WV BMS] said yes.	Added new item to Transition Plan: Action Item 5 of Assessment section: "Post findings from the review of Action Item 1 and aggregate survey results to the website"
9	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] asked what does BMS expect OHFLAC to tell providers and [WV BMS] responded that she doesn't know right now since it's in the planning stages.	Addressed in Remedial Actions section, Action item 6.
9	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] said the 21 biggest groups of people are not in congregant homes but are day rehabilitation which have differences.	Addressed in Assessment section, Action item 2. The survey controls for setting type.

ID	Date (date received)	Mode (email, phone, public meeting, other)	Waiver (ADW, I/DD, TBIW, Statewide)	Comment (feedback submitted)	Response and/or Action Steps
9	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] stated people didn't know what's at stake with the new plan and [WV BMS] said she was surprised no providers were at this meeting.	Addressed in Public Input, Stakeholder Engagement and Oversight section, Action item 3.
9	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] asked what process is there for compliance and [WV BMS] said CMS has the final say on this question.	This comment will be taken under consideration as the state shares information offered through Action Item 5 of the Remedial Actions section.
9	12/15/14 Meeting	Public Meeting		[Individual] said some people have an address which makes it very easy for mail going to provider agency's mailbox and is deceptive.	Added "via web and mail" to Assessment section action items 2 and 3.

Appendix G: Statewide Proposed Transition Plan

West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services: Statewide Transition Plan

In January 2014, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services promulgated a final federal rule (CMS-2249-F and CMS 2296-F) to ensure that individuals receiving long term services and supports (LTSS) through home and community based services (HCBS) programs under 1915(c) of the Social Security Act have full access to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal finances and receive services in the community to the same degree as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

West Virginia developed a transition plan pursuant to 42 CFR 441.301(c)(6) that contains the actions the State will take to bring all West Virginia waivers into compliance with requirements set forth in 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4-5).

West Virginia's approach to an environmental scan and subsequent transition plan is based on core values to help individuals to access care at the right time and right place and improve West Virginia's ability to work effectively within and across systems to ensure person-centered care. The transition plan includes action steps West Virginia intends to take over the course of the next five years across the three (3) waivers outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. West Virginia Programs with Residential and Non-Residential Components

HCBS Waiver	Service/Setting Type	Original Approval Date	Effective Date	Expiration Date of Waiver
Aged and Disabled Waiver Program	• Case Management • Personal Assistant Services • Transportation	07/01/1985	07/01/2015	6/30/2020
Intellectual/ Developmental Disabilities Waiver Program	• <u>Case Management/ Service Coordination</u> • <u>Behavior Support Professional</u> • Facility Based Day Habilitation • Person -Centered Support • Crisis Services • Supported Employment • Electronic Monitoring Surveillance System and On-Site Response • Skilled Nursing - Nursing Services by a Licensed Practical Nurse • Skilled Nursing – Nursing Services by a Registered Nurse	07/01/1985	07/01/2015	6/30/2020

HCBS Waiver	Service/Setting Type	Original Approval Date	Effective Date	Expiration Date of Waiver
	• Pre-vocational Services • Job Development • Transportation • Out of Home Respite			
Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver Program	• Case Management • Personal Attendant Services • Transportation	12/23/2011	7/1/2015	6/30/2020

The plan is organized by program component. Program components are

- A. Assessment,
- B. Remedial Actions, (Provider Remediation)
- C. Public Input, Stakeholder Engagement and Oversight.

Assessment

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	General	1. Conduct a review of West Virginia regulations and supporting documents across the 3 waiver programs with residential and non-residential settings. <u>Post Report on BMS website.</u>	10/20/14	11/25/14	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	General	2. Develop and conduct a provider self-assessment survey across all three waivers; residential and non-residential via web and mail, mandatory for all providers to complete. Perform analyses of survey responses.	10/20/14	8/21/15	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	General	3. Develop a survey for individuals and families to provide input on settings by type and location; residential and non-residential via web and mail. Perform analyses of survey responses.	10/20/14	12/30/15	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	General	4. Prepare a list of settings that meet the residential and non-residential requirements, those that do not meet the residential and non-residential requirements, may meet the requirements with changes, and settings West Virginia	10/24/14	11/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
		chooses to submit under CMS heightened scrutiny. The list will be distributed to provider agencies and posted to the website.			
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	General	5. Post findings from the review of Action Item 1 and aggregate survey results to the website	2/1/15	12/30/15	Bureau for Medical Services

Remedial Actions

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Provider Remediation - Residential	Incorporate the outcomes of the assessment of settings within existing licensure and certification processes to identify existing settings as well as potential new settings in development that may not meet the requirements of the rule.	1/2/16	6/30/16	Bureau for Medical Services with assistance from individual Waiver Quality Councils
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide training to licensure/certification staff, individuals and family members on new settings requirements.	7/1/15	7/31/16	Bureau for Medical Services and the appropriate Waiver's Administrative Services Organization (ASO)
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Provider Remediation	Strengthen enrollment and re-enrollment procedures to identify settings that may have indicators of non-compliance and require more thorough review.	10/20/14	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services and the appropriate Waiver's Administrative Services Organization (ASO)
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Conduct a webinar series to highlight the settings requirements (residential, non-residential including principles of person-centered planning). Post webinar archives on BMS website.	7/1/15	3/31/17	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide strategic technical assistance by issuing fact sheets, FAQ's and responding to questions related to the implementation of the	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and

		transition plan (action steps, timelines, and available technical assistance).			ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide training to enrollment staff to heighten scrutiny of new providers/facilities.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver ASO and Office of Health Facility and Licensure (OHFLAC), if applicable
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Develop and include ongoing provider training on rights, protections, person-centered thinking, and community inclusion.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Provide training to quality improvement system on new settings outcomes measures.	7/1/15	5/30/17	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Outreach and Education	Update applicable Member Handbooks to strengthen person centered HCBS requirements.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Quality	- Quality Measures - Develop or revise on-site monitoring tools to meet compliance (e.g. opportunities for “informed” choice, choice of roommate and setting, freedom from coercion). - Include outcomes measures on settings within the current 1915c waiver quality improvement system. - Build community character indicators within the 6 CMS Quality Assurances reviewed through the provider self-review process.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDWW	Quality	Expand upon the QIA council to include responsibility to	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical

		monitor data associated with meeting transition plan action items and outcomes data. Establish a baseline of outcomes data and measure throughout transition plan implementation.			Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Quality	Crosswalk quality assurance tools against settings characteristics and person-centered planning requirements to identify areas of potential enhancement to the quality improvement system.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, appropriate Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Policies and Procedures	Modify regulations to ensure community characteristics are reflected across IDDW waiver services with particular attention on ISS, group homes and specialized family care homes as well as facility based day habilitation.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop a transition plan approval process which requires the provider to submit progress reports on the implementation of the specific setting identified.	7/1/15	3/31/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Prepare a formal letter indicating the need for the provider to develop a transition plan for EACH setting. Include guidance and a template transition plan that requires action steps and timelines for compliance.	7/1/15	3/31/16	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop a plan to manage non-compliance with the transition plans submitted by providers (e.g. disenrollment, sanctions). Include a decision flow and timeline within the management plan. Connect the plan with the quality improvement system. Assist providers in either becoming compliant or being terminated as a provider of HCBS because they are unable to become compliant.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Using lessons learned from the state's MFP program, develop a process for helping individuals to transition to new settings as appropriate.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP

IDDW	Provider Remediation	Building upon the MFP program, develop a housing strategic plan to address the potential need for transition to new housing as well as prepare the LTSS system for future need.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDDW	Provider Remediation	- Work with the stakeholder group to c) Identify challenges and potential solutions to support provider changes that may be necessary. d) Develop a toolkit for provider use that includes housing resources and person-centered planning strategies.	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Require provider owned or controlled residences to ensure residents rights are protected by legally binding agreements (lease or other).	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDDW	Provider Remediation	Develop template leases, written agreements or addendums to support providers in documenting protections and appeals comparable to those provided under West Virginia landlord tenant law. Ensure that written language describes the required environment to comply such as locked doors and use of common areas.	7/1/15	1/30/17	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDW Waiver QIA and ASO and WV MFP
IDDW	Provider Remediation-Non-Residential	Develop strategies for moving away from more congregate employment to naturally occurring learning environments and access to community activities and events. Build upon the supported employment model by including more person-centered and inclusionary supports including access to a variety of settings for participants to interact with non-disabled individuals (other than those individuals who are providing services to the participant) to the same extent that individuals employed in	7/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services, IDDDW QIA, ASO and WV Employment First through WV Developmental Disabilities Council

		comparable positions would interact.			
IDDW*	Provider Remediation	Develop a site visit and compliance protocol to validate provider assessments and remediate provider compliance issues.	9/1/15	3/31/16	Bureau for Medical Services
IDDW*	Provider Remediation	Conduct site visits and implement remedial actions.	8/25/15	10/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services: ASO
IDDW*	Provider Remediation	Develop a process for heightened scrutiny as part of the compliance protocol and using information gathered through validation and remedial action.	12/1/15	9/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services
IDDW*	Provider Remediation	Implement heightened scrutiny process including any necessary request for CMS review.	6/1/17	9/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services
IDDW*	Provider Remediation	Implement relocation process as needed.	6/1/17	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services

Public Input, Stakeholder Engagement and Oversight

Applicable Waiver	Compliance Area	Action Item	Start Date	End Date	Person Responsible
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Oversight	1. Convene a subcommittee across the WV Bureau for Medical Services to monitor the implementation of the transition plan.	10/20/14	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Oversight	2. Develop a communication strategy to manage the public input required by the rule as well as ongoing communication on the implementation of the transition plan. Adapt the strategy to different audiences including state legislators.	10/20/14	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Stakeholder Engagement	3. Reach out to providers and provider associations to increase the understanding of the rule and maintain open lines of communication.	10/20/14	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services and other stakeholder associations
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Stakeholder Engagement	4. Reach out to individuals, families and organizations representing these groups to increase the understanding of the rule and maintain open lines of communication.	10/20/14	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services

ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Stakeholder Engagement	5. Create a space on an existing state website to post materials related to settings and person-centered planning.	10/20/14	5/15/16	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Stakeholder Engagement	6. Develop and issue required public notices. Collect comments and summarize for incorporation in the transition plan and within communication tools (e.g. FAQs).	10/20/14	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW, TBIW, IDDW	Stakeholder Engagement	7. Convene a cross-disability workgroup to identify solutions for compliance that represents all stakeholders including individuals, families, advocates and providers, among others	6/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services and other stakeholder associations
ADW, TBIW, IDDW*	Stakeholder Engagement	8. Post updates to the statewide transition plan at least annually seeking feedback on progress made and lessons learned.	9/1/15	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services
ADW,TBIW,IDDW*	Stakeholder Engagement	9. Develop an external stakeholder process and innovation dissemination strategy using the existing quarterly provider update schedule as a starting point.	9/1/15	10/1/16	Bureau for Medical Services and other stakeholder associations
ADW,TBIW, IDDW*	Oversight	10. Facilitate Quality Council monitoring of STP progress and identification of innovations for dissemination	1/1/16	Ongoing	Bureau for Medical Services and other stakeholder associations

Appendix H: Cover Letter for Provider Surveys (ADW, TBIW, I/DD)

In January 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) settings final rule (CMS-2249-P2). The final rule establishes requirements for home and community based services provided under section 1915(c) of Medicaid HCBS waivers. The intent of the rule is to “ensure that individuals receiving services and supports through Medicaid’s HCBS programs have full access to the benefits of community living and are able to receive services in the most integrated setting.” The rule encourages service providers to focus on outcomes and community inclusion. Within the next five years, the new regulation seeks to ensure all settings are:

“Integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated

settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.”

West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources has developed a self-assessment tool to identify the settings in which HCBS is currently offered and to determine next steps for compliance with the new Federal regulation. Although some settings may already offer services that promote community inclusion, other settings may need to make changes to allow full community inclusion. This assessment will help the state identify specific settings that require additional support to promote inclusion. It will not be used to penalize settings that are not yet in compliance.

Service providers play an integral role in the state Medicaid system to ensure individuals receive the necessary services and supports. By completing this assessment, you will assist the state in gathering information on the HCBS settings covered under the [Name] Waiver. This information, along with additional data sources, such as provider applications and licensure reviews, will be used by the state to develop a transition plan for areas not in compliance with the final rule that must be submitted to CMS.

Thank you in advance for your time for completing this assessment.

Please contact [name] at [email address] or [phone number] if you have any questions.

Appendix I: Provider Survey Questions for ADW/TBIW

Home and Community Based Settings: A Self-Assessment Tool for TBIW/ADW Providers

In January 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) settings final rule (CMS-2249-P2). The final rule establishes requirements for home and community based services provided under section 1915(c) of Medicaid HCBS waivers. The intent of the rule is to “ensure that individuals receiving services and supports through Medicaid’s HCBS programs have full access to the benefits of community living and are able to receive services in the most integrated setting.” The rule encourages service providers to focus on outcomes and community inclusion. Within the next five years, the new regulation seeks to ensure all settings are:

“Integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.”

West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources has developed a self-assessment tool to identify the settings in which HCBS is currently offered and to determine next steps for compliance with the new Federal regulation. Although some settings may already offer services that promote community inclusion, other settings may need to make changes to allow full community inclusion. This assessment will help the state identify specific settings that require additional support to promote inclusion. It will not be used to penalize settings that are not yet in compliance.

Service providers play an integral role in the state Medicaid system to ensure individuals receive the necessary services and supports. By completing this assessment, you will assist the state in gathering information on the HCBS settings covered under the Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver/Aged and Disabled Waiver. This information, along with additional data sources, such as provider applications and licensure reviews, will be used by the state to develop a transition plan for areas not in compliance with the final rule that must be submitted to CMS.

Compliance with assessment completion

Failure to complete the assessment may result in corrective action consistent with the terms of the provider agreement and may jeopardize your standing as an approved (TBIW, ADW, IDWW) Waiver Provider.

Timeline

The assessment(s) for each type and address must be completed by <insert date>.

Assessment Instructions

Providers should complete this assessment for each setting type and address by re-entering the assessment link. The assessment will take you approximately 15 to 30 minutes to complete.

Thank you for taking the time to complete the West Virginia Provider Self-Assessment on the new Federal Home and Community Based Settings Regulations.

Demographic Questions:

1. What is your organization/agency name?

--

2. Who should we contact for more information?

Name	E-mail
Title	Phone Number

3. What is your address (*street and zip code?*

Street address	Zip Code
----------------	----------

4. How many individuals receive services under the Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver/Aged and Disabled Waiver within this setting?

--

Residential settings:

5. Do any of the following characteristics apply to your location? (circle Yes or No)

Location is on the property of an institution	Yes	No
Location is adjacent to an institution	Yes	No
Location is a Personal Care Home	Yes	No
Location is a Skilled Nursing Facility	Yes	No
Location is an Assisted Living Facility	Yes	No
Other congregate setting Please specify:	Yes	No

If you circled "Yes" to any of these settings please complete the rest of this survey for your location.

6. Is this location designed or reserved specifically for waiver participants?
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable
7. At this residential setting location, can individuals leave the home for less than 4 hours a day?
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable
8. Do most activities occur between only persons receiving TBIW/ADW Waiver services and paid staff?
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable
9. Are there any of the following rules or restrictions for individuals you serve in this setting? (Check all that apply)
- ☐ Rules that inhibit freedom of movement outside of the setting
- ☐ Rules or restrictions on scheduling that prevents transportation to desired community activities

- ☐ Rules or restrictions on access to family, friends and community functions
 - ☐ Rules that require group activity instead of individualized choices
 - ☐ Not Applicable
10. Do individuals at this setting have full access to ALL areas (other than rooms of other residents) of the setting?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
11. Do individuals have full access to food not limited to scheduled meal or snack times?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
12. Do individuals have a choice whether must they share a home?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
13. Do individuals have a choice of roommates/housemates in shared residences?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
14. Do individuals have a legally enforceable agreement or residency agreement that provides protections that address eviction processes and appeals?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
15. Do individuals have any of the following: (Check all that apply)
- ☐ Their own checking account
 - ☐ Access to their own funds when they choose
 - ☐ Receive assistance to manage finances
 - ☐ None
16. Do the setting options include the opportunity for the individual to choose to combine more than one service delivery setting or type of HCBS in any given day/week (e.g. combine competitive employment with community habilitation)?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
17. Is all information about individuals kept private? For instance, do paid staff/providers follow confidentiality policy/practices and does staff within the setting ensure that, for example, there are no posted schedules of individuals for any services, medications, restricted diet, etc., in a general open area?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
18. Are there gates, Velcro strips, locked doors, fences or other barriers preventing individuals' entrance to or exit from certain areas of the setting?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable

19. Does the setting afford individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
20. Does the setting post or provide information to individuals about how to make a request for additional HCBS, or changes to their current HCBS?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
21. Do you have additional comments about the services/settings provided at this location?
-

Thank you for taking the time to complete this assessment! Please watch for updates to West Virginia's compliance to the rule requirements by visiting our webpage at <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>.

Appendix J: Provider Survey Questions for I/DD

Home and Community Based Settings: A Self-Assessment Tool for IDDW Providers

In January 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) settings final rule (CMS-2249-P2). The final rule establishes requirements for home and community based services provided under section 1915(c) of Medicaid HCBS waivers. The intent of the rule is to “ensure that individuals receiving services and supports through Medicaid’s HCBS programs have full access to the benefits of community living and are able to receive services in the most integrated setting.” The rule encourages service providers to focus on outcomes and community inclusion. Within the next five years, the new regulation seeks to ensure all settings are:

“Integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.”

West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (DHHR) has developed a self-assessment tool to identify the settings in which HCBS are currently offered and to determine next steps for compliance with the new Federal regulation. Although some settings may already offer services that promote community inclusion, other settings may need to make changes to allow full community inclusion. This assessment will help the state identify specific settings that require additional support to promote inclusion. It will not be used to penalize settings that are not yet in compliance.

Service providers play an integral role in the state Medicaid system to ensure individuals receive the necessary services and supports. By completing this assessment, you will assist the state in gathering information on the HCBS settings covered under the Intellectual/ Developmental Disability (I/DD) waiver program. This information, along with additional data sources, such as provider applications and licensure reviews, will be used by the state to develop a transition plan for areas not in compliance with the final rule that must be submitted to CMS.

Compliance with assessment completion

Failure to complete the assessment may result in corrective action consistent with the terms of the provider agreement and may jeopardize your standing as an approved IDDW Waiver Provider.

Timeline

The assessment(s) for each type and address must be completed by **<insert date>**.

Assessment Instructions

The assessment is applicable to both residential (Intensively Supported Setting (ISS), group homes, specialized family care homes) and non-residential (facility-based day habilitation, supported employment) settings. Providers should complete this assessment for each setting type and address by re-entering the assessment link. The assessment will take you approximately 15 to 30 minutes to complete. Thank you for taking the time to complete the West Virginia Provider Self-Assessment on the new Federal Home and Community Based Services Regulations.

Demographic Questions:

22. What is your organization/agency name?

--

23. Who should we contact for more information?

Name	E-mail
Title	Phone Number

24. What is the address (*street and zip code*) for the setting you will be describing in this assessment?

Street address	Zip Code
----------------	----------

25. How many individuals receive services under the IDDW waiver within this home/workplace?

--

26. What best describes this residential/non-residential setting?

- ☐ Facility based day habilitation
- ☐ Supported employment
- ☐ Participant Centered Support – ISS (serving 1-3 people)
- ☐ Participant Centered Support – Group homes (serving 4 or more people)
- ☐ Participant Centered Support – Specialized family care homes

<based on the answer to #4, the next page will either go to the residential or non-residential questions>

Residential settings:

1. Do any of the following characteristics apply to this specific setting? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ Location is on the property of an institution
 - ☐ Location is adjacent to an institution
 - ☐ Location is in a Commercial property area with large vehicle/truck traffic and/or few other businesses in which to mingle or shop
 - ☐ Location is not within one block of residential homes owned by members of the general public
 - ☐ Location is more than a ½ mile from other homes and businesses that can be frequented by participants
 - ☐ Location is intermixed in a neighborhood with other homes and businesses within a 1/2 mile
 - ☐ Setting has frequent visitors from the general public
2. At this residential setting location, can individuals leave the home for less than 4 hours a day?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
3. Is this location designed or reserved specifically for waiver participants?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
4. Do most activities occur between only persons with disabilities and paid staff?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
5. Do individuals have any of the following: (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ Their own checking account
 - ☐ Access to their own funds when they choose
 - ☐ Receive assistance to manage finances
 - ☐ None
6. Do you have any of the following rules or restrictions for individuals you serve in this setting? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ Rules that inhibit freedom of movement outside of the setting
 - ☐ Rules or restrictions on scheduling that prevents transportation to desired community activities
 - ☐ Rules or restrictions on access to family, friends and community functions
 - ☐ Rules that require group activity instead of individualized choices
7. Do individuals at this setting have full access to ALL areas (other than rooms of other residents) of the setting?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
8. Do individuals have full access to food not limited to scheduled meal or snack times?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
9. Do individuals have a choice whether must they share a home?

- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
10. Do individuals have a choice of roommates/housemates in shared residences?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
11. Do individuals have a legally enforceable agreement or residency agreement that provides protections that address eviction processes and appeals?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
12. Do the setting options include the opportunity for the individual to choose to combine more than one service delivery setting or type of HCBS in any given day/week (e.g. combine competitive employment with community habilitation)?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
13. Is all information about individuals kept private? For instance, do paid staff/providers follow confidentiality policy/practices and does staff within the setting ensure that, for example, there are no posted schedules of individuals for any services, medications, restricted diet, etc., in a general open area?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
14. Are there gates, Velcro strips, locked doors, fences or other barriers preventing individuals' entrance to or exit from certain areas of the setting?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
15. Does the setting afford individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
16. Does the setting post or provide information to individuals about how to make a request for additional HCBS, or changes to their current HCBS?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
17. Do you have additional comments about the services/settings provided at this location?

Thank you for taking the time to complete this assessment! Please watch for updates to West Virginia's compliance to the rule requirements by visiting our webpage at <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>.

Non-residential settings:

1. Do any of the following characteristics apply to this specific setting? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ Location is on the property of an institution
 - ☐ Location is adjacent to an institution
 - ☐ Location is in a Commercial property area with large vehicle/truck traffic and/or few other businesses in which to mingle or shop
 - ☐ Location is more than a ½ mile from other homes and businesses that can be frequented by participants
 - ☐ Location is intermixed in a neighborhood with other businesses within a 1/2 mile
 - ☐ Setting has frequent visitors from the general public
2. Do individuals in this setting work full time or part time?
 - ☐ Full time (30 or more hours per week)
 - ☐ Part time (Less than 30 hours per week)
 - ☐ Other (please specify)
3. Do individuals in this setting work in an integrated, competitive employment environment and engage in activities with the general community?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
4. Do individuals in this setting earn sub-minimum wage or work for free?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
5. Which of the following do you offer individuals you serve at this setting: (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ Individualized support based on need
 - ☐ Opportunities for community relationships or natural supports
 - ☐ Interaction with community members
 - ☐ Access to age appropriate activities and community resources
 - ☐ The ability to choose/refuse services based on individual choice
 - ☐ None
6. Do you offer opportunities for individuals to engage in activities with non-disabled community members (other than paid staff)?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
7. Do you offer individualized support enabling individuals to choose activities of his/her own interests within a group or individually that does not restrict or limit engagement in community activities that align with interests?
 - ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Not Applicable
8. Which of the following do you offer to individuals you serve through this setting: (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ Opportunities for individuals to volunteer
 - ☐ Receive support to find competitive employment
 - ☐ Training (i.e. job coaching)

☐ Postsecondary education

9. Do the setting options include the opportunity for the individual to choose to combine more than one service delivery setting or type of HCBS in any given day/week (e.g. combine competitive employment with community habilitation)?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

10. Is all information about individuals kept private? For instance, do paid staff/providers follow confidentiality policy/practices and does staff within the setting ensure that, for example, there are no posted schedules of individuals for any services, medications, restricted diet, etc., in a general open area?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

11. Are there gates, Velcro strips, locked doors, fences or other barriers preventing individuals' entrance to or exit from certain areas of the setting?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

12. Does the setting afford individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

13. Does the setting post or provide information to individuals about how to make a request for additional HCBS, or changes to their current HCBS?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

14. Do you have any additional comments about your setting location?

Thank you for taking the time to complete this assessment! Please watch for updates to West Virginia's compliance to the rule requirements by visiting our webpage at <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>.

Appendix K: Cover Letter for Individuals and Family Members Survey (ADW, TBIW, I/DD)

The federal agency that oversees Medicaid programs, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), has recently given guidance to states on how home and community-based services (HCBS) are offered at different settings. There is guidance on how HCBS are provided in a home setting and also how HCBS are provided at a work setting.

West Virginia Department of Health and Human Service (DHHS) Bureau for Medical Services (BMS) is the state agency in West Virginia that oversees Medicaid programs in the state. Some Medicaid programs offer HCBS to people who apply and are found eligible for the services. The new federal guidance on HCBS applies to the Medicaid programs that BMS oversees.

To learn more about people's experiences, BMS has developed a survey for people receiving services, their family members and advocates. The survey includes questions about how you receive HCBS in home settings and also work settings (if you work).

BMS would like to hear from you and asks that you complete the survey. The information collected in this survey will be used to help West Virginia make sure all home and work settings follow the federal guidance.

Each person only needs to fill out the survey once. Once you finish completing the survey, please mail the survey to:

Brendan Flinn

3130 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 500

Falls Church, VA 22042

Please contact Barbara Kinder at Barbara.A.Kinder@wv.gov or 304-558-1700 if you have any questions.

Appendix L: Individuals and Family Members Survey Questions for ADW/TBIW

Home and Community Based Settings A Self-Assessment Tool for Individuals, Families, Guardians, and Advocates

In January, 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) released requirements for home and community based settings for services funded by Medicaid within the home and workplace.

Characteristics of the home and Community
• Part of the community (e.g. within a neighborhood next to persons without disabilities) • Active in the community with consistent interaction with persons without disabilities • Choice of roommate or private room • Landlord-tenant protections • Physical accessibility • Unrestricted access to home and lockable doors • Choice of roommates • Freedom to furnish and decorate • Control over schedule • Access to private calls, e-mail and text • Control over personal resources • Access to food anytime • Visitors anytime

The West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (DHHR) Bureau for Medical Services has developed a simple self-assessment tool for individuals, families, guardians and advocates to complete alerting DHHR to potential home and settings that may need attention in order to meet the CMS requirements.

Assessment Questions:

1. I am a:
 - ☐ person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
 - ☐ family member of a person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
 - ☐ friend of a person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
 - ☐ guardian of a person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
 - ☐ advocate representing persons receiving Medicaid funded home and community based services
2. I (or the person I know) receive Traumatic Brain Injury (TBIW) Waiver/ADW services in the following setting(s):
 - ☐ My own home

- ☐ A family member's home
- ☐ A friends home
- ☐ Other(describe): _____

Please take a moment to respond to the following questions about the characteristics of your (or the person you know) current home or workplace.

3. Is your home in the community among other private residences or retail businesses?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
4. Did you or your guardian have a say in where you were going to live?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
5. If you have roommates or housemates who are not family members, did you or your guardian choose your roommates or housemates?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
6. Do you have full access to the following areas in your home? (Check all that apply)	☐ Kitchen ☐ Dining room ☐ Laundry room ☐ Living room ☐ Basement ☐ None of the above
7. When you want or need to eat, are you able to access food?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
8. Do you have privacy in your home (e.g. can talk on the phone when you want, visit with who you want)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
9. I interact with my neighbors...	☐ Multiple times per week ☐ Once a week ☐ A few times a month ☐ Rarely ☐ Never ☐ I don't have neighbors
10. Are you aware of or do you have access to, materials to become aware of activities occurring outside of the home?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
11. Do you receive information (by mail or word of mouth) about activities going on in your community?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
12. Do you have a choice of activities to participate in in the community? This	☐ Yes

includes the ability to shop, attend religious services, schedule appointments, and/or have lunch with family and friends in the community.	☐ No ☐ Not applicable
13. Do you choose where you go during the week. (e.g. grocery shopping, church, visit family/friends)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
14. Do you have friends and relationships with persons other than paid staff or family?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
15. Do you volunteer, have a paid job or participate in a day habilitation program in the community?"	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
16. Do you work or volunteer at a job that employs people with and without disabilities?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
17. Do you like where you volunteer or work?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
18. Do staff talk to you in the way you prefer (such as without nicknames or talking about you in front of others)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
19. Are you able to access more than one service in any given day/week?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
20. Do staff members respect your privacy?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
21. Is there a safe place for you to store your personal items?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
22. Are you able to access all areas of the setting, without locked doors, fences or other barriers?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
23. Are you able to change or update your service preferences at will?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
24. Does the setting provide you information on how to request changes or additions to your services?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
25. Do you or your guardian decide how to spend your money?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

26. If you do not decide how to spend your money, why? (e.g., you have a social security payee or a power of attorney).

27. If you answered “no” to any of the questions above, please write the name and address of your provider in the space(s) below.

Provider Name	Provider Address

28. Do you have any additional comments about your services or settings that you want to share?

--

29. Your response is anonymous. If you would like us to know who you are, please insert your contact information below.

Name:

--

E-mail:

--

Thank you for taking the time to complete this assessment! Please watch for updates to West Virginia’s compliance to the rule requirements by visiting our webpage at <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>.

Appendix M: Individuals and Family Members Survey Questions for I/DD

Home and Community Based Settings A Self-Assessment Tool for Individuals, Families, Guardians, and Advocates

In January, 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) released requirements for home and community based settings for services funded by Medicaid within the home and workplace.

Characteristics of the home	Characteristics of the workplace
• Part of the community (e.g. within a neighborhood next to persons without disabilities) • Active in the community with consistent interaction with persons without disabilities • Choice of roommate or private room • Landlord-tenant protections • Physical accessibility • Unrestricted access to home and lockable doors • Choice of roommates • Freedom to furnish and decorate • Control over schedule • Access to private calls, e-mail and text • Control over personal resources • Access to food anytime • Visitors anytime	• Part of the community (e.g. work next to business or persons without disabilities) • Active in the community with consistent interaction with persons without disabilities • Make money by accessing and seeking employment • Receive support to find competitive employment and training • Access to age appropriate activities and community resources • Opportunities for community relationships and interaction with community member • Ability to choose or refuse activities based on choice • Engagement in community activities that align with interests • Opportunities to volunteer

The West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (DHHR) Bureau for Medical Services has developed a simple self-assessment tool for individuals, families, guardians and advocates to complete alerting DHHR to potential home and workplace settings that may need attention in order to meet the CMS requirements.

Assessment Questions:

30. I am a:

- ☐ person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
- ☐ family member of a person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
- ☐ friend of a person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
- ☐ guardian of a person who receives Medicaid funded home and community based services
- ☐ advocate representing persons receiving Medicaid funded home and community based services

31. I (or the person I know) receive Medicaid funded home and community based services in the following home setting(s) (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Group home with 3-8 roommates/housemates
- ☐ Group home with greater than 9 roommates/housemates

- ☐ Intensively Supported Setting (ISS)
- ☐ Specialized family care home
- ☐ Not applicable – I receive services within my family’s home, live on my own, or have my own apartment.

32. I (or the person I know) receive Medicaid funded home and community based services in the following workplace setting(s) (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Facility based day habilitation program within a “workshop” setting
- ☐ Facility based day habilitation program not in a “workshop” setting
- ☐ Community-based day habilitation program
- ☐ Supported employment within the community
- ☐ Not applicable – I do not receive or need employment or day support through Medicaid.
- ☐ Not applicable – I do not receive employment or day support through Medicaid, but wish it was available.

Please take a moment to respond to the following questions about the characteristics of your (or the person you know) current home or workplace.

33. Is your home in the community among other private residences or retail businesses?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
34. Is your workplace in the community among other private residences or retail businesses?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
35. Do you work or volunteer at a job that employs people with and without disabilities?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
36. Did you or your guardian have a say in where you were going to live?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
37. If you have roommates or housemates who are not family members, did you or your guardian choose your roommates or housemates?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
38. I interact with my neighbors...	☐ Multiple times per week ☐ Once a week ☐ A few times a month ☐ Rarely ☐ Never ☐ I don’t have neighbors
39. Do you have friends and relationships with persons other than paid staff or family?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

40. Are you aware of or do you have access to, materials to become aware of activities occurring outside of the home or workplace setting?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
41. Do you have a choice of activities to participate in in the community? This includes the ability to shop, attend religious services, schedule appointments, and/or have lunch with family and friends in the community.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
42. Do you have full access to the following areas in your home? (Check all that apply)	☐ Kitchen ☐ Dining room ☐ Laundry room ☐ Living room ☐ Basement ☐ None of the above
43. When you want or need to eat, are you able to access food?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
44. "Do you volunteer, have a paid job or participate in a day habilitation program in the community?"	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
45. Did you choose where you go during the weekday (e.g. workshop, job in the community, volunteer position)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
46. Are you happy with how much money you make at your job?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
47. How do you feel about how many hours you work in a week?	☐ I like the number of hours I work ☐ I don't work enough; ☐ I work too much; ☐ I don't really care.
48. Do you have privacy in your home (e.g. can talk on the phone when you want, meet with who you want to meet with)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
49. Do staff address you in the way you prefer (such as without nicknames or talking about you in front of others)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
50. Are you able to access more than one service in any given day/week?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
51. Do staff members respect your privacy?	☐ Yes

	☐ No ☐ Not applicable
52. Is there a safe place for you to store your personal items?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
53. Are you able to access all areas of the setting, without locked doors, fences or other barriers?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
54. Are you able to change or update your service preferences at will?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
55. Does the setting provide you information on how to request changes or additions to your services?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
56. Do you or your guardian decide how to spend your money?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

57. If you do not decide how to spend your money, why? (e.g., you have a social security payee or a power of attorney).

58. If you answered “no” to any of the questions on pgs. 2-3, please note the name and address of the provider setting you are referring to in the space(s) below:

Provider Name	Provider Address

59. Do you have any additional comments about your services or settings that you want to share?

--

60. Your response is anonymous. If you would like us to know who you are, please insert your contact information below.

Name:

E-mail:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey! Please watch for updates to West Virginia's compliance to the rule requirements by visiting our webpage at <http://www.dhhr.wv.gov/bms/hcbs/Pages/default.aspx>.

Appendix N: Protocol for Review of West Virginia Home and Community Settings

Section 1. *Purpose*: The purpose of this protocol is to manage provider identification and compliance with setting requirements in accordance with the Home and Community Based Services settings rule 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i-v)/441.710(a)(1)(i-v)/441.530(a)(1)(i-v)

Section 2. *Member and Provider data analysis*

Analysis of the data collected from the 2015 Member and Provider surveys was completed to identify the following key indicators of non-compliance and to prioritize settings reviews:

Key Indicator: Providers that self-identify as being in compliance, but Member responses indicate otherwise.

Key Indicator: Member responses indicate provider compliance, but Provider response indicates otherwise.

Key Indicator: Provider responses that self-identify gross non-compliance among the five requirements of 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i-v)/441.710(a)(1)(i-v)/441.530(a)(1)(i-v). These providers are scored as 0, 3 or 4 on the assessment instrument. (Appendices K and N of State Transition Plan)

Key Indicator: Analysis of provider respondents to identify those with licensed (owned or leased settings) which did not respond as instructed.

Key Indicator: Any provider setting for which BMS has received a complaint alleging non-compliance.

Providers with identified Key Indicators are considered Priority I.

Providers without identified Key Indicators and scoring 1 or 2 on the self - assessment instrument are considered Priority II.

The relation of score to priority is as follows:

Score	0 (no answers)	Priority I
	1	Priority II

2	Priority II
3	Priority I
4	Priority I

No providers were found, on the basis of the survey, to be totally compliant. Priority II (Score 1 or 2) providers had self-surveyed to indicate substantive compliance.

Section 3. *Validating Provider responses*

All providers not initially responding to the surveys were notified by email, phone and letter that the lack of response signifies that they are not in compliance and are under intense BMS scrutiny.

Direct communication with each non-respondent provider ascertained if the provider wished to come into compliance. All providers ultimately expressed the intention to come into compliance and completed the survey.

Section 4. *Setting/Site visits and Revisits*

Site visits will be conducted to validate provider responses using the following criteria (Priority I): (completion date 6/1/2016)

Providers who did not self-identify, but member responses indicate non-compliance.

Providers who did not respond but should have responded.

Providers who self-identify non-compliance.

Site visits will be conducted for all Facility Based Day Habilitation and Supported Employment settings.

Site visits will be conducted for all residential settings housing 4 or more individuals.

Site visits will be conducted for 50% of all 1-3 bed settings. All Priority I 1-3 bed settings will be reviewed. A random sample of Priority II settings will identify additional these 1-3 bed settings with the sample skewed to assure that all providers have at least one setting reviewed. It is recognized that the percentage of

site visits conducted for Priority II settings may exceed the 50% target in order to assure that all providers have at least one setting review. (Initial completion date 5/15/2016).

Follow up visits will be conducted for all settings not found in compliance. The timelines will be based on Plan of Compliance Dates.

Annual reviews will be conducted for all Priority I settings. Priority II settings will be reviewed annually as well, with settings not visited the previous year as the first to be reviewed. This will assure that each setting has an on-site review every other year at a minimum.

Should either Priority I or Priority II site visits result in settings falling under the designation CMS Heightened Scrutiny, CMS will be notified.

Section 5. *Setting/Site Visits Procedure*

Initial provider contacts are announced. Multiple sites owned or leased by one provider may or may not be reviewed sequentially.

Follow up and annual setting visits may be unannounced.

Portions of the review process may be conducted off the setting grounds. (For example: Policy review at the provider main office).

Reviewer conducts entrance meeting, introduces self and purpose of the setting review. Reviewer acquires a list of all persons receiving Waiver services at the setting and the total number of persons being served or living at the setting. Type(s) of transportation used and available to members is also obtained.

Reviewer completes the Setting Assessment instrument (Non-Residential Site Review -Attachment 1, Residential Site Review – Attachment 2 and Site Review/Records – Attachment 3 as applicable) for each setting.

Observations include meals when possible.

Reviewer obtains verification documentation for non-compliance issues as found. This documentation may include photographs, copies of documents (including copies of policy or procedures), interview responses and/or observations made by the reviewer.

Reviewer interviews up to 20% of individuals and/or guardians. Interviews may be by phone.

Reviewer reviews charts of individuals, as well as others as necessary.

Reviewer should note NA for ‘not applicable’ if the question or prompt doesn’t apply to the setting.

At the conclusion of the setting review, the reviewer will meet briefly with setting personnel designated by the provider. Reviewer will provide a brief synopsis of the review findings and inform the provider that there will be a written review report (SAVE, Attachment 4) given to the provider. Provider will be informed that additional off site record review (Attachment 3) and/or interviews may also be referenced in the reports. Providers will also be informed that any non-compliance found will require a plan to bring the setting into compliance.

Section 6. *Plan of Compliance*

Each setting review will result in a Setting Assessment Visit and Evaluation report (SAVE, Attachment 4). This report will specify each assessment criterion not met.

Each setting review will result in a Plan of Compliance report (Attachment 5). This form will be completed by the provider and will include the Action Steps for each criterion cited in the setting review and a date for completion.

BMS will review and approve or disapprove the Plan of Compliance submitted for each setting where deficiencies are found.

Should BMS not approve the Plan of Compliance, the provider will be notified that the provider has signified that it has no approved plan to come into compliance. BMS will work with the provider to assure transition plans to other facilities or settings for members currently served by that provider and the Disenrollment of the Provider’s setting from the program.

Should BMS approve the Plan of Compliance and completion dates, BMS will conduct a return setting visit, after the completion date designated by the provider. The purpose of this visit is to determine that non-compliant findings have been corrected.

This process will be repeated annually (see Section F).

Section 7. Review of Assessment Results

As each report is finalized and a Plan of Compliance approved, the findings of non-compliance will be entered in a database for each provider and each finding. At the conclusion of the first cycle of reviews, this information will be analyzed to identify trends, needs for provider training, and statistical probability for each finding across all providers, by type of provider and by region/county.

This analysis will be repeated semi-annually or more frequently as needed. When issues or needs for training are identified, these will be addressed by BMS.

Section 8. Heightened Scrutiny

There may be times that a provider cannot comply or refuses to come into compliance with the Home and Community Based Services Rule. In these cases, BMS will identify this setting as a ‘Presumptively non-HCBS Setting’ and will submit evidence to CMS of provider non-compliance to CMS. This will include state as well as other stakeholders evidence.

BMS will cooperate with CMS as CMS determines whether the setting is a non-HCBS Setting. BMS will act on the CMS determination.

In the event that CMS determines that the setting is a non-HCBS setting, BMS will begin the Relocation of Beneficiaries process found in Section H.

Section 9. Transition of Members to Integrated Settings

In the event that a provider cannot comply or refuses to come into compliance with the Home and Community Based Services Rule, and the provider concurs with the BMS determination, the provider will be informed that the setting found non-compliant will be dis-enrolled from the Medicaid program. This notification will be by certified mail as well as electronically.

The provider will have 60 calendar days from the date of the notification to transition individuals to other services and/or settings that do comply with the Rule. Individual team meetings will be held and the individual and their legal representative (if applicable) will make the final choice from available

settings/sites. Disenrollment will occur at the end of the 45 days. For each member, all IPP services delivered by the dis-enrolled provider/setting to the member must be replaced services from an enrolled provider/setting to ensure continuity of care.

Within 30 working days of the date of the notification, the provider will submit to BMS an Agency Transition Plan. This plan will list 1) setting location which is non-compliant; 2) the member(s) by name and Medicaid Number; 3) the service(s) provided to each listed member; 4) the date for the Critical Juncture transition meeting for each listed member; 5) The result of the meeting including setting/location of services that do comply with the rule; 6) The date of the change of provider/setting.

BMS shall be copied on all correspondence with members and/or families.

The provider will hold a general informational meeting for all members, legal representatives and other interested parties. BMS will attend this meeting to answer any questions. Members will also be encouraged to call BMS should they have any questions.

The provider will submit updates to the Agency's Transition plan weekly to BMS, completing items 4-6 as these events occur.

Should an individual member request assistance beyond that given by the provider, BMS will assist the member in the timely transition to another provider and/or setting. In isolated instances, BMS may extend the 60 day transition period for an individual members.

Section 10. *Ongoing Monitoring*

Settings will be reviewed as a part of the ASO review process after the initial setting reviews and return setting visits, following the protocol and assessment instruments outlined above (Attachment 6). All 1 to 3 bed residential settings will be reviewed at least once every two years. All non-residential settings will be reviewed yearly. All 4 bed or greater residential settings will be reviewed yearly. Follow up visits will be conducted at all settings not found to be in full compliance.

In addition, The West Virginia Office of Health Facility Licensure and Certification conducts provider reviews, including site visits for all licensed sites. These occur at least every two years and may occur more frequently if problems are found which result in a license for a lesser period of time.

Section 11. *Ongoing Reports*

There will be an omnibus report of the analysis of the data provided to BMS on a semi-annual basis. This report is described in Section E.

Setting review updates, identifying best practices, systemic problems, number of reviews completed and numbers of reviews to be completed will be reported to:

Providers during quarterly provider meetings

QA/QI councils during quarterly meetings

IDDW Waiver Contract Management Meetings held monthly.

Protocol: Attachment 1

**HCBS
Non-Residential Site Review
West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services**

This assessment identifies characteristics that are expected to be present in all home and community-based settings and associated traits that individuals in those settings might experience.

Provider_____ **Site**_____

Address_____

Date reviewed_____ **Time** _____

Reviewer _____

Number of individuals receiving services at this site. _____

Number of individuals receiving services under the IDWW waiver at this site.
_____ (obtain list of members)

Type of setting:

Facility based Day Habilitation _____

Supported Employment _____

Job Development _____

Pre Vocational _____

Is licensed posted at the site? Y N

Observations during site visit:_____ duration _____

1. The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i)/441.710(a)(1)(i)/441.530(a)(1)(i)

A. The setting provides opportunities for regular meaningful non-work activities in integrated community settings for the period of time desired by individuals.

Guidance: Activities that are busy work such as putting buttons in a box, emptying and refilling the box are not acceptable.

Y N Comments:

B. The setting affords opportunities for individual schedules that focus on the needs and desires of an individual and offer an opportunity for individual growth.

Y N Comments:

C. The setting affords opportunities for individuals to have knowledge of or access to information regarding age-appropriate activities including competitive work, shopping, attending religious services, medical appointments, dining out, etc. outside of the setting.

Y N Comments:

C.i Who in the setting facilitates and supports access to these activities?

D. The setting allows individuals the freedom to move about inside and outside of the setting (as opposed to one restricted room or area within the setting).

Y N Comments:

E. Individuals receive HCBS in an area of the setting that is fully integrated with individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

Y N Comments:

F. The setting is in a community/building located among other residential buildings, private businesses, retail businesses, restaurants, doctor's offices (to facilitate integration with the greater community).

Y N Comments:

G. The setting encourages visitors or other people from the greater community (aside from paid staff) to be present. There is evidence that visitors have been present at regular frequencies. (For example, customers in a pre-vocational setting). Guidance: visitors greet/acknowledge individuals receiving services with familiarity when they encounter them; visiting hours are unrestricted; the setting otherwise encourages interaction with the public).

Y N Comments:

H. The employment setting provides individuals with the opportunity to participate in negotiating his/her work schedule, break/lunch times and leave and medical benefits with his/her employer to the same extent as individuals not receiving Medicaid funded HCBS. Y N Comments:

I. In settings where money management is part of the service, the setting facilitates the opportunity for individuals to have a checking or savings account or other means to have access to and control his/her funds. Guidance: it is clear that individuals are not required to sign over his/her paychecks to the provider.

Y N Comments:

J. The setting provides individuals with contact information, access to and training on the use of public transportation, such as buses, taxis, etc., and these public transportation schedules and telephone numbers are available in a convenient location.

Y N Comments:

K. Alternatively where public transportation is limited, the setting provides information about resources for individuals to access the broader community, including accessible transportation for individuals who use wheelchairs.

Y N Comments:

L. The setting assures that tasks and activities are comparable to tasks and activities for people of similar ages who do not receive HCB services.

Y N Comments:

M. The setting is physically accessible, including access to bathrooms and break rooms, and appliances, equipment, and tables/desks and chairs are at a convenient height and location, with no obstructions such as steps, lips in a doorway, narrow hallways, etc., that may limit individuals' mobility in the setting.

Y N Comments:

N. If obstructions are present, there are environmental adaptations such as a stair lift or elevator to ameliorate the obstructions.

Y N Comments:

2. The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options including non-disability specific settings ... The settings options are identified and documented in the person-centered plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, ... 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(ii)/ 441.710(a)(1)(ii)/441.530(a)(1)(ii)

A. The setting reflects individual needs and preferences.

Y N Comments:

B. The setting options offered include non-disability-specific settings, such as competitive employment in an integrated public setting, volunteering in the community, or engaging in general non-disabled community activities such as those available at a YMCA.

Y N Comments: (list non-disability-specific setting options offered)

3. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

A. All information about individuals is kept private.

Guidance: Do paid staff/providers follow confidentiality policy/practices? Does staff within the setting ensure that, for example, there are no posted schedules of individuals for PT, OT, medications, restricted diet, etc., in a commons or general open area?

Y N Comments:

B. Staff interact and communicate with individuals respectfully and in a manner in which the person would like to be addressed, while providing assistance during the regular course of daily activities.

Y N Comments:

C. Staff do not talk to other staff about an individual(s) in the presence of other persons or in the presence of the individual as if s/he were not present.

Y N Comments:

D. The setting supports individuals who need assistance with their personal appearance to appear as they desire, and personal assistance is provided in private, as appropriate.

Y N Comments:

E. The setting offers a secure place for the individual to store personal belongings.

Y N Comments:

4. The setting optimizes, but does not regiment, individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices including but not limited to daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iv)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iv)/441.530(a)(1)(iv)

A. There are no gates, Velcro strips, locked doors, fences or other barriers preventing individuals' entrance to or exit from certain areas of the setting.

Y N Comments: (note any restricted areas).

B. The setting affords a variety of meaningful non-work activities that are responsive to the goals, interests and needs of individuals.

Guidance: Does the physical environment support a variety of individual goals and needs (for example, does the setting provide indoor and outdoor gathering spaces; does the setting provide for larger group activities as well as solitary activities; does the setting provide for stimulating as well as calming activities?)

Y N Comments:

C. The setting affords opportunities for individuals to choose with whom to do activities in the setting or outside the setting,

Guidance: Are individuals assigned only to be with a certain group of people?

Y N Comments:

D. The setting allows for individuals to have a meal/ snacks at the time and place of their choosing.

Y N Comments:

D.i The setting affords individuals full access to a dining area with comfortable seating. Y N Comments:

D.ii The setting affords individuals the opportunity to converse with others during break and meal times.
Y N Comments:

D.iii The setting affords dignity to the diners (for example, individuals are treated age-appropriately and not required to wear bibs).
Y N Comments:

D.iv The setting provides for an alternative meal and/or private dining area if requested by an individual.
Y N Comments:

D.v The individuals have access to food at any time consistent with individuals in similar and/or in the same setting who are not receiving Medicaid-funded services and supports.
Y N Comments:

E. The setting posts or provides information on individual rights.
Y N Comments:

F. The setting affords the opportunity for tasks and activities matched to individuals' skills, abilities and desires.
Y N Comments:

5. *The setting facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(v) 441.710(a)(1)(v)/441.530(a)(1)(v)*

A. The setting posts or provides information to individuals about how to make a request for additional HCBS, or changes to their current HCBS.

Y N Comments:

B. Setting staff are knowledgeable about the capabilities, interests, preference and needs of individuals.

Y N Comments:

Record Reviews during site visit or off site:

Procedure: Review Policies and Procedures of setting for the following:

1. The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i)/441.710(a)(1)(i)/441.530(a)(1)(i)

A. The setting's policy/procedures assure that tasks and activities are comparable to tasks and activities for people of similar ages who do not receive HCB services,

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

2. The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options including non-disability specific settings ... The settings options are identified and documented in the person-centered plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, ... 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(ii)/ 441.710(a)(1)(ii)/441.530(a)(1)(ii)

A. The setting policies and procedures ensure the informed choice of the individual.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. As reflected in policy, the setting options offered include non-disability-specific settings, such as competitive employment in an integrated public setting, volunteering in the community, or engaging in general non-disabled community activities such as those available at a YMCA.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

C. As reflected in policy, the setting options include the opportunity for individuals to choose to combine more than one service delivery setting or type of HCBS in any given day/week (for example, combine competitive employment with community habilitation).

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

3. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

A. As reflected in policy, all information about individuals is kept private.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. Policies/Procedures for the setting provide assurance that staff interact and communicate with individuals respectfully and in a manner in which the person would like to be addressed, while providing assistance during the regular course of daily activities.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

C. Policies/Procedures for the setting assure that staff do not talk to other staff about an individual(s) in the presence of other persons or in the presence of the individual as if s/he were not present.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

D. The setting policy requires that the individual and/or representative grant informed consent prior to the use of restraints and/or restrictive interventions and that there is documentation of these interventions in the person-centered plan.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

E. The setting policy ensures that each individual's supports and plans to address behavioral needs are specific to the individual and not the same as everyone else in the setting.

Y N Comments:

E.i The setting's policy ensures that each individual's supports and plans are not restrictive to the rights of every individual receiving support within the setting

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

4. The setting optimizes, but does not regiment, individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices including but not limited to daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iv)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iv)/441.530(a)(1)(iv)

A. The setting policy/procedure does not prohibit individuals from engaging in legal activities (ex. voting when 18 or older, consuming alcohol when 21 or older) in a manner different from individuals in a similar and/or the same setting who are not receiving Medicaid funded services and supports.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. The setting policy/procedure affords an individual opportunity for tasks and activities matched to the individual's skills, abilities and desires.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

5. *The setting facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them.* 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(v) 441.710(a)(1)(v)/441.530(a)(1)(v)

A. The setting policy/procedure assures individual choice regarding the services, provider and settings and the opportunity to visit/understand the options.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. The setting policy/procedure affords individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

C. The setting policy/procedure ensures individuals are supported to make decisions and exercise autonomy to the greatest extent possible.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

D. The setting policy ensures the individual is supported in developing plans to support her/his needs and preferences.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

Interview Questions, on or off site

3. *The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint.* 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

A. If you needed help, with getting dressed or bathing for instance, what would you do?

Guidance: Does the setting support individuals who need assistance with their personal appearance to appear as they desire, and is personal assistance, provided in private, as appropriate?

Comments:

4. *The setting optimizes, but does not regiment, individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices including but not limited to daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact.* 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iv)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iv)/441.530(a)(1)(iv)

A. Do you have chances to do other things while here?

Guidance: Does the setting provide opportunities for regular meaningful non-work activities in integrated community settings for the period of time desired by the individual?

Y N Comments:

5. *The setting facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them.* 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(v) 441.710(a)(1)(v)/441.530(a)(1)(v)

A. Were you provided a choice regarding the services, provider and settings before you came here? Y N

A.i Did you have the opportunity to visit/understand these choices/options?
Y N

A.ii Can you change your mind about these choices? Y N

A.iii How do you do that? Comments:

Guidance: Does the setting afford individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences?

B. Do you decide what you do here? Y N

B.i Does anyone help? Y N Comment:
_____ (who)

C. Do you know how to make a request for additional help or services, or change the services you have right now? Y N
Comments:

Protocol: Attachment 2

**HCBS
Residential Site Review
West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services**

This assessment identifies characteristics that are expected to be present in all home and community-based settings and associated traits that individuals in those settings might experience.

Provider: **Site:**

Address:

Date reviewed **Time**

Reviewer :

Number of individuals receiving services at this site.

**Number of individuals receiving services under the IDDW waiver at this site.
(Obtain list of individuals)**

Type of setting:

Participant Centered Support – ISS (serving 1-3 people) _____

Participant Centered Support – Group homes (serving 4 or more people) _____

Is the License posted/available? Y N

Do individuals have individual Leases? Y N

Guidance: obtain copies of all

Observations during site visit:

1. The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i)/441.710(a)(1)(i)/441.530(a)(1)(i)

A. Individuals are not isolated from individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS in the broader community.

Y N Comments:

B. Individuals receiving HCBS do not live/receive services in a different area of the setting separate from individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

Y N Comments:

C. The setting is in the community among other private residences and retail businesses.

Y N Comments:

Guidance: see CMS :Settings that Have the Effect of Isolating..." page 3

D. The community traffic pattern is consistent around the setting. For example, individuals do not cross the street when passing to avoid the setting.

Y N Comments:

E. Individuals on the street greet/acknowledge individuals receiving services when they encounter them.

Y N Comments:

F. Visitors are present.

Y N Comments:

G. Visiting hours are posted.

Y N Comments:

H. Bus and other public transportation schedules and telephone numbers are posted in a convenient location.

Y N Comments:

I. The individuals have access to materials to become aware of activities occurring outside of the setting.

Y N Comments:

J. The setting affords the individual with the opportunity to participate in meaningful non-work activities in integrated community settings in a manner consistent with the individual's needs and preferences.

Y N Comments:

2. The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options including non-disability specific settings ... The settings options are identified and documented in the person-centered plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, ... 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(ii)/441.710(a)(1)(ii)/441.530(a)(1)(ii)

A. The setting is an environment that supports individual comfort, independence and preferences.

Y N Comments:

B. Individuals have full access to facilities in a home such as a kitchen with cooking facilities, dining area, laundry, and comfortable seating in the shared areas.

Y N Comments:

C. Informal (written and oral) communication is conducted in a language that the individual understands.

Y N Comments:

D. Assistance is provided in private, as appropriate, when needed.

Y N Comments:

E. The individual has unrestricted access in the setting.

Y N Comments:

E. i Gates, Velcro strips, locked doors, or other barriers preventing individuals' entrance to or exit from certain areas of the setting are not in evidence.

Y N Comments:

E.ii Individuals receiving Medicaid Home and Community-Based services are facilitated in accessing amenities such as a pool or gym used by others on-site.

Y N Comments:

E.iii The setting is physically accessible and there are no obstructions such as steps, lips in a doorway, narrow hallways, etc., limiting individuals' mobility in the setting. Guidance: if these are present, there are environmental adaptations such as a stair lift or elevator to ameliorate the obstruction.

Y N Comments:

F. The physical environment meets the needs of those individuals who require supports.

Y N Comments:

F.i For those individuals who need supports to move about the setting as they choose, supports are provided such as grab bars, seats in the bathroom, ramps for wheel chairs, viable exits for emergencies, etc.

Y N Comments:

F.ii Appliances are accessible to individuals. For Example, the washer/dryers are front loading for individuals in wheelchairs.

Y N Comments:

F.iii Tables and chairs are at a convenient height and location so that individuals can access and use the furniture comfortably.

Y N Comments:

G. Individuals have full access to the community.

Y N Comments:

G.i Individuals come and go at will.
Y N Comments:

G.ii Individuals move about inside and outside the setting as opposed to all sitting by the front door or other area.
Y N Comments:

G.iii Individuals in the setting have access to public transportation.
Y N Comments:

G.iv There are bus stops nearby or are taxis available in the area.
Y N Comments:

G.v An accessible van is available to transport individuals to appointments, shopping, etc.
Y N Comments;

3. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

A. The individual has access to make private telephone calls/text/email at the individual's preference and convenience.
Y N Comments:

A. i Individuals have a private cell phone, computer or other personal communication device or have access to a telephone or other technology device to use for personal communication in private at any time.
Y N Comments:

A.ii The telephone or other technology device is in a location that has space around it to ensure privacy.
Y N Comments:

A.iii Individuals' rooms have a telephone jack, WI-FI or ETHERNET jack.

Y N Comments:

B. Individuals are free from coercion.

Y N Comments:

B.i Information about filing a complaint is posted in an obvious location and in an understandable format.

Y N Comments:

B.ii The individuals in the setting have different haircut/hairstyles and hair color.

Y N Comments:

C. The individual's right to dignity and privacy is respected.

Y N Comments:

C.i Health information about individuals is kept private.

Y N Comments:

C.ii Schedules of individuals for PT, OT, medications, restricted diet, etc., are not posted in a general open area for all to view.

Y N Comments:

Ciii Individuals who need assistance with grooming are groomed as they desire.

Y N Comments:

C.iv Individuals' nails are trimmed and clean.

Y N Comments:

D. Individuals who need assistance to dress are dressed in their own clothes appropriate to the time of day and individual preferences.

Y N Comments:

D.i Individuals do not wear bathrobes all day long.

Y N Comments:

D.ii Individuals are wearing clothes that fit, are clean, and are appropriate for the time of day, weather, and preferences

Y N Comments:

E. Staff communicates with individuals in a dignified manner.

Y N Comments:

E.i Individuals greet and chat with staff.

Y N Comments:

E.ii Staff converse with individuals in the setting while providing assistance and during the regular course of daily activities.

Y N Comments:

E.iii Staff do not talk to other staff about an individual(s) as if the individual was not present or within earshot of other persons living in the setting.

Y N Comments:

E.iv Staff address individuals in the manner in which the person would like to be addressed as opposed to routinely addressing individuals as 'hon' or 'sweetie'.

Y N Comments:

F. Individuals have privacy in their sleeping space and toileting facility.

Y N Comments:

F.i The furniture is arranged as individuals prefer and does the arrangement assure privacy and comfort.

Y N Comments:

F.ii The individual can close and lock his/her bedroom door.

Y N Comments:

F.iii The individual can close and lock the bathroom door.

Y N Comments:

F.iv Staff or other residents always knock and receive permission prior to entering a bedroom or bathroom.

Y N Comments:

G. The individual has privacy in his/her living space.

Y N Comments:

G.i Cameras are present in the setting, in individual personal living spaces.

Y N Comments:

G.ii In individual personal living space, the furniture is arranged as individuals prefer to assure privacy and comfort.

Y N Comments:

G.iii Staff or other residents always knock and receive permission prior to entering an individual's personal living space.

Y N Comments:

G.iv Staff only use a key to enter a personal living area or privacy space under limited circumstances agreed upon with the individual.

Y N Comments:

H. The individuals have comfortable places for private visits with family and friends.

Y N Comments:

H.i The furniture is arranged to support small group conversations.

Y N Comments:

I. Individuals furnish and decorate their sleeping and/or living units in the way that suits them.

Y N Comments:

I.i The individuals' personal items, such as pictures, books, and memorabilia are present and arranged as the individual desires.

Y N Comments:

I.ii The furniture, linens, and other household items reflect the individuals' personal choices.

Y N Comments:

I.iii Individuals' living areas reflect their interests and hobbies.

Y N Comments:

4. The setting optimizes, but does not regiment, individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices including but not limited to daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iv)/441.710(a)(1)(iv)/441.530(a)(1)(iv)

A. Individuals have his/her own bedroom or share a room with a roommate of choice.

Y N Comments:

B. Married couples share or not share a room by choice.

Y N Comments:

5. The setting facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(v) 441.710(a)(1)(v)/441.530(a)(1)(v)

A. Individuals are not required to adhere to a set schedule for waking, bathing, eating, exercising, activities.

Y N Comments:

B. Individuals have access to such things as a television, radio, and leisure activities that interest him/her and s/he can schedule such activities at his/her convenience.

Y N Comments:

C. Individuals choose when and what to eat.

Y N Comments:

D. Snacks are accessible and available anytime.

Y N Comments:

E. The dining area affords dignity to the diners and individuals are not required to wear bibs or use disposable cutlery, plates and cups.

Y N Comments:

F. The individual chooses with whom to eat or to eat alone.

Y N Comments:

F.i Individuals are not required to sit at an assigned seat in a dining area.
Y N Comments:

F.ii Individuals converse with others during meal times.
Y N Comments:

F.iii If an individual desires to eat privately, s/he can do so.
Y N Comments:

F.iv Staff ask the individual about her/his needs and preferences.
Y N Comments:

F.v Requests for services and supports are accommodated as opposed to ignored or denied.
Y N Comments:

F.vi Individual choice is facilitated in a manner that leaves the individual feeling empowered to make decisions.
Y N Comments:

G. The individual chooses from whom they receive services and supports.
Y N Comments:

Record Reviews during site visit or off site:

Procedure: Review Policies and Procedures of setting for the following:

1.The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i)/441.710(a)(1)(i)/441.530(a)(1)(i)

A. The record/log confirms that the individual participates in unscheduled and scheduled community activities in the same manner as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS services.

Y N Comments:

A.i Individuals regularly access the community.

Y N Comments:

A.ii The individuals shop, attend religious services, schedule appointments, have lunch with family and friends, etc., in the community, as each individual chooses and as determined by the treatment team.

Y N Comments:

A.iii Individuals may come and go at any time as determined by the treatment team.

Y N Comments:

B. The setting's policy/procedure ensures that each individual is employed or active in the community outside of the setting as determined by the treatment team.

Y N Comments:

Guidance: This includes volunteer services. Obtain copies of all current Individual Service Plans.

B.i Individuals work in integrated community settings.

Y N Comments:

B.ii If individuals would like to work, there is activity that ensures the option is pursued.

Y N Comments:

B.iii Individuals participate regularly in meaningful non-work activities in integrated community settings for the period of time desired by each individual.

Y N Comments:

C. The setting's policy/procedure ensures that each individual controls his/her personal resources.

Y N Comments:

C.i Each individual has a checking or savings account or other means to control his/her funds.

Y N Comments:

C.ii Each individual has access to his/her funds.

Y N Comments:

C.iii The individual is not required to sign over his/her paychecks to the provider.

Y N Comments:

D. The setting policies and procedures assure that visitors are not restricted to specified visiting hours.

Y N Comments:

E. There is evidence of the training of individuals in the use of Public Transportation.

Y N Comments:

F. If Public Transportation is limited, the policies and procedures of the setting assure that other resources are provided for the individual to access the broader community.

Y N Comments:

G. State laws, regulations, licensing requirements, or facility protocols or practices do not limit individuals' choices.

Y N Comments:

G.i Do State regulations prohibit individuals' access to food at any time?
Y N Comments:

G.ii Do State laws require restrictions such as posted visiting hours or schedules?
Y N Comments:

G.iii Are individuals prohibited from engaging in legal activities?
Y N Comments:

Interview Questions, on or off site

1.The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i)/441.710(a)(1)(i)/441.530(a)(1)(i)

Guidance: Interview at least 2 members residing in the setting, or his/her guardian.

A. Do you have a job?
Y N Where? _____

A.i If, no, what do you do during the day? Comment:

A.ii Who works at your job with you? Comment:
Guidance: Is the job setting integrated?

B. Would you like to work?
Y N

B. i If yes, is anyone helping you to find a job?
Y N
Comment:

Guidance: is there activity that ensures the option is pursued

C. Do you go out of your home?
Y N

C.i How often?

C.ii Where do you go?

C.iii Do you get to choose where and when you go?
Y N

Guidance: does the individual participate regularly in meaningful non-work activities in integrated community settings for the period of time desired by the individual.

D. Tell me about how you handle your money. Comment:
Guidance: the individual controls his/her personal resources

E. Do you know about activities occurring outside your home? Y N

E.i How do you find out about these activities? Comment:

E. ii Do you go shopping, attend religious services, schedule appointments, have lunch with family and friends, etc., in the community, when you want to do so?

Y N Comments:

F. Can you leave and come back any time you want?

Y N Comment:

G. Tell me about your usual day. What happens?

Comments:

Guidance: Does the individual talk about activities occurring outside of the setting?

H. Do you have a checking or savings account?

Y N

H.i How do you get access to your money? Comment:

H.ii Do you have to sign over your paycheck to _____?(the provider)

Y N

2. The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options including non-disability specific settings ... The settings options are identified and documented in the person-centered plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, ... 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(ii)/441.710(a)(1)(ii)/441.530(a)(1)(ii)

A, Did you get to choose this setting/house to live in?

Y N

A.i Tell me about that. (Ask this for both yes and no responses)

Comments:

A.ii Did you choose your roommate?
Y N Comments:

A.iii Where would you like to live?
Comments:

3. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

A. If you needed help, with getting dressed or bathing for instance, what would you do?
Comment:

Guidelines: Does the setting support individuals who need assistance with their personal appearance to appear as they desire, and is personal assistance, provided in private, as appropriate?

4. The setting optimizes, but does not regiment, individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices including but not limited to daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iv)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iv)/441.530(a)(1)(iv)

A. Can you have visitors any time you want to?
Y N

B. Is there a special place you have to meet when someone visits you?
Y N Where:

C. Does anyone train you or show you how to ride a bus or taxi? Y N

5. The setting facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(v) 441.710(a)(1)(v)/441.530(a)(1)(v)

A. Were you provided a choice regarding the services, provider and settings before you came here?

Y N

B. Did you have the opportunity to visit/understand these choices/options?

Y N

C. Can you change your mind about these choices?

Y N

D. How do you do that?

Y N

Guidance: (Does the setting afford individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences?)

E. Do you decide what you do here?

Y N

F. Does anyone help?

Y N Comment: _____ (who)

G. Do you know how to make a request for additional help or services, or change the services you have right now?

Y N

Comments:

**HCBS
Site Review/Records
West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services**

This assessment identifies characteristics that are expected to be present in all home and community-based settings and associated traits that individuals in those settings might experience.

Provider Site(s)

Address

Date reviewed Time

Reviewer

Number of individuals receiving services at this site.

**Number of individuals receiving services under the IDDW waiver at this site.
(Obtain list of members)**

Type of setting(s):

Facility based Day Habilitation ____

Supported Employment ____

Job Development ____

Pre Vocational ____

Record Reviews during site visit or off site:

Procedure: Review Policies and Procedures of setting for the following:

1. The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(i)/441.710(a)(1)(i)/441.530(a)(1)(i)

NRA. The setting's policy/procedures assure that tasks and activities are comparable to tasks and activities for people of similar ages who do not receive HCB services,

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

RA. The record/log confirms that the individual participates in unscheduled and scheduled community activities in the same manner as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS services.

Y N Comments:

RA.i Individuals regularly access the community.

Y N Comments:

RA.ii The individuals shop, attend religious services, schedule appointments, have lunch with family and friends, etc., in the community, as each individual chooses and as determined by the treatment team.

Y N Comments:

RA.iii Individuals may come and go at any time as determined by the treatment team.

Y N Comments:

B. The setting's policy/procedure ensures that each individual is employed or active in the community outside of the setting as determined by the treatment team.

Y N Comments:

Guidance: This includes volunteer services. Obtain copies of all current Individual Service Plans.

B.i Individuals work in integrated community settings.

Y N Comments:

B.ii If individuals would like to work, there is activity that ensures the option is pursued.

Y N Comments:

B.iii Individuals participate regularly in meaningful non-work activities in integrated community settings for the period of time desired by each individual.

Y N Comments:

C. The setting's policy/procedure ensures that each individual controls his/her personal resources.

Y N Comments:

C.i Each individual has a checking or savings account or other means to control his/her funds.

Y N Comments:

C.ii Each individual has access to his/her funds.

Y N Comments:

C.iii The individual is not required to sign over his/her paychecks to the provider.

Y N Comments:

D. The setting policies and procedures assure that visitors are not restricted to specified visiting hours.

Y N Comments:

E. There is evidence of the training of individuals in the use of Public Transportation.

Y N Comments:

F. If Public Transportation is limited, the policies and procedures of the setting assure that other resources are provided for the individual to access the broader community.

Y N Comments:

G. State laws, regulations, licensing requirements, or facility protocols or practices do not limit individuals' choices.

Y N Comments:

G.i Do State regulations prohibit individuals' access to food at any time?

Y N Comments:

G.ii Do State laws require restrictions such as posted visiting hours or schedules?

Y N Comments:

G.iii Are individuals prohibited from engaging in legal activities?

Y N Comments:

2. The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options including non-disability specific settings ... The settings options are identified and documented in the person-centered plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, ... 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(ii)/ 441.710(a)(1)(ii)/441.530(a)(1)(ii)

A. The setting policies and procedures ensure the informed choice of the individual.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. As reflected in policy, the setting options offered include non-disability-specific settings, such as competitive employment in an integrated public setting, volunteering in the community, or engaging in general non-disabled community activities such as those available at a YMCA.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

C. As reflected in policy, the setting options include the opportunity for individuals to choose to combine more than one service delivery setting or type of HCBS in any given day/week (for example, combine competitive employment with community habilitation).

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

3. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

A. As reflected in policy, all information about individuals is kept private.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. Policies/Procedures for the setting provide assurance that staff interact and communicate with individuals respectfully and in a manner in which the person would like to be addressed, while providing assistance during the regular course of daily activities.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

C. Policies/Procedures for the setting assure that staff do not talk to other staff about an individual(s) in the presence of other persons or in the presence of the individual as if s/he were not present.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

D. The setting policy requires that the individual and/or representative grant informed consent prior to the use of restraints and/or restrictive interventions and that there is documentation of these interventions in the person-centered plan.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

E. The setting policy ensures that each individual's supports and plans to address behavioral needs are specific to the individual and not the same as everyone else in the setting.

Y N Comments:

E.i The setting's policy ensures that each individual's supports and plans are not restrictive to the rights of every individual receiving support within the setting

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

4. The setting optimizes, but does not regiment, individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices including but not limited to daily

activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iv)/ 441.710(a)(1)(iv)/441.530(a)(1)(iv)

A. The setting policy/procedure does not prohibit individuals from engaging in legal activities (ex. voting when 18 or older, consuming alcohol when 21 or older) in a manner different from individuals in a similar and/or the same setting who are not receiving Medicaid funded services and supports.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. The setting policy/procedure affords an individual opportunity for tasks and activities matched to the individual's skills, abilities and desires.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

5. The setting facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them. 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(v) 441.710(a)(1)(v)/441.530(a)(1)(v)

A. The setting policy/procedure assures individual choice regarding the services, provider and settings and the opportunity to visit/understand the options.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

B. The setting policy/procedure affords individuals the opportunity to regularly and periodically update or change their preferences.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

C. The setting policy/procedure ensures individuals are supported to make decisions and exercise autonomy to the greatest extent possible.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

D. The setting policy ensures the individual is supported in developing plans to support her/his needs and preferences.

Y N Comments: (cite policy or procedure number)

**HCBS
Setting Assessment Visit and Evaluation
(SAVE)
West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services**

(Each citation for items out of compliance is listed separately on this form with the specific findings for that citation listed as well. These reports differ for each provider setting and are designed to be a more easily read report, although the information contained is the same as the Plan of Correction form.)

An example follows:

Setting Reviewed: XYG group home, 123 Main Street, Centerburg, WV

This setting provides ____Person Centered Support____ services at this address.

Date: 9/1/15

Reviewer: RLB

Number of individuals receiving services at this site. 3

**Number of individuals receiving services under the IDWW waiver at this site.
3**

The facility was not in compliance with 42CFR441.301(c)(4)(i)/
441.710(a)(1)(i)/ and 441.530(a)(1)(i)

1. The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

Bus and other public transportation schedules and telephone numbers are not posted in a convenient location. (O1H)

As observed, there were no bus or public transportation information available to members. Interview with the group home manager confirmed that a bus runs directly in front of the house, but the members do not ride it since the home has a van. A van was observed in the parking area.

There is no evidence of the training of individuals in the use of Public Transportation. (R1E)

Review of the records confirmed that no member was receiving training on how to utilize public transportation.

If Public Transportation is limited, the policies and procedures of the setting assure that other resources are provided for the individual to access the broader community. (R1F)

While the provider has vans available for transportation, the practice is that members use the vans *instead of* public transportation when public transportation is available and not limited.

The facility was not in compliance with 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)(iii)/441.710(a)(1)(iii)/441.530(a)(1)(iii)

The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint.

Members do not hold leases to their homes, when such homes are owned, leased or operated by the provider. (R3A)

Interview with the executive director confirmed that members living at the Cove Road residence did not hold a lease or leases for their home.

Protocol: Attachment 5

HCBS Setting Assessment Plan of Compliance West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services

I/DD Waiver Setting Assessment Visit and Evaluation Plan of Compliance	
I/DD Waiver Provider:	
Total Number of Persons Served:	
# of IDD Waiver Members Served:	
Address:	
Date(s) of Review:	
Submit POC to: Rose Lowther-Berman, at rose.l.berman@wv.gov	
Person(s) Completing this POC:	
Date POC is Submitted:	
This Plan of Compliance is designed to contain your response to items found to be out of compliance during your setting's I/DD Waiver State Transition Plan Review. A completed Plan of Correction will be due within 30 calendar days of receipt of this Report and letter from BMS. The Plan of Compliance must be submitted on this form electronically. Any corrections/additions requested should be communicated via this POC form as well. BMS will review your comments and notify you of your status as approved or disapproved. A Plan of Compliance must include: 1. How will the deficient practice for the participants cited in the review be corrected? 2. What system will be put into place to prevent recurrence of the deficient practice? 3. How will the provider monitor to assure future compliance, and who will be responsible for the monitoring? 4. What is the date by which the Plan of Compliance will be implemented?	